

**Oregon Department of Consumer and Business Services** 

# Information Management Division Research and Analysis Section

# 2010 Report on the Oregon Workers' Compensation System



Tenth Edition December 2010

# 2010 Report on the Oregon Workers' Compensation System Tenth Edition

# December 2010

Department of Consumer and Business Services Cory Streisinger, Director

> Workers' Compensation Division John Shilts, Administrator

Occupational Safety and Health Division Michael Wood, Administrator

> Workers' Compensation Board Abigail Herman, Chair

**Insurance Division** Teresa Miller, Administrator

Ombudsman for Injured Workers Jennifer Flood

**Ombudsman for Small Business** David Waki

**Information Management Division** Dorothy Oliver, Administrator

#### **DCBS** Communications Section

Kiki Hammond, Designer Mark Peterson, Editor

350 Winter St. NE P.O. Box 14480 Salem, OR 97309-0405 503-378-8254

The information in this report is in the public domain and may be reprinted without permission. Visit the DCBS website, <u>http://dcbs.oregon.gov</u>.

To sign up for electronic notification of new publications, see the Information Management home page, <u>http://www4.cbs.state.or.us/ex/imd/external/</u>.



In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), this publication is available in alternative formats. Please call 503-378-8254.

# Table of contents

| Introduction  | 1 |
|---|---|
| History of Workers' Compensation in Oregon            | 3 |
| 2010 Report Highlights                                |   |
| Safety and Health                                     |   |
| Compensability  |   |
| Claims Processing                                     |   |
| Advocates and Advisory Groups                         |   |
| Medical Care and Benefits                             |   |
| Indemnity Benefits                                    |   |
| Return-to-Work Assistance                             |   |
| Disputes  |   |
| Insurance and Self-Insurance                          |   |
| Appendix 1 - Workers' Compensation Reform Legislation |   |
| Appendix 2 - Workers' Compensation Court Cases        |   |

#### Figures and statistical tables

#### Safety and Health, Pages 10-19

#### Figures:

| 1. Accepted disabling claims and employment, 1987-2009       | 10 |
|--|----|
| 2. Compensable fatality rates per 100,000 workers, 1987-2009 |    |
| 3. Oregon OSHA consultations opened, 1988-2009               |    |
| 4. Oregon OSHA inspections, 1988-2009                        |    |
| - <u>eregen contrainspectant</u> , <u>rece good</u>          |    |

#### Statistical tables:

| Accepted disabling claims, employment, and claims rates, 1987-2009                        | 16 |
|---|----|
| Compensable fatalities, 1987-2009   | 16 |
| Occupational injuries and illnesses incidence rates, Oregon private sector, 1987-2009     | 17 |
| Oregon OSHA inspections, federal fiscal years 1988-2009                                   | 17 |
| Oregon OSHA citations, violations, and proposed penalties, federal fiscal years 1988-2009 | 18 |
| Oregon OSHA consultations, 1988-2009  | 18 |
| Safety and health training programs, 1998-2009  | 19 |
| Oregon OSHA safety and health grant programs, 1989-2009                                   | 19 |

#### **Compensability, Pages 20-23**

| Statistical tables:                                 |    |
|---|----|
| Total reported claims, FY 1989-2010                 |    |
| Disabling occupational disease claims, FY 1989-2010 | 22 |
| Disabling aggravation claims, 1991-2009             | 23 |

#### **Claims Processing, Pages 24-29**

| Figure:<br>5. <u>Claims process flowchart</u>                               | 25 |
|---|----|
| Statistical tables:   |    |
| Insurer claim acceptance and denial, median time lag days, 1988-2009        |    |
| Insurer timeliness of acceptance or denial and of first payments, 1990-2009 | 27 |
| Claim resolutions, 1987-2009  |    |
| Civil penalties issued, 1990-2009   |    |
| Workers' compensation information line calls for assistance, 1990-2009      |    |

| Advocates and Advisory Groups, Pages 30-32   |    |
|--|----|
| Statistical tables:<br><u>Ombudsman for Injured Workers inquiries, 1999-2009</u><br><u>Small Business Ombudsman inquiries, 1991-2009</u> |    |
| Medical Care and Benefits, Pages 33-39   |    |
| Figure:  |    |
| 6. Top 10 medical payments by provider type, 2009  | 36 |
| Statistical tables:  |    |
| Medical payments by provider type, 2009  |    |
| Medical payments by service category, 2009   |    |
| Top 15 workers' compensation medical services, 2009  |    |
| Top 15 pharmacy payments by drug name, 2009  |    |
| MCO contracts with insurers and self-insured employers, FY 1995-2009   |    |
| Employees with accepted disabling claims enrolled in MCOs, 1998-2009   |    |
| Indemnity Benefits, Pages 40-46<br>Figure:<br>7. Insurer-paid and WBF-reimbursed death and PTD benefits, 2009                            | 42 |
|  |    |
| Statistical tables:  | 19 |
| Indemnity and medical benefits paid, CY 1995-2009<br>Indemnity benefits paid for accepted disabling claims, CY 1995-2009                 |    |
| Indemnity benefits paid for accepted disabling claims, CY 1995-2009  |    |
|  |    |
| Average temporary disability days paid by type of claim resolution, CY 1995-2009   |    |
| Temporary disability for resolved accepted disabling claims, CY 1995-2009  |    |
| Permanent partial disability for closed accepted disabling claims, CY 1995-2009  |    |
| Permanent total disability awards, CY 1987-2009  |    |
| Maximum PPD benefits, since July 1986  |    |
| Return-to-Work Assistance, Pages 47-55   |    |

#### Figures:

| 8. Percent of accepted disabling claims with use of return-to-work programs |    |
|---|----|
| by fourth year post-injury  | 47 |
| 9. Return-to-work flowchart   |    |
| 10. Employer-at-Injury Program, placements approved, 1995-2009              | 49 |
| 11. Preferred workers starting contracts, 1995-2009                         |    |
|   |    |

#### Statistical tables:

| Employer-at-Injury Program placements approved, CY 1995-2009                        | 53 |
|---|----|
| Preferred workers, CY 1995-2009   |    |
| Preferred Worker Program contracts started, CY 1995-2009                            |    |
| Re-employment Assistance Program benefit costs paid, CY 1995-2009                   |    |
| Vocational assistance determinations, CY 1995-2009                                  |    |
| Vocational assistance eligibility closures, plans, and outcomes, CY 1995-2009       |    |
| Employment and wage recovery advantage for return-to-work program use, FY 1997-2010 |    |
|   |    |

#### **Disputes**, Pages 56-72

| Figures:  |    |
|---|----|
| 12. Disputes flowchart  |    |
| 13. Medical disputes, by issue and requester, CY 2009                 | 60 |
| 14. <u>Claimant attorney fees, 1987-2009</u>                          | 62 |
|   |    |
| Statistical tables:   |    |
| Appellate review requests and orders, 1991-2009                       | 64 |
| Medical dispute requests and orders, 1990-2009                        | 64 |
| Medical dispute issues, by year of request, 2005-2009                 | 65 |
| Vocational dispute requests and resolutions, 1991-2009                | 65 |
| Vocational dispute resolutions, by outcome, 2005-2009                 | 65 |
| Hearing requests, orders, time lags, and appeal rates, 1987-2009      | 66 |
| Percentage of hearing orders involving selected issues, 1987-2009     | 66 |
| Workers' Compensation Board mediations, 1996-2009                     | 67 |
| Issues in WCB mediations, 1996-2009                                   | 67 |
| Board review requests, orders, time lags, and appeal rates, 1987-2009 | 68 |
| Board own-motion orders, 1987-2009                                    | 68 |
| Court of Appeals requests, decisions, and time lags, 1987-2009        | 69 |
| Median time lag (days) from injury to order, 1987-2009                | 69 |
| Disputed claim settlements at hearing and board review, 1987-2009     |    |
| Claim disposition agreements, 1990-2009                               | 70 |
| Claimant attorney fees and defense legal costs, 1987-2009             | 71 |
| Claimant attorney fees, 1987-2009                                     | 71 |
| Claimant attorney fees from lump-sum settlements, 1989-2009           | 72 |
| Maximum out-of-compensation attorney fees                             | 72 |

#### Insurance and Self-Insurance, Pages 73-81

| Figures:   |    |
|--|----|
| 15. Pure premium rate changes, 1987-2011                                     | 74 |
| 16. Breakdown of workers' compensation premium, calendar years 1995 and 2009 |    |
| 17. Earned large-deductible premium credits, 1996-2009                       |    |
| 18. Total system written premiums, by insurer type, 1987-2009                |    |
|  |    |
| Statistical tables:  |    |
| Workers' compensation premiums and rate changes, 1987-2011                   | 78 |
| Workers' compensation premium rate ranking, 1986-2010                        |    |
| Earned large-deductible premium credits, 1996-2009                           |    |
| Workers' compensation market share, by insurer type, 1995-2009               | 79 |
| SAIF Corporation financial characteristics, 1995-2009                        |    |
| Private insurers' financial characteristics, 1995-2009.                      |    |
| WC insurance plan (Assigned Risk Pool) characteristics, 1987-2009            |    |
|  |    |

# You may find more information relevant to the Oregon workers' compensation system at the following sites:

DCBS Main Page: <u>dcbs.oregon.gov</u>

Office of the Director: <u>http://oregon.gov/DCBS/DIR/</u>

Workers' Compensation Division: wcd.oregon.gov

Occupational Safety and Health Division: osha.oregon.gov

Workers' Compensation Board: wcb.oregon.gov

Insurance Division: insurance.oregon.gov

Ombudsman for Injured Workers: <u>http://oregon.gov/DCBS/OIW/</u>

Ombudsman for Small Business: <u>http://oregon.gov/DCBS/SBO/</u>

Information Management Division: http://www4.cbs.state.or.us/ex/imd/external/

Management and Labor Advisory Committee: <u>http://www.oregon.gov/DCBS/MLAC/</u>

# Introduction

This report is the 10th in a series that describes Oregon's workers' compensation system and shows the effects of legislative changes since 1987. This edition adds statutory changes made during the 2009 and 2010 legislative sessions, summaries of recent court decisions, and the latest available data.

Numerous commentators have singled out Oregon's system as a national model of labormanagement cooperation, leading to innovative programs that produce desirable outcomes for workers and affordable costs for employers. The results of that cooperation can be seen in recent legislative actions.

Among other actions, the 2009 Legislature passed bills that improved the benefits to beneficiaries when a worker is killed on the job, dies while permanently and totally disabled from a work injury, or dies before his or her permanent partial disability award is fully paid; changed the basis of compensability for certain conditions for nonvolunteer firefighters' claims; and created the Interagency Compliance Network, charging multiple state agencies with establishing consistency in agency determinations relating to the classification of workers.

In part because of the work of Oregon OSHA, claims rates are declining. As measured by the Bureau of Labor Statistics' employer survey, the Oregon total-cases incidence rate was 4.4 cases per 100 full-time workers in 2009 – the lowest rate ever – and less than 42 percent of the 1989 rate. The safety and health chapter contains more safety data.

The medical chapter includes a discussion of research studies about the role of various care providers in the workers' compensation system. The 2009 Legislature passed HB 2197, which clarified the period for which a medical service provider who is not qualified to be an attending physician may provide compensable medical service to an injured worker, and restored chiropractors' ability to make impairment findings if they are serving as the attending physician at the time of claim closure.

As discussed in the return-to-work chapter, Oregon has innovative and effective return-to-work programs. Injured workers who complete vocational assistance plans, use preferred worker benefits, or use the Employer-at-Injury Program have higher post-injury employment rates and wages than similar workers who do not use these programs.

The 2009 Legislature also passed bills that affected return-to-work programs, including bills that allow insurers and self-insured employers to forego a vocational evaluation if the worker is released for regular work but has not returned to work; allow insurers and self-insured employers to voluntarily extend the payment of disability compensation to 21 months for workers in vocational training; clarify the duration of insurance premium and premium assessment exemption for preferred workers; modify the vocational assistance dispute resolution process; and replace certification with a registry of vocational assistance provider organizations.

Finally, as discussed in the insurance chapter, Oregon has one of the nation's least expensive workers' compensation systems. Oregon conducts a study every two years that compares the premium rates for its major industries to the premium rates in other states. Based on this methodology, Oregon's rates in 2010 ranked 41st out of 51 jurisdictions, which means Oregon's premium rates are the 11th lowest in the nation. Because of the system's successes, such as declining injury rates and workers getting back to work earlier, there has not been an increase in the workers' compensation pure premium rate since 1990. In 2011, the pure premium rate will be about 37 percent of the 1990 rate.

# **Department of Consumer and Business Services**

#### OUR MISSION

To protect and serve Oregon's consumers and workers while supporting a positive business climate in the state.

### WHAT WE DO

DCBS is Oregon's regulatory agency. The department administers state laws and rules and protects consumers and workers in the areas of workers' compensation, occupational safety and health, financial services, insurance, and building codes.

### WHAT WE VALUE

- ✓ A commitment to public service
- ✓ Integrity, expertise, and personal responsibility
- ✓ Collaborative, creative efforts to find solutions
- ✓ Effectiveness and accountability in our people and our programs
- ✓ Excellent customer service
- ✓ Effective communication
- ✓ Respect for the diverse community of DCBS and Oregon

### **OUR GOALS**

- $\checkmark$  To protect consumers and workers in Oregon
- ✓ To regulate in a manner that supports a positive business climate
- $\checkmark$  To be accountable to the public we serve, with excellent service to our customers

#### **DCBS Organizational Chart**



# History of Workers' Compensation in Oregon

# **Early history**

The 1913 Oregon Legislative Assembly gave Oregon its first workers' compensation law; it became effective July 1, 1914. The law set up the State Industrial Accident Commission, consisting of three trustees, to oversee the Industrial Accident Fund. Employers in hazardous occupations had to decide whether to be part of the fund. Contributors to the fund could not be sued; instead, suits were brought against the commission. Employers who did not contribute had no common-law defenses, and the Employer Liability Act made them vulnerable to unlimited damages for worker injuries or illnesses. Employers in nonhazardous occupations also could contribute to the fund and get the benefits.

In 1965, the Legislature overhauled the law. Most employers came under the Workmen's Compensation Law, effective Jan. 1, 1966. Two years later, all employers that employed subject workers came under this law. Employers could buy the commission's insurance, self-insure, or insure with private companies. The State Industrial Accident Commission was renamed the Workmen's Compensation Board, and its insurance function was given to the State Compensation Department, the forerunner of SAIF Corporation.

The federal Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 gave rise to the Oregon Safe Employment Act in 1973. Its purpose was to ensure safe and healthful working conditions and to reduce the burden — in terms of lost production, lost wages, medical expenses, disability compensation payments, and human suffering — caused by occupational injury and disease.

The 1977 Legislature created the Workers' Compensation Department, which took on the administrative functions previously under the Workmen's Compensation Board. The board continued supervising the Hearings Division, functioning as an appellate body. Today, the Workers' Compensation Division is part of the Department of Consumer and Business Services. The department also contains other divisions involved in workers' compensation and workplace safety: Oregon OSHA, the Insurance Division, the Ombudsman for Injured Workers, and the Small Business Ombudsman. The Workers' Compensation Board is an independent agency that relies on DCBS for administrative support.

# History since 1987

The Oregon workers' compensation system has undergone major changes over the past two decades. In 1986, Oregon ranked sixth highest in the nation in the average workers' compensation premium rates paid by employers. It also had one of the nation's highest occupational injury and illness incidence rates. To improve the system, the 1987 Legislature enacted House Bill 2900. This bill expanded the requirements for safety and health loss-prevention programs, increased penalties against employers who violate the state's safety and health act, created the Preferred Worker Program while limiting other vocational assistance, increased benefits, limited the authority of the Workers' Compensation Board, and created the office of the Ombudsman for Injured Workers. A companion bill, HB 2271, limited mental stress claims and placed on the worker the burden of proving that a claim is compensable.

Three years later, workers' compensation costs remained high, and SAIF Corporation had canceled many small employers' policies. These conditions provided the impetus for further reforms. During a May 1990 special session, the Legislature passed Senate Bill 1197 and other legislation. SB 1197 expanded requirements for safety committees, required that the department's disability standards be used at claim closure and for all subsequent litigation, required that the department create a workers' compensation claims examiner program, limited attending physicians and palliative care, allowed the use of managed care organizations, modified the Preferred Worker Program, increased benefits, created claim disposition agreements, expanded the department's dispute resolution

processes, increased Oregon OSHA staffing, created the Ombudsman for Small Business, and established the Management-Labor Advisory Committee. To allow insurers more time to investigate claims, the bill increased the period for claim acceptance or denial from 60 days to 90 days. It also redefined compensability by stating that the injury must be the major contributing cause of the need for treatment. In addition, it stated that a claim was compensable only as long as the compensable condition remained the major contributing cause of the need for treatment.

Following the passage of SB 1197, workers' compensation premium rates fell rapidly. Rates declined by more than 10 percent each year for three years after the special session. In 1994, Oregon had the 32nd highest premium rate ranking in the country.

The 1993 legislative session made only minor changes to the Oregon workers' compensation system. These included HB 2282, which addressed the regulation of employee leasing companies, and HB 2285, which dealt with Oregon's 24-hour health plan, a pilot project that combined group health coverage and workers' compensation medical coverage. HB 3069 amended the public records law to restrict access to claims history information in certain circumstances when the information could be used to discriminate against injured workers.

By the end of 1994, several court decisions had interpreted some of the legislative provisions. Then, in February 1995, the Oregon Supreme Court ruled in Errand v. Cascade Steel Rolling Mills that the exclusive remedy provision of workers' compensation law applied only to compensable claims, not to denied claims. The exclusive remedy provision states that an employee injured on the job is entitled to workers' compensation benefits but may not sue the employer for damages. Partly in response to these decisions, the 1995 Legislature passed SB 369. This bill emerged as an 80-page reform of the workers' compensation system. It restated the legislative intent of SB 1197 by revising the definitions of compensability, disabling claims, and objective findings. It stated that the exclusive remedy provisions applied to all claims. In addition, the bill created the Worksite Redesign Program and expanded the Employer-at-Injury Program.

The 1997 and 1999 legislatures made few changes to the workers' compensation system. Changes tended to limit the department's functions and expand insurers' responsibilities. The 1997 Legislature eliminated the State Advisory Council on Occupational Safety and Health. In 1999, the Legislature passed HB 2830, which required Oregon OSHA to revise its method for scheduling workplace inspections and to notify certain employers of an increased likelihood of inspection. The legislature also eliminated the department's claims-examiner program and the department's responsibility to establish medical utilization and treatment standards. Both of these responsibilities had been added by SB 1197. The 1999 Legislature also transferred all claim-closure responsibility from the department to insurers and self-insured employers.

In addition, the 1999 Legislature allocated funds for a study of the effects of changes in the compensability language in SB 1197 and SB 369. Legislators were interested in learning the extent to which these changes affected the costs of the workers' compensation system and the benefits paid to injured workers. The department contracted with a team of leading workers' compensation researchers. The team issued its report, Final Report, Oregon Major Contributing Cause Study, in October 2000. The researchers concluded that the effects of the changes in the compensability definition could not be isolated but that the overall provisions of SB 1197 and SB 369 resulted in benefit reductions of at least 13 percent. This savings was due to the decline in the number of claims.

For budgetary reasons, the 2001 Legislature further limited the department's oversight. The numbers of health and safety inspectors and consultants and re-employment assistance consultants were reduced. Also, funding for the Workplace Redesign Program was eliminated. Policymakers decided the functions were not needed because of the decline in disabling claims and the availability of privatesector vocational programs.

The 2001 legislative session also saw the passage of SB 485, the most comprehensive workers' compensation bill since 1995. The bill was created partly in response to another court decision. In May 2001, the Oregon Supreme Court ruled in

Smothers v. Gresham Transfer, Inc., that some of the exclusive-remedy provisions in SB 369 were unconstitutional. Workers whose claims were denied because their injuries were not the major contributing cause of the disability or need for treatment were permitted to pursue civil action against their employers. SB 485 created a process for these suits. It also revised the definitions of pre-existing conditions and stated that the employer has the burden of proof in showing that the compensable condition is not the major contributing cause of the need for treatment. The Legislature was concerned that the Smothers decision would have a significant impact on the costs of the system, so it mandated a legislative proposal for a revised system in time for the 2003 session. The impact of the Smothers decision has been far less than foreseen.

SB 485 and companion bills included other important changes. To address worker concerns, SB 485 expanded the calculation of temporary disability benefits to include the wages lost from multiple jobs, added the right of workers to submit depositions during the reconsideration process, and added provisions for some workers to request medical exams during the claim-denial appeal process. To lessen the uncertainty of the claims process, the bill clarified time limits in the claim process, reduced the time an insurer has to accept or deny a claim from 90 days to 60 days, and added the responsibility for insurers to pay for some medical services prior to a claim denial.

In 2003, the Legislature passed SB 757, which significantly changed the permanent partial disability award structure for workers injured since Jan. 1, 2005. The new structure simplified the rating system, and provided larger awards to injured workers who are unable to return to work. The benefits were designed to avoid increased initial costs to the workers' compensation system, resulting in lower benefits to some workers who do return to work.

The 2005 Legislature revised Senate Bill 757 by enacting House Bill 2408, which provided that a worker receives only impairment benefits, not work disability benefits, when the worker is released to regular work by the attending physician or returns to regular work. The law applies to claims with dates of injury on or after Jan. 1, 2006. SB 386, also effective Jan. 1, 2006, modified the standard for establishing or rescinding permanent total disability benefits. The bill set an earnings threshold to determine what constitutes gainful employment that is linked to the federal poverty guidelines. The bill also allows workers to appeal to the Hearings Division of the Workers' Compensation Board any notice of closure that reverses their permanent total disability benefits; workers' benefits continue while notices of closure are appealed.

The 2005 Legislature also addressed the process for insurer-requested independent medical examinations. SB 311 required insurers to select an independent medical examination provider from a list developed by the Department of Consumer and Business Services.

The 2007 Legislature passed HB 2756, which expanded the authority of chiropractors, podiatrists, naturopaths, and physician assistants to act as attending physicians for up to 60 days or 18 visits, whichever comes first. These provider groups can also authorize time loss for up to 30 days and manage the worker's return to work during that period. HB 2244 and HB 2247 made permanent earlier provisions applying to permanent partial disability benefits and medical services by nurse practitioners.

HB 2218 and SB 253 streamlined a number of regulatory and dispute resolution processes. Another streamlining measure, SB 559 (effective July 1, 2009) simplified proof of coverage for insurers and employers. It removed the requirement for guaranty contract filing, instead requiring the insurer to provide policy information to the department as proof of coverage.

SB 404 allowed for payment of appeal-related costs to injured workers, and also allowed attorneys to file liens for fees out of additional compensation when the worker had signed a fee agreement and the attorney was instrumental in obtaining the outcome of the claim. SB 835 mandated an interim study of death benefits and a report to the 2009 Legislative Assembly.

A number of bills passed the 2007 Legislature that affected health and safety. HB 2022 mandated comprehensive data collection and analysis on assaults to health care employees. HB 2222

removed specific safety committee requirements from the law, which gave the director authority to write rules to require all employers to have a safety committee or hold safety meetings. HB 2259 increased the time in which a worker can file a retaliation complaint from 30 days to 90 days, with the Oregon Bureau of Labor and Industries.

#### 2009 legislative session

The 2009 Legislature passed HB 2420, which added 12 conditions, including a variety of cancers, to the existing presumption for employmentcaused occupational diseases of nonvolunteer firefighters who have completed five or more years of employment. Denial of the claim for any condition or impairment must be on the basis of clear and convincing medical evidence that the condition was not caused or contributed to by the firefighter's employment. The first diagnoses by a physician must occur after July 1, 2009.

HB 2815 created the Interagency Compliance Network, charging state agencies, including the Department of Consumer and Business Services, with working to establish consistency in agency determinations relating to the classification of workers, including the classification of workers as independent contractors. Agencies sharing information will better ensure that workers and employers comply with laws relating to taxation or employment, including workers' compensation law.

HB 2197 clarified the period that the medical service provider who is not qualified to be an attending physician may provide compensable medical service to an injured worker, and restored chiropractors' ability to make impairment findings if they are serving as the attending physician at the time of claim closure. SB 110 improved the benefits to beneficiaries when a worker is killed on the job or dies while permanently and totally disabled from a work injury. In addition, if a worker dies before his or her permanent partial disability award is fully paid, the insurer must pay the full remainder of the permanent disability benefit to the worker's estate.

The 2009 Legislature passed a number of bills that affected return-to-work assistance. HB 2195 replaces certification with a registry for vocational assistance provider organizations; allows insurers or self-insured employers to voluntarily extend the payment of temporary disability compensation to 21 months; and modifies the vocational assistance dispute resolution process. HB 2705 allows insurers and self-insured employers to forego a vocational evaluation if the worker is released for regular work but has not returned to work. HB 2197 clarifies the duration of premium assessment exemption for preferred workers.

Two bills passed the 2009 Legislature that affect disputes. HB 2197 allows the parties to resolve medical fee disputes informally without requesting an administrative review by the director. HB 3345 provides attorney fees in five circumstances in which workers' attorneys were not compensated for services; increases statutory caps on claimant attorney fees, and ties an annual increase in the caps to changes in the state average weekly wage; allows for penalties when an insurer or self-insured employer does not respond within 14 days to a claimant request for a claim reclassification; and authorizes the Management-Labor Advisory Committee to study the effects of changes to attorney fees.

#### Celebrating 20 years of success in workers' compensation

Twenty years ago, a group of Oregon workers and employers came together to fix a crumbling workers' compensation system. Known as the "Mahonia Hall reforms," the changes developed by the group were adopted by the Legislature in a special session on May 7, 1990. Since then, Oregon's workers' compensation system has become one of the most successful in the nation, with low costs for employers, improved workplace safety, and innovative programs to help injured workers get back on the job.

20 years brochure:

http://www.cbs.state.or.us/external/wcd/success\_stories.pdf

# **2010 Report Highlights**

The basic measures of workplace safety and health are injury and illness frequencies and claims frequencies.

- The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics uses an employer survey to estimate injury and illness frequencies. In 2009, the Oregon total-cases incidence rate was 4.4 cases per 100 full-time workers. Incidence rates have been declining. In 1988, the total cases rate was 11.1 cases per 100 workers.
- In 2009, there were 18,948 accepted disabling claims. The accepted disabling claims rate, which reflects both claims frequency and compensability standards, was 1.2 accepted disabling claims per 100 workers in 2009. This is 31 percent of the 1988 value.

Oregon OSHA provides workplace consultations and inspections.

- Oregon OSHA staff provided 2,898 consultations in 2009, the highest number ever. These consultations help employers identify hazards that could lead to workplace injuries or illnesses.
- There were 5,541 Oregon OSHA inspections in federal fiscal year 2009. No violations were found in 24 percent of the inspections. Since 1988, the number of employers in Oregon OSHA's jurisdiction has grown by about 34 percent, while the annual number of inspections has remained about the same.
- The Safety and Health Achievement Recognition Program (SHARP) provides incentives for Oregon employers to work with their employees to correct hazards and to develop effective safety and health programs. In 2009, 161 Oregon companies from diverse industries had been certified as SHARP employers.

The workers' compensation claims system has been fairly steady over the past few years.

- The denial rate of disabling claims was 15 percent in fiscal year 2010, similar to the previous year, but higher than from 2006 to 2008. The denial rate of disabling occupational disease claims was 35 percent.
- Insurers made timely compensability decisions 94 percent of the time, and timely first benefit payments 91 percent of the time in 2009.

The department provides services for workers, employers, medical providers, and others through its ombudsman offices and through the Workers' Compensation Division information line.

- The Office of the Ombudsman for Injured Workers serves as an independent advocate for injured workers seeking resolution of issues concerning their claims. There were about 11,600 inquiries to the office in 2009. The issues that prompt the most inquiries are benefits, medical, claim processing, and settlements.
- The Office of Small Business Ombudsman for Workers' Compensation is a resource center for employers needing information about the workers' compensation system. The office had more than 1,200 inquiries in 2009.
- The Workers' Compensation Division has a telephone information line for workers, employers, insurers, medical providers, attorneys, legislators, and others. In 2009, there were more than 9,600 calls to the information line.

The department penalizes employers, insurers, and others for federal and state rule violations.

 During federal fiscal year 2009, Oregon OSHA issued 4,213 citations against employers with \$3.1 million in penalties for workplace safety and health violations.

In 2009, WCD issued 739 citations against insurers for failing to meet requirements for payment of compensation, claim acceptance or denial, and claim closure. The penalties totaled more than \$404,000.

Injured workers with disabling claims receive indemnity benefits, such as temporary disability payments and permanent disability awards, and medical services. The amount paid for indemnity benefits has remained fairly constant over the past decade, while the amount paid for medical benefits has increased.

- About 47 percent of paid benefits in 2009 were indemnity benefits; in contrast, in 1995, 56 percent of benefits were indemnity benefits.
- In 2009, 40 percent of indemnity benefits for accepted disabling claims were temporary disability benefits, 22 percent were permanent partial disability benefits, and 28 percent were settlements.
- In 2009, an estimated \$334 million was paid for workers' compensation medical services. The three largest physician service categories were physical medicine, evaluation and management, and surgery.
- Injured workers are not usually enrolled in managed care organizations until their claims are accepted. In 2009, 40 percent of injured workers with accepted disabling claims were enrolled in MCOs. SAIF enrolled 63 percent of its injured workers, private insurers enrolled 9 percent of their injured workers, and selfinsured employers enrolled 39 percent.

After the prevention of injuries, the most important goals of the workers' compensation system are returning injured workers to their jobs quickly and restoring them to their preinjury wages. Oregon's return-to-work programs are effective in achieving these goals. Workers who have used the department's return-to-work programs have higher employment rates and higher wages than workers who have not used these programs.

- The Preferred Worker Program provides incentives for employers to hire workers with permanent disabilities who are unable to return to regular work. As of July 2010, 26 percent of the workers issued cards in 2006 had used them to gain employment. Workers who used Preferred Worker benefits have employment rates that are at least 20 percentage points higher than those who do not use their benefits.
- Use of the Employer-at-Injury Program, which provides benefits to employers who return their injured employees to work quickly, has been steady for the past four years; more than 8,600 workers used the program in 2009.
- Oregon's traditional vocational assistance program was scaled back in 1987. In 2009, about 95 workers returned to work after completing vocational assistance. This compares with about 3,600 workers in 1987. Workers who complete vocational assistance plans have employment rates that are at least 20 percent higher than workers who do not complete plans.

In 2009, the Workers' Compensation Division and the Workers' Compensation Board resolved more than 17,000 disputes through orders, stipulations, agreements, and mediation.

- In 2009, 17.5 percent of claim closures were appealed for reconsideration. Nearly 3,600 reconsideration orders were written; 22 percent of these orders were appealed to the Hearings Division.
- The Vocational Rehabilitation Unit resolved 432 vocational disputes in 2009. Of these cases, 26 percent were resolved through agreements. Another 39 percent of the disputes were dismissed, often because vocational assistance benefits were released in claim disposition agreements.
- There were more than 8,560 hearing requests to the Workers' Compensation Board in 2009, less than a third of the number of requests in 1989.

- Claims denial was an issue in 36 percent of the approximately 9,000 hearing orders issued in 2009. Partial denial of claims was an issue in 45 percent of the hearing orders.
- Claimant attorney fees totaled \$22.2 million in 2009. Sixty-seven percent of these fees were taken out of claim disposition agreements and disputed claim settlements. Insurer attorney fees totaled \$37 million.

Although the 1990 reforms changed the Oregon workers' compensation system dramatically, the market has been fairly steady during recent years.

■ The Department and Consumer and Business Services approved overall pure premium rate reductions of 1.3 percent for 2010 and 1.8 percent for 2011.

- The 2011 workers' compensation pure premium rate is 37 percent of the 1990 rate.
- Workers' compensation total system written premiums in Oregon totaled \$767 million for 2009, down 19 percent from 2008.
- SAIF Corporation's share of the market in 2009 was 41 percent. Private insurers' market share was 42 percent. Self-insured employers and employer groups had the remainder of the market, 18 percent.
- Oregon's assigned risk pool shrank for the fourth straight year in 2009 after mild growth between 2003 and 2005. In 2009, more than 9,200 employers were in the pool.

#### Lessons from the Oregon Workers' Compensation System

"When considering changing their workers' compensation systems, state policymakers often want to learn more about the system in Oregon – a state with a reputation for achieving certain desirable outcomes, including reasonable income benefits that are typically delivered accurately and promptly with lower litigation levels, and employer costs that are affordable and stable," according to the Workers' Compensation Research Institute (WCRI) study, called "Lessons from the Oregon Workers' Compensation System." The study outlines the following four key lessons from Oregon's workers' compensation system: cooperation between management and labor through the Management-Labor Advisory Committee; accurate and timely benefits for injured workers; reduced litigation over benefits; and return-to-work programs that help get injured workers back to work faster.

"Lessons" press release:

http://www.oregon.gov/DCBS/docs/news\_releases/2008/nr\_5\_06\_08.pdf?ga=t

# Safety and Health

The most widely used measures of workplace safety are injury and illness rates and claims rates. These rates are now less than half of what they were in the late 1980s.

# Injury and illness rates and claims rates

For more than 30 years, the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics has used an employer survey based on OSHA recordkeeping requirements to estimate occupational injury and illness frequencies. This survey provides valuable information about trends in workplace injuries. In Oregon, the total-cases incidence rate in the private sector, a measure of all workplace injuries and illnesses, was 11.1 cases per 100 full-time workers in 1988. It has fallen steadily since then and was 4.4 cases per 100 fulltime workers in 2009. In 2009, the latest year for which we have data, the national rate was 3.6 cases per 100 workers.

Within the workers' compensation system, the accepted disabling claims rate is a measure similar to the incidence rate. Like the incidence rate, the accepted disabling claims rate has fallen significantly over the past two decades. It has declined from 3.8 accepted disabling claims per 100 workers in 1988 to 1.2 per 100 workers in 2009.

The number of accepted disabling claims (ADCs) has fallen most years over most of the past two decades. An exception to the trend was the period







between 2003-2007. Employment grew by 11 percent over this four-year period, and the number of ADCs increased by 7 percent. During the recent recession, however, workers' compensation covered employment fell by 7 percent between 2007 and 2009, and the number of ADCs declined 19 percent. Compensable fatalities have also declined; the 31 deaths in 2009 tied with 2005 as the fewest ever recorded.

Oregon's emphasis on workplace safety and health, legislative changes in the definition of compensability, changes in insurer claims-management practices, and the evolution of Oregon's economy over the past two decades have affected both claims volume and claims rates. Comparatively, national incidence rates have fallen at rates similar to Oregon's, perhaps indicating that claims rates would have fallen, even without legislative reform. Despite these qualifications, the increased emphasis on safety and health, especially by Oregon OSHA, has played an important role in the reduction of workers' compensation costs in Oregon.

# Occupational Safety and Health Administration

The best way to reduce the costs and suffering associated with workers' compensation claims is to reduce workplace injuries, illnesses, and fatalities. Oregon OSHA provides leadership and support to business and labor through enforcement programs, voluntary services, conferences and workshops, technical resources, publications, and a resource library.

# **Oregon OSHA and Federal OSHA**

The Federal Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 went into effect in 1971. The Oregon version of this legislation, the Oregon Safe Employment Act (OSEA), was passed in 1973. The OSEA is now administered through a state-plan agreement with federal OSHA.

In May 2005, through the long-standing efforts of Oregon OSHA, Oregon became the 17th state to gain final approval for meeting the requirements of the 1970 federal act. This approval means that federal OSHA has formally relinquished enforcement authority in areas under Oregon OSHA jurisdiction. Many states that have received this recognition employ rules that are identical to federal requirements. In contrast, Oregon has designed its safety standards based on Oregon's unique working conditions. Therefore, the approval of a plan that differs substantially from the federal program is an important achievement. Even with final state plan approval, federal OSHA continues to fund a portion of Oregon OSHA's budget and annually monitors its performance through the five-year strategic plan.

### Legislative reform

Since the passage of the OSEA, other pieces of legislation have affected Oregon OSHA's programs. Between 1987 and 1991, the Oregon Legislature significantly increased the emphasis on safety and health in the workplace. This was done by increasing safety and health enforcement, training, and consultative staff; increasing penalties against employers who violate state safety and health regulations; requiring insurers to provide loss-prevention consultative services; offering employer and employee training opportunities through a grant program; requiring joint labormanagement safety committees; and targeting safety and health inspections of workplaces.

The 1999 Legislature passed HB 2830, which directed Oregon OSHA to notify certain employers of the increased likelihood of an inspection and to focus Oregon OSHA enforcement activities on the most unsafe workplaces. In 2005, at Oregon OSHA's request, HB 2093 removed the accepted disabling claims rate as one of the criteria used by Oregon OSHA when identifying employers who will receive this notification. This legislation provided the director with the authority to determine the most unsafe industries and workplaces to be notified of the increased likelihood of an inspection.

In 1990, SB 1197 required employers with more than 10 employees, and certain employers with fewer than 10 employees, to establish safety committees. However, in 2007, the Legislature passed HB 2222, which removed all of the specific safety committee requirements from the law and gave the Department of Consumer and Business Services the authority to write rules requiring all employers to establish and administer safety committees or hold safety meetings. HB 2222 also allows for alternate forms of safety committees and meetings to meet the special needs of small employers, agricultural employers, and employers with mobile work sites.

Many of the legislative changes have affected agriculture. In 1995, small agricultural employers without any serious accidents and who followed specified training and consultation schedules were exempted from scheduled inspections. In 1997, Oregon OSHA was authorized to enforce the law requiring operators of farmworker camps to provide seven days of housing in the event of camp closure by a government agency. Prior to this legislative change, the Bureau of Labor and Industries enforced the law. The 1999 Legislature exempted corporate farms with only familymember employees from occupational safety and health requirements. HB 3573 (2001) created the Farmworker Housing Development Account and directed that the money collected from civil penalties imposed for the nonregistration of farmworker camps be put into the account.

# **Voluntary Services/Outreach**

### **Consultative services**

Oregon OSHA staff members provided 2,898 consultations in 2009. This function was added to the department's duties through SB 2900 in 1987 and expanded with the passage of SB 1197 in 1990. Consultative services help Oregon employers identify hazards and work practices that could lead to injuries or illnesses. Employers are provided recommendations for correcting identified hazards and for improving their safety and health programs. Consultative services also include the time-intensive process of assisting interested employers as they work toward Safety and Health Achievement Recognition Program (SHARP) recognition and evaluating worksites for qualification in the Voluntary Protection Program.

### Safety and Health Achievement Recognition Program

The Safety and Health Achievement Recognition Program (SHARP) recognizes employers who reach specific benchmarks in managing their occupational safety and health program. SHARP provides employers assistance and tools for effectively managing workplace safety, focusing on management commitment, and employee participation. Companies that use SHARP to implement a safety and health management system often experience a reduction in injuries and illnesses, and in turn reduce their workers' compensation insurance premiums. The program was implemented in 1996 with four employers certified. By the end of 2009, the program had grown to 161 employers.

### **Voluntary Protection Program**

Federal OSHA developed the Voluntary Protection Program (VPP) as a way to recognize employers who demonstrate excellence in safety and health management. To be considered for VPP recognition, a company's safety and health management system must excel in all areas, including management leadership, employee involvement, worksite analysis, hazard prevention



#### Figure 3. Oregon OSHA consultations opened, 1988-2009

and control, and safety and health training. VPP worksites must also have a three-year average injury and illness rate at or below the rates of other employers in the same industry. At the end of 2009, there were 24 Oregon worksites participating in VPP.

# **Oregon OSHA** grants

Since 1990, Oregon OSHA has awarded nearly \$2.9 million in grants to nonprofit organizations and associations to develop innovative programs for occupational safety and health training. The programs are designed to reduce or eliminate hazards in an entire industry or in a specific work process. Examples of programs that have received grants are homebuilders' manuals and videos in English, Russian, and Spanish; an educational program for nurses to prevent ergonomic injuries; a dairy farmers' checklist and video; and lifting guidelines.

In 2008, Oregon OSHA awarded \$1.04 million in grants to a rural critical care hospital and a longterm care facility to develop model sites for safe patient handling. This was done in collaboration with the Oregon Coalition for Healthcare Ergonomics as a means to address the growing problem of health care worker injuries and their associated costs.

In 2010, due to the severe revenue shortfall, the director of the Department of Consumer and Business Services accepted the recommendation of the Safe Employment Education and Training Advisory Committee (SEETAC) to suspend the training grants program for the remainder of the current biennium (through June 2011). The revenue status will be reviewed prior to the beginning of the 2011-2013 biennium to determine when the training grants program can be reactivated.

### Safety and Health Training Programs

Oregon OSHA also provides training to both employers and employees. Attendance at public education and conference training sessions between 1998 and 2009 exceeded 280,000. These educational forums provide an opportunity to share ideas on occupational safety and health with national experts. Most Oregon OSHA conferences are coordinated and presented in partnership with businesses, associations, labor unions, etc. Every other year, Oregon OSHA and the American Association of Safety Engineers work together to present the Governor's Occupational Safety and Health Conference (GOSH). In 2009, in addition to the GOSH conference, there were six other conferences held around Oregon that addressed a variety of safety and health issues.

In 2009, the Public Education Section offered more than 933 workshops and on-site trainings on 51 different topics related to safety and health in the workplace.

### Partnerships with stakeholders

Oregon OSHA collaborates with groups, including business organizations and labor unions, to design better safety and health programs for workers. Oregon OSHA has 36 active partnerships with organizations and individuals who have an interest in workplace safety and health. Many of the partnerships take the form of stakeholder advisory committees that assist in the development of new rules, provide input on agency direction on current issues, foster outreach and education with specific industries, and sponsor conferences.

For example, Oregon OSHA worked with the Oregon Collaboration for Healthy Nail Salons to provide education on environmental health hazards in the nail salon industry. The joint effort resulted in two informative publications, including one translated into Vietnamese, that specifically targeted workers in the industry, as well as an extensive public information outreach effort to the affected workers.

Oregon OSHA also adopted a formal alliance policy to acknowledge some of the collaborations with industry or labor groups. Agreements were recently signed with the Oregon Homebuilders Association, Oregon Restaurant Association, and Oregon Coalition for Healthcare Ergonomics.

Oregon OSHA is also participating as a member of O[yes] Oregon Young Employee Safety Coalition. The mission of O[yes] is to prevent young worker injuries and fatalities. O[yes]

#### Oregon OSHA Resource Center: A One-Stop Source for Workplace Safety and Health Information

The Oregon OSHA Resource Center is the only library in Oregon that specializes in health and safety in the workplace; it is a public service provided to Oregon employers and workers by the Oregon Department of Consumer and Business Services.

Videos and DVDs about workplace safety and health are available in the free lending library maintained by the Resource Center. Any employer or worker in Oregon may use our video library. The user's only cost will be for sending the item back to the Resource Center via a "trackable" carrier (USPS, etc.). This is a popular service with about 400 videos and/or DVDs going out each month.

The Resource Center carries a full selection of Oregon OSHA publications at its Labor and Industries Building location in Salem at 350 Winter St NE; but if you are not in the neighborhood you can read or order copies online at <u>http://www. orosha.org/standards/publications.html</u>.

Books, journals, and consensus standards (NIOSH, ANSI, etc.) are available for use or review in the Resource Center.

Library topics include safety and health management, industrial hygiene, hazardous chemicals, occupational medicine, and ergonomics.

A skilled research librarian is available via e-mail at <u>osha.resource@state.or.us</u> or by calling 800-922-2689 or 503-378-3272. educates its constituency of young workers, educators, employers, parents, and labor and trade associations through outreach, advocacy, and sharing of resources.

# Enforcement

### **Oregon OSHA inspections**

Oregon OSHA conducted 5,541 inspections in federal fiscal year 2009. More than 11,000 violations of safety and health standards were cited on 4,213 citations. Penalties assessed for these employer violations in federal fiscal year 2009 were \$3.1 million, which is higher than previous years.

Inspections at employer worksites in Oregon are based primarily on inspection targeting lists, complaints, accidents (including fatalities), and referrals. Seventy-three percent, about 4,000 inspections, were initiated from several targeting lists. Complaints received by Oregon OSHA about the safety or health conditions at Oregon worksites resulted in 697 inspections, 13 percent of the total. Accidents and fatalities at Oregon worksites resulted in 181 inspections, 3 percent of the total.

Although the number of inspections has varied from year to year, there has been no long-term increase in inspections since at least 1988. During the same period, the number of Oregon employers has grown 34 percent.



#### Figure 4. Oregon OSHA inspections, 1988-2009

#### **Loss-prevention services**

From 1989 to 1999, workers' compensation insurers provided mandatory loss-prevention services to employers Oregon OSHA identified as having at least three accepted disabling claims and a claims rate above the statewide average or having at least 20 claims. In July 1999, administrative rule changes required insurers to identify employers with a claims frequency greater than the industry average and offer loss-prevention services. Oregon OSHA conducts inspections of insurers' and self-insured employers' loss-prevention activities to ensure that employers are offered loss-prevention services. These services include assistance in developing written loss-prevention plans, workplace hazard surveys, identification of resources to reduce hazards, and assistance in evaluating safety and health training needs.

#### **Customer service**

One factor in the success of Oregon OSHA's enforcement activities is the performance of its compliance officers. The department surveys employers that Oregon OSHA inspected, allowing employers to rate the performance of compliance officers. On average, more than 90 percent of completed questionnaires show "good" to "very good" ratings for compliance officers in their general knowledge of the job, professional and personal attributes, and ability to explain the reason for the inspection, and the rights and responsibilities of the inspected employer. In addition, the majority of respondents indicate a belief that their inspection will result in a reduction of workplace hazards.

Oregon OSHA's consultation services also receive high marks in customer service. Among employers surveyed in FY 2009, nearly all (95 percent) rated their consultant as "good" or "excellent" in regard to helpfulness, expertise, timeliness, accuracy, availability of information, and overall service.

| Accepted disabling claims, employment, and claims rates, 1987-2009 |                  |            |             |   |
|--|------------------|------------|-------------|---|
|  | Accepted         |            |             | The number of accepted disabling claims has fallen most years |
| Year   | disabling claims | Employment | Claims rate | over the past two decades. An exception to the trend was the  |
| 1987   | 41,033           | 1,105,200  | 3.71        | period between 2003 and 2007. Employment grew by 11 percent   |
| 1988   | 43,660           | 1,161,100  | 3.76        | over this four-year period, and the number of ADCs increased  |
| 1989   | 39,170           | 1,214,900  | 3.22        | by 7 percent. Due to the recession, however, employment fell  |
| 1990   | 35,857           | 1,258,600  | 2.85        | by 7 percent between 2007 and 2009, and the number of ADCs    |
| 1991   | 31,479           | 1,258,600  | 2.50        | declined 19 percent.  |
| 1992   | 30,786           | 1,280,500  | 2.40        | The claims rate has also follon over time. The rate was at a  |
| 1993   | 30,741           | 1,317,100  | 2.33        | The claims rate has also fallen over time. The rate was at a  |
| 1994   | 31,530           | 1,378,800  | 2.29        | record low in 2009, with 1.2 accepted disabling claims per    |
| 1995   | 30,564           | 1,431,600  | 2.13        | 100 workers.  |
| 1996   | 28,389           | 1,487,300  | 1.91        | Note: Workers' compensation covered employment figures are    |
| 1997   | 27,922           | 1,547,800  | 1.80        | based on data from the Employment Department. CY 2008 and     |
| 1998   | 27,020           | 1,576,100  | 1.71        | 2009 figures will be revised.                                 |
| 1999   | 25,769           | 1,602,700  | 1.61        |   |
| 2000   | 25,325           | 1,627,600  | 1.56        |   |
| 2001   | 24,607           | 1,616,400  | 1.52        |   |
| 2002   | 23,464           | 1,596,100  | 1.47        |   |
| 2003   | 21,823           | 1,585,800  | 1.38        |   |
| 2004   | 22,320           | 1,630,500  | 1.37        |   |
| 2005   | 22,111           | 1,683,100  | 1.31        |   |
| 2006   | 23,370           | 1,734,400  | 1.35        |   |
| 2007   | 23,431           | 1,762,700  | 1.33        |   |
| 2008   | 21,659           | 1,746,200  | 1.24        |   |
| 2009   | 18,948           | 1,635,400  | 1.16        |   |

| Comper | sable fatalities, 1987-2009 | )             |  |
|--------|-----------------------------|---------------|--|
| Year   | Compensable fatalities      | Fatality rate | There were 31 compensable fatalities in 2009, the lowest since           |
| 1987   | 78                          | 7.1           | 2005, when 31 fatalities were also reported.                             |
| 1988   | 81                          | 7.0           | A large rise in yearly fatality counts can occur because of multiple-    |
| 1989   | 76                          | 6.3           | fatality incidents. In 2008, one incident resulted in the deaths of      |
| 1990   | 64                          | 5.1           | eight Oregon employees.  |
| 1991   | 65                          | 5.2           | Compensable fatalities are counted in the year they are reported,        |
| 1992   | 63                          | 4.9           | which may not necessarily correspond to the year of occurrence.          |
| 1993   | 64                          | 4.9           |  |
| 1994   | 55                          | 4.0           | Note: The fatality rate is the number of fatalities per 100,000 workers. |
| 1995   | 48                          | 3.4           | WOIKEIS.   |
| 1996   | 54                          | 3.6           |  |
| 1997   | 43                          | 2.8           |  |
| 1998   | 52                          | 3.3           |  |
| 1999   | 47                          | 2.9           |  |
| 2000   | 45                          | 2.8           |  |
| 2001   | 34                          | 2.1           |  |
| 2002   | 52                          | 3.3           |  |
| 2003   | 41                          | 2.6           |  |
| 2004   | 45                          | 2.8           |  |
| 2005   | 31                          | 1.8           |  |
| 2006   | 37                          | 2.1           |  |
| 2007   | 35                          | 2.0           |  |
| 2008   | 45                          | 2.6           |  |
| 2009   | 31                          | 1.9           |  |

| Occupat | Occupational injuries and illnesses incidence rates, Oregon private sector, 1987-2009 |                 |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|---|-----------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|         |   | Cases with days |           | Incidence rates are the number of cases per 100 workers.             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year    | Total cases IR  | away from work  | DART rate | Beginning with the 2002 BLS survey, incidence rates are based        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987    | 10.9  | 4.8             | -         | on revised requirements for recording occupational injuries and      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988    | 11.1  | 4.9             | -         | illnesses. Due to the revised requirements, the rates since 2002     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989    | 10.6  | 4.3             | -         | survey may not be comparable with those of prior years.              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990    | 10.1  | 3.9             | -         | The total-cases incidence rate is a measure of all recordable        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991    | 9.1   | 3.4             | -         | workplace injuries and illnesses. The cases-with-days-away-          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992    | 9.1   | 3.3             | -         | from-work incidence rate shows the cases that resulted in            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993    | 9.0   | 3.3             | -         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994    | 8.7   | 3.0             | -         | absences from work. The DART (Days away from work, job               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995    | 8.8   | 2.9             | -         | transfer, or restricted duty) rate is a broader measure. In addition |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996    | 7.8   | 2.6             | -         | to days away from work, it includes cases that result in changes     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997    | 7.8   | 2.3             | -         | or restrictions in duty. These three rates fell about 28 percent     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998    | 6.9   | 2.1             | -         | between 2002 and 2009.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999    | 7.0   | 2.1             | -         | Note: The 2009 incidence rates are preliminary estimates. The        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000    | 6.3   | 1.9             | -         | final rates will be released in late 2010.                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001    | 6.2   | 1.9             | -         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ;       | > Series break  |                 |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002    | 6.0   | 1.9             | 3.2       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003    | 5.6   | 1.9             | 3.1       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004    | 5.8   | 1.9             | 3.1       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005    | 5.4   | 1.7             | 2.9       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006    | 5.3   | 1.7             | 2.8       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007    | 5.1   | 1.7             | 2.8       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008    | 4.6   | 1.5             | 2.5       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 *  | 4.4   | 1.4             | 2.3       |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Oregon OS   | Oregon OSHA inspections, federal fiscal years 1988-2009 |                 |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|---|-----------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Federal     |   | Workers covered | Percent in | The number of Oregon OSHA inspections per federal fiscal year        |  |  |  |  |  |
| fiscal year | Inspections   | by inspections  | compliance | fluctuates (the federal fiscal year begins each October). The        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988        | 5,697   | 147,414         | 23.3%      | average number of inspections per year from 1988 to 2009 is 5,249.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989        | 5,136   | 167,432         | 24.2%      | Inspections are classified in several ways. The broadest category    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990        | 4,826   | 164,052         | 21.4%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991        | 5,506   | 163,807         | 18.8%      | identifies each inspection as either a safety inspection or a health |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992        | 5,739   | 206,170         | 17.7%      | inspection. In FFY 2009, 83 percent were safety inspections.         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993        | 5,613   | 245,929         | 20.1%      | Some inspections result in a citation (violations of Oregon or       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994        | 5,022   | 262,589         | 20.9%      | federal standards found at the worksite). When there are no          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995        | 5,470   | 227,412         | 25.2%      | violations of safety or health rules, the inspection is called "in   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996        | 5,181   | 195,375         | 26.2%      | compliance." The percentage of in-compliance inspections was 24      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997        | 4,555   | 182,058         | 28.2%      | percent in FFY 2009.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998        | 5,172   | 152,324         | 28.0%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999        | 5,435   | 168,258         | 30.7%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000        | 5,069   | 165,151         | 28.2%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001        | 5,370   | 197,722         | 27.8%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002        | 5,642   | 196,193         | 26.1%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003        | 5,355   | 217,724         | 26.4%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004        | 5,097   | 207,463         | 24.9%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005        | 4,890   | 274,457         | 22.2%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006        | 4,873   | 355,103         | 26.2%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007        | 5,049   | 244,111         | 25.5%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008        | 5,247   | 221,964         | 23.7%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009        | 5,541   | 212,361         | 24.0%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Occupational injuries and illnesses incidence rates, Oregon private sector, 1987-2009

Г

| Oregon OSH                   | IA citations, v                  | iolations, and                       | proposed per               | alties, federal fiscal years 1988-2009  |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| Federal fiscal year          | Citations                        | Violations                           | Penalties<br>(\$ millions) | Oregon OSHA issues a citation to an employer when one or more violations of Oregon or federal standards are found. The  |
| 1988<br>1989<br>1990         | 4,368<br>3,892<br>3,794          | 15,735<br>12,364<br>14,009           | \$1.9<br>1.5<br>2.8        | penalties listed are the initial or proposed penalties levied when<br>the citation was issued and do not reflect changes made due to<br>the settlement of an appeal.              |
| 1991<br>1992<br>1993<br>1994 | 4,472<br>4,721<br>4,485<br>3,970 | 17,118<br>19,424<br>17,611<br>15,292 | 2.8<br>3.2<br>4.7<br>4.6   | The average number of violations per citation has changed little since 1983. The average number prior to 1996 was four violations per citation; the average since has been three. |
| 1995<br>1996<br>1997<br>1998 | 4,093<br>3,823<br>3,269<br>3,725 | 15,302<br>12,434<br>10,359<br>11,366 | 5.8<br>2.9<br>3.9<br>2.4   | The average number of serious violations per citation has varied<br>even less since 1988, with the average consistently close to one.   |
| 1999<br>2000<br>2001         | 3,767<br>3,642<br>3,879          | 11,433<br>11,094<br>12,701           | 3.0<br>2.3<br>2.4          |   |
| 2002<br>2003<br>2004<br>2005 | 4,170<br>3,940<br>3,827          | 12,703<br>11,700<br>11,805           | 2.1<br>2.3<br>2.4          |   |
| 2005<br>2006<br>2007<br>2008 | 3,805<br>3,595<br>3,759<br>4,003 | 11,376<br>10,020<br>10,492<br>10,625 | 2.0<br>2.4<br>2.4<br>2.4   |   |
| 2009                         | 4,213                            | 11,587                               | 3.1                        |   |

|      | Ni wala an af |         | Darticinante                                      | in voluntary | Oregon OSHA's consultative services help Oregon employers            |  |  |  |
|------|---------------|---------|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
|      | Number of     |         | Participants in voluntary<br>compliance programs: |              |  |  |  |  |
| N/   | consulta-     | Workers | •   |              | identify hazards and work practices that could lead to injuries or   |  |  |  |
| Year | tions         | reached | SHARP   | VPP          | illnesses. Employers are provided recommendations for correcting     |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 502           | N/A     | -   | -            | identified hazards and for improving their safety and health         |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 671           | N/A     | -   | -            | programs. Consultative services also include the time-intensive      |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 943           | 102,739 | -   | -            | process of assisting interested employers as they work toward        |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 1,741         | 250,623 | -   | -            | SHARP recognition, and evaluating worksites for qualification in the |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 2,491         | 342,683 | -   | -            | Voluntary Protection Program.  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 2,089         | 249,387 | -   | -            | SHARP is a recognition program that provides guidance and tools      |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 2,482         | 256,604 | -   | -            | for developing an effective safety and health program. The program   |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 2,153         | 231,113 | -   | -            | focuses on the implementation of a system based on management        |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 1,854         | 233,732 | 4   | -            | commitment and employee participation.                               |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 1,828         | 153,922 | 9   | 1            |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 2,050         | 219,565 | 24  | 2            | The Voluntary Protection Program was developed by federal OSHA       |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 2,127         | 233,665 | 42  | 3            | as a way to recognize employers who demonstrate excellence in        |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 2,505         | 241,965 | 50  | 4            | safety and health management. The key areas are management           |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 2,828         | 260,695 | 69  | 4            | leadership, employee involvement, worksite analysis, hazard          |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 2,457         | 219,418 | 75  | 6            | prevention and control, and safety and health training.              |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 2,060         | 230,245 | 80  | 9            |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 2,094         | 229,130 | 86  | 8            |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 2,124         | 187,449 | 104   | 9            |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 2,283         | 221,157 | 107   | 13           |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 2,098         | 203,369 | 126   | 16           |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 2,542         | 209,525 | 142   | 23           |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 2,898         | 268,631 | 161   | 24           |  |  |  |  |

| Safety and | fety and health training programs, 1998-2009 |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year       | Attendance at<br>training sessions           | Oregon OSHA has provided education and training to thousands of workers and employers each year. These educational |  |  |  |  |
| 1998       | 15,494                                       | forums provide an opportunity to share ideas on occupational   |  |  |  |  |
| 1999       | 27,104                                       | safety and health with national experts. The increases in  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000       | 19,069                                       | attendance every odd-numbered year are due to the Governor's   |  |  |  |  |
| 2001       | 26,478                                       | Occupational Safety and Health Conference. These conferences   |  |  |  |  |
| 2002       | 15,844                                       | are coordinated and presented in partnership with businesses,  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003       | 26,290                                       | associations, labor unions, etc.   |  |  |  |  |
| 2004       | 20,892                                       | In 2009, in addition to the GOSH conference, there were six  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005       | 27,129                                       | conferences held around Oregon. They addressed a variety of  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006       | 22,751                                       | safety and health issues. In addition to conferences, in 2009 the  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007       | 30,054                                       | Public Education Section offered over 933 workshops and on-  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008       | 19,754                                       | site trainings on 51 different topics related to safety and health   |  |  |  |  |
| 2009       | 30,874                                       | in the workplace.  |  |  |  |  |

| Oregon OSHA s | regon OSHA safety and health grant programs, 1989-2009 |               |   |  |  |  |  |
|---------------|--|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Biennium      | Grants   | Total awarded | In existence since 1989, Oregon OSHA's Training and Education           |  |  |  |  |
| 1989-1991     | 11   | \$309,658     | Grants program has awarded 91 grants totaling nearly \$2.9 million      |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-1993     | 9  | 271,008       | to help organizations develop education and training programs           |  |  |  |  |
| 1993-1995     | 12   | 342,780       | that reduce or eliminate hazards in an entire industry or in a          |  |  |  |  |
| 1995-1997     | 12   | 370,595       | specific work process. The maximum grant award is \$40,000.             |  |  |  |  |
| 1997-1999     | 9  | 286,463       | Examples of programs that have received grants are                      |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-2001     | 9  | 272,150       | homebuilders' manuals and videos in Russian, Spanish, and               |  |  |  |  |
| 2001-2003     | 11   | 388,517       | English; an educational program for nurses to prevent ergonomic         |  |  |  |  |
| 2003-2005     | 8  | 297,626       | injuries; a dairy farmers' checklist and video; and lifting guidelines. |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-2007     | 2  | 66,753        |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2007-2009     | 8  | 266,260       |   |  |  |  |  |

# Compensability

The Oregon workers' compensation system is a nofault system. In other words, the compensability of a claim is not dependent upon demonstrating that either side in a dispute is negligent. One purpose of a no-fault system is to compensate injured workers for work-related claims. Limiting claims to those that arise out of and in the course of employment reduces workers' compensation costs.

### **Definition of compensability**

The definition of a compensable claim was revised several times between 1987 and 1995. In 1987, HB 2271 restricted mental stress claims to those arising out of real and objective employment conditions not generally inherent in every working situation. There must be "clear and convincing evidence" that the mental disorder arose out of and in the course of employment. As a result, the number of accepted disabling stress claims dropped 56 percent between 1987 and 1989.

SB 1197 (1990) changed the definition of compensability for injuries and diseases; SB 369 (1995) revised the language. A compensable injury or disease must be established by medical evidence supported by objective findings. The determination of a claim's compensability involves establishing the relative contributions of different causes of an injury or disease and deciding which cause is the primary one. Oregon is one of the few states in the country that has this major contributing cause standard. If an injury combines with a preexisting condition, the consequential condition is compensable only if the qualifying injury is the major contributing cause of the disability or need for treatment; it remains compensable only for the period during which it remains the major contributing cause. For diseases, employment must be the major contributing cause, and the compensable disease must be caused by substances or activities to which an employee is not ordinarily exposed. These new compensability definitions were partly responsible for the decrease in the number of accepted claims in the early 1990s.

There are several factors that limit the compensability of a claim. Injuries from recreational and social activities primarily for the worker's personal pleasure are not compensable. Injuries arising from the use of alcohol or drugs are not compensable if it is proven that the drug or alcohol use was the major contributing cause. If the employer permitted, encouraged, or had knowledge of such consumption, then it may be compensable. SB 1197 also allowed insurers to deny an accepted claim during the two-year period following the date of original claim acceptance. Insurers may deny a claim at any time if acceptance was due to fraud, misrepresentation, or other illegal activity by the worker.

SB 1197 also required that claims for aggravation be established by medical evidence supported by objective findings that show that the worsened condition resulted from the original injury. In addition, when a worker sustains a compensable injury, the responsible employer remains responsible for future aggravations unless the worker sustains a new compensable injury involving the same condition.

#### Major contributing cause study

The 1999 Legislature allocated funds to study the effects of the compensability language changes in SB 1197 and SB 369 on workers' compensation costs and worker benefits. They also wanted to know if physicians, now required to determine the extent to which a medical condition is due to the compensable injury, could accurately make such decisions. A final goal, prompted by a case before the Oregon Supreme Court, was to look at the major contributing cause language in combination with the exclusive remedy language for denied claims. The case, Smothers v. Gresham Transfer, Inc., asserted this combination unconstitutionally denied injured workers with pre-existing medical conditions of a legal remedy for their injuries.

The department contracted with the Workers' Compensation Center at Michigan State University to complete the study. Enlisting the services of several of the country's leading workers' compensation researchers, the study examined more than 1,500 denials. The researchers found that many of the claims denied due to major contributing cause language would have been denied for other reasons. They also surveyed physicians who, while emphasizing it requires medical expertise to apply the major contributing cause standard accurately, reported the standard was practical.

Because workers' compensation costs declined throughout the nation during the 1990s, the researchers conducted econometric analyses to estimate the benefit changes caused by the Oregon legislative changes apart from the national trends. They concluded that, due to a drop in the number of claims, SB 1197 and SB 369 resulted in a reduction in workers' compensation system costs of at least 6.4 percent and 6.7 percent, respectively. The average cost per claim remained about the same.

Researchers found the Oregon standard for compensability was the strictest used by any state. The major contributing cause standard was used in three other states, but their courts generally ruled that when workers' compensation benefits are denied to a certain group of claims, the claimants are not restricted by exclusive-remedy clauses and could file civil actions against their employers. This suggested that the Oregon Supreme Court would find portions of Oregon's workers' compensation law unconstitutional. Such a ruling was handed down the next year.

#### Smothers v. Gresham Transfer, Inc.

In May 2001, during the legislative session, the Oregon Supreme Court issued its decision in the Smothers v. Gresham Transfer, Inc. case. The court ruled that when a workers' compensation claim is denied for failure to prove that the workrelated incident was the major contributing cause of the injury or condition, then the exclusiveremedy provisions implemented by SB 369 are unconstitutional. The court ruled that the statute violated Article 1, Section 10 of the Oregon Constitution, which guarantees every Oregonian "remedy by due course of law for injury done him in his person, property, or reputation."

The 2001 Legislature addressed this court decision by passing SB 485, which created a process for worker civil suits against employers. It also revised the definitions of pre-existing conditions and established that, while a worker continues to have the burden of proving that the claim is compensable, the employer has the burden of proof in showing that the compensable condition is not the major contributing cause of the need for treatment.

Although it was estimated that the Smothers decision could affect as many as 1,300 cases per year and cost up to \$50 million per year, there have been no known cases in which workers have prevailed at trial; in a few cases workers have received settlements.

| Total rep                            | otal reported claims, FY 1989-2010             |   |                                  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| <b>F</b> ield                        | Assessed                                       | Devied                                    | Percent                          | Deviadues                                 | The number of disabling claims has declined by 3 percent per year since FY 1989. Due to the recession, the number fell 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal                               | Accepted                                       | Denied                                    | denied                           | Denied non-                               | percent in FY 2009, and 10 percent more in FY 2010.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| year                                 | disabling                                      | disabling                                 | disabling                        | disabling                                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989                                 | 40,515   | 6,640                                     | 14.1%                            | 8,022                                     | Over the past 20 years, the denial rate of disabling claims has   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990                                 | 35,918   | 9,534                                     | 21.0%                            | 10,551                                    | slowly declined, although it has increased a bit during the past  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991                                 | 31,156   | 8,024                                     | 20.5%                            | 12,426                                    | two years.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992                                 | 28,577   | 7,522                                     | 20.8%                            | 12,930                                    | Notes: These claims are reported by their original acceptance   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993                                 | 29,125   | 6,013                                     | 17.1%                            | 13,414                                    | status. This status may change over time.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994                                 | 29,731   | 6,235                                     | 17.3%                            | 13,251                                    | With few exceptions, insurers do not report accepted nondisabling claims to the department.                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995                                 | 29,740   | 6,535                                     | 18.0%                            | 13,377                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996                                 | 27,373   | 5,958                                     | 17.9%                            | 14,118                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997                                 | 26,918   | 5,515                                     | 17.0%                            | 14,759                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998                                 | 26,032   | 5,354                                     | 17.1%                            | 14,962                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999                                 | 24,857   | 5,244                                     | 17.4%                            | 14,683                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000                                 | 24,405   | 4,899                                     | 16.7%                            | 13,742                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001                                 | 23,850   | 4,717                                     | 16.5%                            | 13,876                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002                                 | 22,126   | 4,704                                     | 17.5%                            | 12,990                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003                                 | 21,493   | 4,420                                     | 17.1%                            | 11,715                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004                                 | 20,004   | 4,117                                     | 17.1%                            | 10,176                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005                                 | 21,020   | 4,030                                     | 16.1%                            | 9,578                                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005<br>2006<br>2007<br>2008<br>2009 | 21,020<br>21,445<br>22,449<br>21,734<br>18,874 | 4,030<br>3,516<br>3,873<br>3,533<br>3,408 | 14.1%<br>14.7%<br>14.0%<br>15.3% | 9,578<br>9,672<br>9,165<br>8,391<br>7,221 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010                                 | 17,068   | 3,017                                     | 15.0%                            | 6,656                                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |

| Disabling | occupational d | isease claims, | FY 1989-2010 |  |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--|
| Fiscal    |                |                | Percent      | The denial rate of occupational disease claims was fairly constant |
| year      | Accepted       | Denied         | denied       | over the period FY 1996-2005, varying between 33 percent and       |
| 1989      | 3,980          | 2,041          | 33.9%        | 37 percent. The denial rate in FY 2006-2009 was slightly lower,    |
| 1990      | 3,496          | 2,761          | 44.1%        | varying between 30 percent and 32 percent before rising to nearly  |
| 1991      | 3,068          | 2,115          | 40.8%        | 35 percent in FY 2010.   |
| 1992      | 3,101          | 2,293          | 42.5%        | Although the denial rate was higher, the total number of disabling |
| 1993      | 3,217          | 1,939          | 37.6%        | occupational disease claims reported to the department in FY       |
| 1994      | 3,305          | 2,037          | 38.1%        | 2010 was nearly 19 percent lower than the previous year.           |
| 1995      | 3,446          | 2,089          | 37.7%        |  |
| 1996      | 3,446          | 1,965          | 36.3%        | Historical data are subject to small changes.                      |
| 1997      | 3,591          | 1,993          | 35.7%        |  |
| 1998      | 3,329          | 1,768          | 34.7%        |  |
| 1999      | 2,884          | 1,657          | 36.5%        |  |
| 2000      | 3,064          | 1,524          | 33.2%        |  |
| 2001      | 3,250          | 1,590          | 32.9%        |  |
| 2002      | 3,218          | 1,794          | 35.8%        |  |
| 2003      | 3,341          | 1,646          | 33.0%        |  |
| 2004      | 3,164          | 1,751          | 35.6%        |  |
| 2005      | 3,447          | 1,698          | 33.0%        |  |
| 2006      | 3,681          | 1,555          | 29.7%        |  |
| 2007      | 3,660          | 1,560          | 29.9%        |  |
| 2008      | 3,378          | 1,428          | 29.7%        |  |
| 2009      | 2,996          | 1,378          | 31.5%        |  |
| 2010      | 2,317          | 1,239          | 34.8%        |  |

| Disabling  | aggravation clai  | ims, 1991-2009   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Year   | Accepted  | Denied   | Percent<br>denied  | After a claim has been closed, an injured worker is entitled to additional compensation for worsened conditions resulting from   |
| 1991<br>1992<br>1993<br>1994<br>1995<br>1996<br>1997<br>1998<br>1999<br>2000<br>2001<br>2002<br>2003<br>2004<br>2005<br>2006<br>2007<br>2008<br>2009 | 2,042<br>2,201<br>2,099<br>1,915<br>1,593<br>1,565<br>1,351<br>1,172<br>1,038<br>876<br>902<br>773<br>717<br>563<br>549<br>523<br>518<br>506<br>447 | $\begin{array}{c} 1,675\\ 1,514\\ 1,337\\ 1,171\\ 907\\ 950\\ 993\\ 763\\ 730\\ 618\\ 575\\ 535\\ 483\\ 416\\ 340\\ 432\\ 534\\ 566\\ 554\\ \end{array}$ | 45.1%<br>40.8%<br>38.9%<br>37.9%<br>36.3%<br>37.8%<br>42.4%<br>39.4%<br>41.3%<br>41.4%<br>38.9%<br>40.9%<br>40.9%<br>40.3%<br>42.5%<br>38.2%<br>45.2%<br>50.8%<br>52.8%<br>55.3% | the original injury. The number of these aggravation claims has<br>increased since 2005. However, the number of these claims that<br>have been accepted has continued to decline. As a result, the<br>denial rate is now above 50 percent.<br>Note: The counts are aggravation claims reported to the<br>department by insurers. These exclude claims made under board<br>own motion authority for worsened conditions, which can be made<br>after the five-year aggravation period expires. |

# **Claims Processing**

Insurer performance is an important part of the workers' compensation system. Legislation since 1987 has addressed certification of claims examiners, timelines for acceptance or denial of claim compensability, and resolution of a claim through claim closure or a claim disposition agreement.

The department provides a workers' compensation information line for the benefit of workers, insurers, medical providers, attorneys, employers, legislators, and others. The department also monitors insurer performance issues, such as by audits of the first payment of temporary disability benefits, claim compensability decisions, and claim closures. It also issues civil penalties to insurers and self-insured employers that do not meet acceptable performance standards.

### **Claim compensability decisions**

To enable insurers to make better decisions, the statutory time limit for the acceptance or denial of claim compensability was changed from 60 days to 90 days by SB 1197 in 1990. It was hoped that this would lessen the number of appealed denials. The median number of days to accept a disabling claim increased after 1990, peaking at 52 days in 1998, but this resulted in longer periods of uncertainty for workers and medical providers.

In 2001, as part of SB 485, the Legislature reduced the statutory time limit back to 60 days. This affected the processing time for compensability decisions. Since 2002, the median time to accept a disabling claim has ranged from 39 days to 42 days. In 2009, 94 percent of the compensability decisions were made within the 60-day period – the highest rate since 1996.

### **Modified acceptance decisions**

The 1997 Legislature passed HB 2971, which required insurers and self-insured employers to modify notices of acceptance when medical or other information changes a previously issued notice of acceptance. At the time of claim closure, insurers are also required to issue an updated notice of acceptance that specifies the compensable conditions. If a medical condition, whether omitted from the notice of acceptance or new, is later found to be compensable, then the insurer must reopen the claim for that condition.

The Court of Appeals, in the 1999 Johansen v. SAIF Corporation decision, ruled that there are no time limits for liability on an omitted or new condition. In SB 485, the 2001 Legislature refined the process. A worker must request formal written acceptance of a new or omitted medical condition, which the insurer has 60 days to accept or deny. The period for disabling claims aggravation rights extends five years after the first closure. If a new compensable condition arises during this period, the insurer pays the claim costs. If the new condition arises after the aggravation period and the insurer doesn't voluntarily accept the claim, the worker must pursue the claim through the Workers' Compensation Board's Own Motion process. If the insurer or the board finds the condition compensable, then benefits are paid from the Workers' Benefit Fund, Reopened Claims Program.

#### **Claim resolution**

Before 1987, only the department could close claims and rate permanent disability. That year, the Legislature passed HB 2900, allowing insurers to close permanent disability claims if the worker had returned to work. Passage of SB 1197 in 1990 allowed insurers to close claims upon the attending physician's release of the worker to return to work and thereby terminate temporary disability payments earlier in the life of a claim. The 1999 passage of SB 220 shifted responsibility for all claim closures from the department to insurers. The transition was completed January 2001. The department continues to promulgate disability standards that insurers must use. Following passage of SB 757 in 2003, the standards for claims with dates of injury since Jan. 1, 2005, were changed to do away with the distinction between scheduled and unscheduled body parts. Permanent impairment is now expressed as a percent of the whole person.

Since July 1990, a worker with an accepted claim can resolve a claim by agreeing to release rights to workers' compensation benefits, except for medical services and the Preferred Worker Program, by

Figure 5. Claims process flowchart



The ----indicates potential path of process. chapter provide additional information.

means of a Claim Disposition Agreement (CDA). Since 1990, the percentage of initial claims resolved by CDA rather than claim closure has risen each year. This mode of claim resolution, while still used in a small minority of claims, has been growing more rapidly in recent years and was at 6.7 percent of resolved claims in 2009.

# Workers' compensation information line

Workers' Compensation Division employees answer workers' questions about their claims, describe workers' rights and responsibilities, and help people understand the workers' compensation system. In 2009, there were 9,660 calls to the information line. Of the callers, 5,446 were workers and 4,214 were insurers, medical providers, attorneys, employers, legislators, and others. A change to the inquiryhandling program made over the past few years is referral of cases requiring translation or advocacy to the Office of the Ombudsman for Injured Workers.

#### **Civil penalties**

The department issues civil penalties to insurers and self-insured employers who do not meet acceptable performance standards. Each year between 2006 and 2008, the department issued more than 900 citations with penalty amounts of more than \$575,000. There were 739 citations issued in 2009, just under the 20-year average of 741 citations; these citations totaled \$404,525. Stipulated agreements, which may encompass various violations of rules and statutes under ORS Chapters 656 and 731 and set up various performance expectations, are not included in these statistics.

### Training

You may find information about the Workers' Compensation Division training and events at the following site:

#### <u>http://wcd.oregon.gov/communications/training/</u> training.html.

#### Lessons from the Oregon Workers' Compensation System: Audits

In its 2008 study, "Lessons from the Oregon Workers' Compensation System," the Workers' Compensation Research Institute (WCRI) recognized Oregon's workers' compensation system as a model in achieving certain desirable outcomes. One of the four key lessons was Oregon's standards that result in accurate and timely benefits for injured workers.

The study cited the Workers' Compensation Division's long-term redesign project as an example of its reputation for constant program evaluation and improvement. As part of this redesign, the WCD is shifting its claims processing performance audit activities and emphasis to two basic audit types:

- Annual Audit timeliness of benefit payments and processing actions; and
- Focused Audit accuracy in specific performance areas identified via performance data, system indicators, trends, problems, or policy decisions.

These will replace the quarterly claims processing performance (QCPP) audit, the compliance audit, and the Workers' Benefit Fund reimbursement audits. WCD's revised audit program will do the following:

- use more flexible programs and responsive cycle times
- reward companies that perform well and require greater accountability and improvement from companies that perform poorly
- build on consultation and education to identify company and industry problems and to provide clear expectations and direction to improve compliance

Find relevant industry notices, under "regulatory redesign" here: <u>http://www.</u> <u>cbs.state.or.us/wcd/compliance/fau/ptd/</u> <u>audinfo.html</u>.

For more information about this report, see the "Lessons" press release at: <u>http://</u> <u>www.oregon.gov/DCBS/docs/news</u> <u>releases/2008/nr 5 06 08.pdf?ga=t</u>.

| Insurer claim | n acceptance and deni | al, median time la | g days, 1988-2009   |
|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---|
| Year          | Accepted              | Denied             | In 1990, SB 1197 extended the time allowed for insurers to accept |
| 1988          | 33                    | 49                 | or deny a claim from 60 days to 90 days. SB 485 (2001) reduced    |
| 1989          | 35                    | 43                 | the allowed time back to 60 days.                                 |
| 1990          | 31                    | 35                 | Between 2001 and 2002, there was a significant drop in the        |
| 1991          | 35                    | 39                 | median number of days taken to accept and deny claims; the        |
| 1992          | 40                    | 45                 | median has remained below 41 days for claim acceptance and        |
| 1993          | 34                    | 48                 | below 51 days for claim denial.                                   |
| 1994          | 40                    | 48                 |   |
| 1995          | 43                    | 50                 |   |
| 1996          | 44                    | 60                 |   |
| 1997          | 50                    | 66                 |   |
| 1998          | 52                    | 64                 |   |
| 1999          | 49                    | 62                 |   |
| 2000          | 49                    | 61                 |   |
| 2001          | 46                    | 60                 |   |
| 2002          | 40                    | 50                 |   |
| 2003          | 40                    | 51                 |   |
| 2004          | 39                    | 45                 |   |
| 2005          | 41                    | 48                 |   |
| 2006          | 41                    | 48                 |   |
| 2007          | 40                    | 47                 |   |
| 2008          | 41                    | 48                 |   |
| 2009          | 41                    | 46                 |   |

| ability Insurer performance on timeliness of acceptance or denial of claims improved between 1990 and 1994, to 96.1 percent, after  |
|---|
| claims improved between 1990 and 1994, to 96.1 percent, after   |
| <ul> <li>which it generally declined to a low of 89.5 percent in 2005<br/>However, it has improved for the past four years, to 93.6 percent<br/>in 2009.</li> <li>Timeliness of first payments has also improved since 1990. In<br/>2009, 91.1 percent of the first payments of temporary disability<br/>benefits were made timely.</li> <li>Note: These data are self-reported by the insurers. The reports<br/>are audited by WCD.</li> </ul> |
|   |

| Claim res | Claim resolutions, 1987-2009 |          |                                   |                                |                               |  |                        |  |  |
|-----------|------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------|--|--|
|           | Total claim                  |          | Initi                             | Closure after<br>reopening for | Closure after                 |  |                        |  |  |
| Year      | Year resolutions             | Closures | Closure percent<br>of resolutions | Claim disposition agreements   | CDA percent<br>of resolutions | aggravation<br>or new/omitted<br>condition | vocational<br>training |  |  |
| 1987      | 44,094                       | 39,683   | 90.0%                             | 0                              | 0.0%                          | 3,716                                      | 695                    |  |  |
| 1988      | 44,811                       | 40,356   | 90.1%                             | 0                              | 0.0%                          | 3,859                                      | 596                    |  |  |
| 1989      | 44,577                       | 40,007   | 89.7%                             | 0                              | 0.0%                          | 4,067                                      | 503                    |  |  |
| 1990      | 43,311                       | 38,999   | 90.0%                             | 33                             | 0.1%                          | 3,768                                      | 511                    |  |  |
| 1991      | 37,030                       | 33,273   | 89.9%                             | 463                            | 1.3%                          | 2,844                                      | 450                    |  |  |
| 1992      | 33,251                       | 30,114   | 90.6%                             | 518                            | 1.6%                          | 2,326                                      | 293                    |  |  |
| 1993      | 33,029                       | 29,924   | 90.6%                             | 552                            | 1.7%                          | 2,297                                      | 256                    |  |  |
| 1994      | 33,549                       | 30,120   | 89.8%                             | 605                            | 1.8%                          | 2,575                                      | 249                    |  |  |
| 1995      | 33,595                       | 30,382   | 90.4%                             | 739                            | 2.2%                          | 2,235                                      | 239                    |  |  |
| 1996      | 30,511                       | 27,833   | 91.2%                             | 822                            | 2.7%                          | 1,582                                      | 274                    |  |  |
| 1997      | 29,141                       | 26,674   | 91.5%                             | 874                            | 3.0%                          | 1,304                                      | 289                    |  |  |
| 1998      | 28,390                       | 26,022   | 91.7%                             | 856                            | 3.0%                          | 1,270                                      | 242                    |  |  |
| 1999      | 26,924                       | 24,527   | 91.1%                             | 960                            | 3.6%                          | 1,228                                      | 209                    |  |  |
| 2000      | 26,016                       | 23,841   | 91.6%                             | 911                            | 3.5%                          | 1,067                                      | 197                    |  |  |
| 2001      | 25,771                       | 23,482   | 91.1%                             | 969                            | 3.8%                          | 1,117                                      | 203                    |  |  |
| 2002      | 24,338                       | 22,163   | 91.1%                             | 951                            | 3.9%                          | 1,037                                      | 187                    |  |  |
| 2003      | 22,972                       | 20,812   | 90.6%                             | 962                            | 4.2%                          | 993  | 205                    |  |  |
| 2004      | 23,022                       | 20,875   | 90.7%                             | 931                            | 4.0%                          | 1,028                                      | 188                    |  |  |
| 2005      | 22,471                       | 20,340   | 90.5%                             | 979                            | 4.4%                          | 953  | 199                    |  |  |
| 2006      | 23,603                       | 21,424   | 90.8%                             | 1,064                          | 4.5%                          | 921  | 194                    |  |  |
| 2007      | 24,265                       | 21,990   | 90.6%                             | 1,183                          | 4.9%                          | 873  | 219                    |  |  |
| 2008      | 23,172                       | 20,814   | 89.8%                             | 1,267                          | 5.5%                          | 896  | 195                    |  |  |
| 2009      | 20,850                       | 18,416   | 88.3%                             | 1,399                          | 6.7%                          | 834  | 201                    |  |  |

Claim resolutions end the insurer's liability for indemnity benefits. Claim resolutions include Notices of Closure for initial, aggravation, medical condition, and vocational training claims and Claim Disposition Agreements (CDAs) for initial claims. CDAs after an initial claim closure, or for nondisabling claims and closure reports that are disabling status reclassifications, or corrections or rescissions of prior Notices of Closure are excluded.

There were 20,850 total claim resolutions in 2009, 10 percent fewer than the previous year. This reflects the decline in new claims during the recession. The number of resolutions had been fairly constant earlier this decade.

Beginning July 1, 1990, a worker could agree to release rights or agree to release an insurer or self-insured employer from obligations, except for medical services, in an accepted claim by means of a Claim Disposition Agreement. Since then, the percentage of claims resolved by CDA has risen each year, and was at 6.7 percent for 2009.

SB 220, passed in 1999, phased out the department's former role in closing claims. After Jan. 1, 2001, insurers, self-insured employers, and third-party administrators have handled all claim closures. The Workers' Compensation Board approves CDAs.
| Civil penaltie | Civil penalties issued, 1990-2009 |                |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year           | Citations                         | Penalty amount | Citations and penalties against insurers have been trending       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990           | 407                               | \$158,325      | upward since 2004, but dropped below 2006 levels in 2009.         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991           | 420                               | 156,775        | Not included in these statistics are stipulated agreements. These |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992           | 506                               | 163,101        | may encompass various violations of rules and statutes under      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993           | 621                               | 166,650        | ORS Chapters 656 and 731 and set up various performance           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994           | 679                               | 197,025        | expectations.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995           | 525                               | 139,325        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996           | 491                               | 140,850        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997           | 629                               | 244,175        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998           | 813                               | 254,925        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999           | 789                               | 243,375        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000           | 844                               | 248,875        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001           | 738                               | 204,400        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002           | 947                               | 301,900        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003           | 1,241                             | 343,875        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004           | 677                               | 206,675        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005           | 745                               | 360,600        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006           | 951                               | 588,150        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007           | 915                               | 575,800        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008           | 1,140                             | 596,775        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009           | 739                               | 404,525        |   |  |  |  |  |  |

| Workers | Norkers' compensation information line calls for assistance, 1990-2009 |             |             |   |  |  |  |  |
|---------|--|-------------|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Year    | Worker calls   | Other calls | Total calls | WCD has a dedicated information line to assist workers            |  |  |  |  |
| 1990    | 23,263   | N/A         | N/A         | and others.   |  |  |  |  |
| 1991    | 21,475   | N/A         | N/A         | In 2009, there were more than 5,400 calls from workers with       |  |  |  |  |
| 1992    | 15,181   | N/A         | N/A         | questions about their claims, the claims process, or the workers' |  |  |  |  |
| 1993    | 18,243   | N/A         | N/A         | compensation system.  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994    | 19,678   | 7,575       | 27,253      | The line clear received more than 4,000 cells from incurrent      |  |  |  |  |
| 1995    | 17,503   | 6,699       | 24,202      | The line also received more than 4,200 calls from insurers,       |  |  |  |  |
| 1996    | 16,938   | 7,701       | 24,639      | medical providers, attorneys, employers, legislators, and others  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997    | 15,737   | 8,425       | 24,162      | in 2009.  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998    | 14,960   | 8,098       | 23,058      | Improvements to the inquiry-handling program have been made       |  |  |  |  |
| 1999    | 13,711   | 7,930       | 21,641      | over the past few years to streamline referral of cases requiring |  |  |  |  |
| 2000    | 12,155   | 6,490       | 18,645      | translation or advocacy to the Office of the Ombudsman for        |  |  |  |  |
| 2001    | 11,662   | 6,936       | 18,598      | Injured Workers.  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002    | 10,000   | 7,056       | 17,056      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2003    | 9,813  | 7,397       | 17,210      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2004    | 10,129   | 7,703       | 17,832      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2005    | 9,463  | 6,270       | 15,733      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2006    | 7,898  | 6,056       | 13,954      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2007    | 7,359  | 4,947       | 12,306      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2008    | 6,713  | 4,715       | 11,428      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2009    | 5,446  | 4,214       | 9,660       |   |  |  |  |  |

## **Advocates and Advisory Groups**

Injured workers and employers often find the workers' compensation system confusing or inaccessible. Oregon has recognized that the comprehensibility of and access to the system are essential features of success. Therefore, a number of advocates and advisory groups provide services and recommend policy.

#### **Ombudsman for Injured Workers**

The 1987 Legislature created the Office of the Ombudsman for Injured Workers as an independent advocate for injured workers, assisting workers by accepting, investigating, and attempting to resolve complaints concerning matters related to workers' compensation. Recognizing the value of the office, the Legislature increased the staff during the 1990 special session. Legislation passed in 2003 clarified the supervision and control of ombudsman services and required that quarterly reports be submitted to the governor. The office consists of the ombudsman and seven staff members.

In 2009, the office recorded more than 11,600 inquiries; the number of inquiries has remained fairly constant for the past three years. About 88 percent of these inquiries were from injured workers. Inquiries also came from attorneys, insurance companies, employers, and others. The issues that prompted the most inquiries were claims processing, medical benefits, and accurate and timely benefits.

#### Small Business Ombudsman

The Office of the Small Business Ombudsman for Workers' Compensation was created during the 1990 special session to serve as an advocate for and educator of small businesses. The SBO is the resource center for employers needing information about the workers' compensation system. It helps resolve disputes between employers and insurers, provides educational seminars and trade shows, and assists all parties. The office had about 1,200 inquiries in 2009.

#### Medical Advisory Committee

The members advise the director on matters relating to medical care for workers. In 1999, SB 222 revised the composition and duties of this statutory committee. The statute allows the director to appoint medical providers that most represent the health care services provided to injured workers, which may include representatives of insurers, employers, and managed care organizations.

#### Recent Medical Advisory Committee Projects

- Worked to establish guidelines and best practices for the management of patients receiving opioids for pain.
- Made compensability recommendations for surgical procedures and implants for patients requiring lumbar or cervical artificial spinal disc replacements.
- Made recommendations to MLAC regarding the adoption of updated impairment-rating methodology.

#### Management-Labor Advisory Committee

In recognition of the success of the governor's labor-management committee in crafting the 1990 reforms, the Legislature created the Management-Labor Advisory Committee (MLAC). This committee reaffirms that labor and management are the principal parties in the workers' compensation system. The committee advises the department on workers' compensation matters such as administrative rules and legislation.

In 1995, SB 369 reduced the membership of MLAC from 14 members to 10 members and included mandatory reporting on several issues: court decisions having significant impact on the workers' compensation system, the adequacy of workers' compensation benefits, medical and system costs,

and the adequacy of assessments for reserve programs and administrative costs. In 2003, the Legislature removed the requirement that MLAC review temporary rules that establish disability rating standards for individual claims.

#### Recent Management-Labor Advisory Committee Activities

- Recommended the establishment of a fee schedule and policy covering foreign language interpreters.
- Recommended to Legislature that certain cancers be presumed compensable occupational diseases in firefighters (HB 2420 passed).
- Recommended increases in some attorney fee maximums, commensurate with changes in average weekly wage, and allowed fees in certain circumstances in which workers' attorneys had not been compensated (HB 3345 passed).
- Recommended increases in death benefits for worker fatalities along with policy changes regarding beneficiaries (SB 110 passed).
- Reviewing recommendations for the adoption of updated impairment-rating methodology.

| Ombudsman for Injured W | Ombudsman for Injured Workers inquiries, 1999-2009                        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year                    | Inquiries   | The Ombudsman for Injured Workers was created in 1987.          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999                    | 9,492   | Inquiries to the ombudsman come primarily from injured workers, |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000                    | 2000 10,581 but they are also initiated by attorneys, insurance companies |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001                    |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002                    | 12,685  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003                    | 14,730  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004                    | 12,752  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005                    | 12,809  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006                    | 12,257  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007                    | 11,512  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008                    | 11,404  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009                    | 11,624  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

| Small Business Ombudsm | Small Business Ombudsman inquiries, 1991-2009 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year                   | Inquiries                                     | The Office of the Ombudsman for Small Business was created in |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991                   | 1,934   | 1990. The number of inquiries peaked in 1999 and 2002. There  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992                   | 3,655   | were 1,204 inquiries in 2009.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993                   | 3,731   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994                   | 3,727   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995                   | 3,877   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996                   | 3,545   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997                   | 3,711   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998                   | 4,514   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999                   | 5,164   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000                   | 3,109   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001                   | 2,502   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002                   | 5,209   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003                   | 4,085   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004                   | 3,883   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005                   | 3,153   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006                   | 3,280   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007                   | 3,785   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008                   | 1,584   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009                   | 1,204   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## **Medical Care and Benefits**

In recent years, the cost of health care has risen more rapidly than overall inflation. In Oregon's workers' compensation system, the cost of medical services has increased more than 37 percent since 2002. In 2009, payments for medical services accounted for 53 percent of workers' compensation system costs in Oregon.

#### Early cost-containment measures

In 1990, Senate Bill 1197 eliminated most palliative care for medically stationary injured workers. Palliative care is treatment to relieve symptoms rather than to improve the worker's underlying condition. These restrictions had an immediate effect on workers who had been receiving palliative treatment. SAIF's medical payments for palliative care in the first six months after the medically stationary date dropped more than 30 percent following the implementation of SB 1197. In 1995, SB 369 restored a worker's right to a broader range of care after being declared medically stationary. Workers can now receive palliative care if they have a permanent total disability or a prosthetic device, when they need services to monitor prescription medicine, or when the attending physician believes the palliative care is necessary for continued employment.

SB 1197 also placed limits on who could be an attending physician. The attending physician must provide or prescribe care. Under SB 1197, for example, a chiropractor outside of a managed care organization could not continue to be a worker's attending physician beyond 12 visits or 30 days after the first service date. Data from SAIF showed that the proportion of payments to chiropractors dropped from 16 percent before 1990 to 3 percent after 1990. House Bill 2756 (enacted in 2007) relaxed the limitation to 18 visits or 60 days from the first service date. HB 2756 also changed limits for other provider types acting as attending physicians. These changes are discussed in more detail later in the report.

#### **Medical benefits**

Insurers and self-insured employers must pay the cost of medical services for compensable claims. During the period before a claim is accepted or denied, however, there is uncertainty about who will be responsible for medical bills. This uncertainty may lead some medical providers to delay treatment until after insurers make compensability decisions, or make them reluctant to treat injured workers at all.

In 2001, the Legislature addressed this problem in two ways. First, SB 485 reduced the time allowed for insurers to accept or deny a claim from 90 days to 60 days. Second, it amended the law to require payment for some services performed prior to acceptance or denial. Included among these services are pain medicine, some diagnostic services, and services to stabilize the worker's condition and prevent further disability. However, the law excludes services provided to workers enrolled in managed care organizations.

For denied claims, medical costs are paid as follows:

- If the insurer denies the claim more than 14 days after the employer knowledge date and the worker has health insurance, the health insurer pays for the services, subject to the limitations in its policy; the workers' compensation insurer pays any balance.
- If the insurer denies the claim within 14 days of the employer knowledge date and the worker has health insurance, the health insurer pays for the services, subject to the limitations in its policy; the worker pays any balance.
- If the insurer denies the claim and the worker has no health insurance, the worker pays the entire bill.

#### Fee schedules

The first fee schedules for medical services in Oregon were implemented in 1982. Fee schedules now exist for eight physician service categories, pharmacy services, durable medical equipment and

medical supplies, and multi-disciplinary services and other Oregon-specific service codes. Insurers pay for medical services at the lesser of the fee schedule or the billed amount. Currently, nearly all payments for medical services to injured workers are subject to a fee schedule. The department is currently looking at new fee schedules for other service areas.

In 1997, the department adopted the Federal Resource-Based Relative Value Schedule (RBRVS) method for determining the maximum payment for the physician service categories. Conversion factors for the categories are published annually in OAR 436-009. For durable medical equipment and medical supplies, the maximum is 85 percent of the manufacturer's suggested retail price or 140 percent of the actual cost, whichever is greater. The maximum allowable fee for pharmaceuticals is 83.5 percent of the Average Wholesale Price, plus a \$2.00 dispensing fee.

The Workers' Compensation Division implemented a hospital payment system using adjusted cost-to-charge ratios (CCR) in 1991. Since July 1992, the department has published revised CCRs semi-annually for all general, acute-care hospitals in the state. The CCR is the proportion of the hospital bill that insurers reimburse Oregon hospitals for treating injured workers. The CCR calculation is based on information from hospitals' audited financial statements and Medicare cost reports. The CCR allows hospitals to recover the cost of providing facility-related services to injured workers, a reasonable rate of return on their capital assets, and an allowance for losses due to bad debt and charity care.

Rural hospitals may be excluded from imposition of the CCR. This exclusion is based on designation as a critical-access hospital under the Medicare Rural Hospital Flexibility Program or on economic need as determined from financial reports. Currently, 25 of the 58 hospitals in Oregon are designated as critical-access hospitals. One additional rural hospital qualifies for the exclusion based on its financial condition. Exempt hospitals are paid 100 percent of charges.

#### **Managed care organizations**

SB 1197 (1990) established regulations regarding workers' compensation insurers' contracts with department-certified managed care organizations (MCOs) and it set the rules under which covered workers must obtain treatment within MCOs. MCOs contract with medical providers and, in return, MCO-covered workers are directed to those providers for treatment. The terms and conditions differ by MCO, but they must include treatment and utilization standards and peer review. Each panel of providers must include eight types of medical service providers: chiropractors, naturopaths, acupuncturists, osteopaths, dentists, optometrists, podiatrists, and physicians.

In 2005, SB 670 made revisions to the statute regarding MCOs. The bill clarified that in order for an MCO to become certified, the DCBS director must review and approve the standards contained in the MCO's plan. The bill also provided that the managed care plan cannot prohibit an injured worker's attending physician from advocating for medical services and temporary disability benefits supported by the medical record. This provision addressed concerns that some managed care contracts contained provisions limiting the attending physician's role.

As of fiscal year 2009, four certified MCOs had 99 active contracts with workers' compensation insurers and self-insured employers. In 2009, 40 percent of workers with accepted disabling claims were enrolled in MCOs. SAIF has used MCOs more than most other insurers. In 2009, SAIF enrolled 63 percent of its claimants with accepted disabling claims. For comparison, self-insured employers enrolled 39 percent of their claimants with accepted disabling claims, and private insurers enrolled 9 percent of their claimants.

#### **Medical payments**

The Workers' Compensation Division requires that insurers with 100 or more accepted disabling claims report their medical payment data. In 2009, approximately 85 percent of total medical payments were reported under the administrative rules. Total medical payments in 2009 are estimated to be \$333.4 million. In 2009, payments for hospital outpatient services exceeded payments for all other provider types; \$86.5 million, 26 percent of all payments, were made for these services. The costs of hospital outpatient services have been growing faster than for other services, and they exceeded payments to medical doctors for the first time in 2009. Hospital inpatient payments were estimated to be \$43.3 million, 13 percent of the total. Payments to medical doctors were 21 percent of the total.

Among other services, physical therapy costs were approximately 9 percent of total costs, and pharmacy costs were about 6 percent of costs. Narcotic analgesics (pain relievers) ranked as the top category of drugs prescribed to injured workers; more than 40 percent of drug costs were for this class of drugs. Anti-convulsants (anti-seizure medications, 10 percent) and musculoskeletal therapy agents (7 percent) round out the top three classes. The use of generic drugs held steady in 2009 at 77 percent of the dispenses; however, the share of payments has continued to fall and is now at 37 percent. The fall in the share of payments for generic drugs, despite continued use, is likely due to the increasing cost of brand-name drugs.

Independent medical exams account for a significant portion of medical payments. IME services, grouped together to include basic exams, reports, and specialized IME services (panel exams and exams by specialists), totaled 2.4 percent of total medical payments.

#### **Recent initiatives and studies**

#### **Nurse practitioners**

In 2003, HB 3669 relaxed restrictions regarding who can be an attending physician by allowing nurse practitioners to perform some of these functions. The bill requires nurse practitioners to become authorized by the department to provide any compensable medical services as attending physicians. It allows authorized nurse practitioners to give expanded treatment in three significant ways. They may provide compensable medical services for 90 days from the date of the first visit on the claim, authorize the payment of temporary disability benefits for 60 days, and release workers to their jobs. In 2005, the department began a study to measure the effects of HB 3669. The study provided the results of a review of the department's medical billing data, claims information provided by SAIF, and a survey of board-certified nurse practitioners. The results found that there were no system cost increases related to the expanded authority for nurse practitioners. In the survey, nurse practitioners reported providing more services to injured workers after the bill went into effect.

#### **Care providers**

In 2006, the department, at the request of the Governor and in conjunction with the Management-Labor Advisory Committee, completed a study of care providers. The department and MLAC focused on chiropractors, naturopaths, podiatrists, and physician assistants. The study tried to determine if rules regarding who may treat workers and authorize disability benefits facilitated accessible, timely, efficient, and effective medical treatment. The study included a literature review; an analysis of chiropractic, naturopathic, podiatric, and physician assistant care providers in Oregon's workers' compensation system; employer focus groups; and an injured worker survey.

The literature review found little data about the role of chiropractors, naturopaths, podiatrists, and physician assistants within the workers' compensation system. The available data did not provide sufficient evidence to either support or oppose a change in Oregon's limitations on who can treat workers.

Employers and injured workers indicated that they were generally satisfied with access to quality health care, the choice of available health care providers, and the quality of care received. Both groups requested that additional restrictions not be added to the current system.

The 2007 Legislature passed HB 2756, which expanded the roles and responsibilities of certain provider types. The new law increased the role of chiropractors, nurse practitioners, podiatrists, naturopaths, and physician assistants to act as attending physician. The new time limit for these providers to act as attending physician was established at 18 visits or 60 days from the first date

of service, whichever comes first. These providers were also allowed to authorize temporary disability for up to 30 days from the first service date.

The new law also allowed a medical provider who did not qualify to be an attending physician to provide compensable services for the first 30 days or up to 12 visits, whichever comes first. Beyond the 60 days or 18 visits for chiropractors, nurse practitioners, podiatrists, naturopaths, and physician assistants, and 30 days or 12 visits for providers not authorized to be attending physicians, only a doctor of medicine, osteopathy, or maxillo-facial surgery can act as attending physician.



Figure 6. Top 10 medical payments by provider type, 2009

Note: "Other medical provider" payments are chiefly for independent medical exams and ambulance services. The "Remaining provider types" are acupunturist, dentist, home health care, laboratory, naturopath, nursing home care, occupational therapist, optometrist, osteopath, physician assistant, podiatrist, psychologist, and registered nurse practitioner.

| Medical payments by pr   | Medical payments by provider type, 2009   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Provider type  | Payments<br>(\$ millions)   | Percent of total   | In 2009, an estimated \$334 million was paid for workers' compensation medical services. Of this, 26 percent was paid for  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospital outpatient<br>Medical doctor<br>Hospital inpatient<br>Physical therapist<br>Other medical provider<br>Pharmacy<br>Ambulatory surgical center<br>Medical supplies<br>Chiropractor<br>Radiologist | \$86.55<br>69.96<br>43.28<br>28.69<br>25.01<br>20.02<br>15.55<br>8.58<br>7.74<br>3.03<br>308.41 | 25.9%<br>21.0%<br>13.0%<br>8.6%<br>7.5%<br>6.0%<br>4.7%<br>2.6%<br>2.3%<br>0.9%<br>92.4% | <ul> <li>hospital outpatient services and 21 percent was paid to medical doctors. 2009 was the first year in which hospital outpatient expenditures exceeded payments to medical doctors.</li> <li>Note: "Other medical provider" payments are chiefly for independent medical exams and ambulance services. The "remaining provider types" are osteopath, home health care, occupational therapist, dentist, nursing home care, acupuncturist, physician assistant, podiatrist, laboratory, optometrist, registered nurse practitioner, psychologist, and naturopath.</li> <li>The Workers' Compensation Division requires that insurers</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remaining provider types<br>Total:   | 25.31<br>\$333.72   | 7.6%<br>100.0%   | with 100 or more accepted disabling claims report their medical<br>payment data. New rules in OAR 436-160 (Medical Electronic Data<br>Interchange) are replacing rules under OAR 436-009 (Bulletin 220).   |  |  |  |  |  |

| Group                                 | Service category                   | Payments (\$ millions) | Percent of total |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Physician service                     | Physical medicine                  | \$52.66                | 15.8%            |
|                                       | Evaluation and management          | 49.62                  | 14.9%            |
|                                       | Surgery with 90-day global period  | 25.77                  | 7.7%             |
|                                       | Radiology                          | 24.08                  | 7.2%             |
|                                       | Medicine                           | 17.74                  | 5.3%             |
|                                       | Surgery w/out 90-day global period | 11.34                  | 3.4%             |
|                                       | Anesthesia                         | 4.77                   | 1.4%             |
|                                       | Laboratory                         | 1.99                   | 0.6%             |
| Total physician services              |                                    | 187.97                 | 56.3%            |
| Hospital services                     | Revenue codes                      | 55.12                  | 16.5%            |
|                                       | Procedure codes                    | 10.86                  | 3.3%             |
|                                       | Other hospital                     | 5.76                   | 1.7%             |
| Total hospital services               |                                    | 71.73                  | 21.5%            |
| Other services                        | Non-hospital HCPCS                 | 20.88                  | 6.3%             |
|                                       | ASC facility fees                  | 15.55                  | 4.7%             |
|                                       | DME and supplies                   | 3.51                   | 1.1%             |
|                                       | Other non-fee schedule             | 1.40                   | 0.4%             |
| Total other services                  |                                    | 41.33                  | 12.4%            |
| Pharmaceuticals                       | NDC codes                          | 19.68                  | 5.9%             |
| Oregon specific and IME related codes |                                    | 13.00                  | 3.9%             |
| Total                                 |                                    | \$333.72               | 100.0%           |

As set forth in Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 436-009-0040, the insurer shall pay for medical services at the provider's usual fee or in accordance with the fee schedule, whichever is less. Medical services that have no fee schedule are reimbursed at the provider's usual fees.

This table shows total payments and market shares for 12 fee-schedule-regulated service categories and five non-fee-schedule categories. Examples of non-fee schedule service categories include revenue services, HCPCS (Medicare's national level II codes, detailing supplies and materials), and procedural services (Hospital ICD-9-CM; International Classification of Diseases 9th Revision Clinical Modification). However, all non-fee-schedule services performed in a hospital setting are subject to the hospital's cost-to-charge ratio in accordance with Bulletin 290. In 2009, the total share of non-fee-schedule services was about 28 percent of total medical payments. Oregon specific services accounted for \$13 million, two-thirds of which was spent on reimbursements for Independent Medical Examinations (IMEs) and related services.

| Top 15 workers' compensation medical services, 2009 |                                 |                        |                     |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Service code  | Description of service          | Payments (\$ millions) | Percent<br>of total | In 2009, the single medical service with the most payments, \$22.95 million, was |  |  |  |
| 97110   | Therapeutic exercises           | \$22.95                | 6.9%                | therapeutic exercises. The top 15 services                                       |  |  |  |
| 99213   | Outpatient office visit         | 15.98                  | 4.8%                | combined accounted for one-third of all workers'                                 |  |  |  |
| 97140   | Manual therapy                  | 11.98                  | 3.6%                | compensation medical payments.   |  |  |  |
| 360   | Operating room services         | 9.23                   | 2.8%                |  |  |  |  |
| 99214   | Outpatient office visit         | 8.14                   | 2.4%                |  |  |  |  |
| D0003   | Independent medical examination | 8.12                   | 2.4%                |  |  |  |  |
| 120   | Hospital room and board         | 5.03                   | 1.5%                |  |  |  |  |
| 450   | Emergency room services         | 4.38                   | 1.3%                |  |  |  |  |
| 97530   | Therapeutic activities          | 4.18                   | 1.3%                |  |  |  |  |
| 99203   | Outpatient office visit         | 3.92                   | 1.2%                |  |  |  |  |
| 278   | Medical implants                | 3.91                   | 1.2%                |  |  |  |  |
| 99283   | Emergency department visit      | 3.63                   | 1.1%                |  |  |  |  |
| 73721   | MRI of joint of lower extremity | 3.59                   | 1.1%                |  |  |  |  |
| 72148   | MRI of lumbar spine             | 3.21                   | 1.0%                |  |  |  |  |
| 73221   | MRI of joint of upper extremity | 3.13                   | 0.9%                |  |  |  |  |
|   | Subtotal:                       | 111.39                 | 33.4%               |  |  |  |  |
|   | Remaining services:             | 222.33                 | 66.6%               |  |  |  |  |
|   | Total:                          | \$333.72               | 100%                |  |  |  |  |

| Top 15 pharmacy payments by drug name, 2009 |           |                                |                        |                     |  |  |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Drug name                                   | Drug type | Drug class                     | Payments (\$ millions) | Percent of<br>total |  |  |
| Oxycontin                                   | Brand     | Analgesics - opioid            | \$2.35                 | 11.9%               |  |  |
| Hydrocodone/acetaminophen                   | Generic   | Analgesics - opioid            | 0.98                   | 5.0%                |  |  |
| Oxycodone HCL ER, CR                        | Generic   | Analgesics - opioid            | 0.80                   | 4.0%                |  |  |
| Lyrica                                      | Brand     | Anticonvulsants                | 0.69                   | 3.5%                |  |  |
| Gabapentin                                  | Generic   | Anticonvulsants                | 0.66                   | 3.4%                |  |  |
| Lidoderm                                    | Brand     | Dermatologicals                | 0.66                   | 3.3%                |  |  |
| Cymbalta                                    | Brand     | Antidepressants                | 0.61                   | 3.1%                |  |  |
| Celebrex                                    | Brand     | Analgesics - anti-inflammatory | 0.57                   | 2.9%                |  |  |
| Fentanyl                                    | Generic   | Analgesics - opioid            | 0.51                   | 2.6%                |  |  |
| Skelaxin                                    | Brand     | Musculoskeletal therapy agents | 0.46                   | 2.4%                |  |  |
| Oxycodone/acetaminophen                     | Generic   | Analgesics - opioid            | 0.45                   | 2.3%                |  |  |
| Morphine sulfate ER, CR                     | Generic   | Analgesics - opioid            | 0.44                   | 2.3%                |  |  |
| Kadian                                      | Brand     | Analgesics - opioid            | 0.40                   | 2.0%                |  |  |
| Cyclobenzaprine HCL                         | Generic   | Musculoskeletal therapy agents | 0.31                   | 1.6%                |  |  |
| Provigil                                    | Brand     | CNS Stimulant                  | 0.23                   | 1.2%                |  |  |
| Subtotal:                                   |           |                                | 10.12                  | 51.5%               |  |  |
| Remaining pharmacy payments:                |           |                                | 9.53                   | 48.5%               |  |  |
| Total:                                      |           |                                | \$19.65                | 100.0%              |  |  |

In 2009, the top 15 pharmaceuticals accounted for 52 percent of total pharmacy payments.

Generic drugs made up about 77 percent of the prescriptions dispensed to injured workers and 37 percent of pharmacy payments for prescription medications. Prescription medications accounted for 96 percent of total pharmacy payments. Medical supplies and other non-drug services provided by pharmacy made up for the remaining 4 percent of total pharmacy payments.

| ICO contracts with insurers and self-insured employers, FY 1995-2009   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Fiscal year  | Insurers   | Self-insured<br>employers  | Total   | At the end of fiscal year 2009, there were four active, certified managed care organizations. These four MCOs had 99 active  |  |  |  |
| 1995<br>1996<br>1997<br>1998<br>1999<br>2000<br>2001<br>2002<br>2003<br>2004<br>2005<br>2006<br>2007<br>2008<br>2009 | 30<br>32<br>38<br>40<br>38<br>38<br>45<br>40<br>40<br>37<br>38<br>40<br>33<br>33<br>33<br>33 | 45<br>46<br>49<br>51<br>48<br>50<br>54<br>56<br>62<br>61<br>65<br>68<br>58<br>60<br>66 | 75<br>78<br>87<br>91<br>86<br>88<br>99<br>96<br>102<br>98<br>103<br>108<br>91<br>93<br>99 | contracts with insurers and self-insured employers at some point<br>during fiscal year 2009.<br>Note: These figures are based on reports submitted by MCOs and<br>may change as new data are reported. |  |  |  |

| Employee | Employees with accepted disabling claims enrolled in MCOs, 1998-2009 |                     |                        |         |   |  |  |  |
|----------|--|---------------------|------------------------|---------|---|--|--|--|
| Year     | SAIF   | Private<br>insurers | Self-insured employers | Overall | The percentage of claimants with accepted disabling claims who have been enrolled in MCOs has varied between 36 percent and |  |  |  |
| 1998     | 76.8%  | 24.5%               | 23.2%                  | 39.8%   | 42 percent.   |  |  |  |
| 1999     | 72.4%  | 20.9%               | 21.8%                  | 37.1%   | Note: The 2002 private insurer figure includes estimated data   |  |  |  |
| 2000     | 76.3%  | 20.1%               | 27.9%                  | 40.1%   | from the Liberty group.   |  |  |  |
| 2001     | 70.3%  | 12.3%               | 26.8%                  | 35.6%   |   |  |  |  |
| 2002     | 67.5%  | 11.7%               | 27.8%                  | 36.5%   |   |  |  |  |
| 2003     | 70.3%  | 8.2%                | 30.1%                  | 39.1%   |   |  |  |  |
| 2004     | 69.7%  | 10.4%               | 30.7%                  | 40.9%   |   |  |  |  |
| 2005     | 70.5%  | 7.8%                | 32.9%                  | 42.1%   |   |  |  |  |
| 2006     | 67.0%  | 5.7%                | 33.2%                  | 39.6%   |   |  |  |  |
| 2007     | 65.8%  | 6.7%                | 34.0%                  | 39.8%   |   |  |  |  |
| 2008     | 64.1%  | 8.4%                | 33.3%                  | 38.7%   |   |  |  |  |
| 2009     | 63.3%  | 8.9%                | 39.1%                  | 39.5%   |   |  |  |  |

## **Indemnity Benefits**

Workers' compensation indemnity benefits are cash benefits paid to injured workers that vary with the severity of the worker's disability. These can include benefits for temporary disability (time loss), permanent partial disability, permanent total disability, and death. Statute sets eligibility criteria and the rate at which insurers pay these benefits. In the case of death from work-related causes, indemnity benefits are paid to survivors. In 2009, the Legislature passed SB 110, which increased death benefits.

Indemnity benefits also include vocational assistance benefits paid on behalf of severely disabled workers to get them back to work; and claim disposition agreements and disputed claim settlements, which are negotiated amounts paid to the worker. In 2009, total indemnity and medical benefits paid by insurers from premiums were an estimated \$628.5 million. Indemnity was 47 percent of the total and has been around 47 percent since 2005.

Accepted disabling claims typically account for about 94 percent of cash benefits. The annual growth rate for indemnity costs for accepted disabling claims since 2000 has been 2.9 percent. In 2009, that indemnity was \$278.9 million. Temporary disability accounted for 40 percent, permanent partial disability was 22 percent, permanent total disability and death together were 8 percent, and vocational assistance was 2 percent. Disputed claim settlements and claim disposition agreements together accounted for 28 percent; for more about them see the disputes chapter. As used in this chapter, indemnity benefits don't include benefits paid from the Workers' Benefit Fund for the Employer-at-Injury and Preferred Worker programs; these benefits are not part of the insurer's benefit obligation. For more information about those programs and vocational assistance, see the chapter on return to work.

Average indemnity paid for accepted disabling claims resolved in 2009 was \$13,377, an increase of 13.9 percent over the previous year. Since 2000, the annual growth in average benefits has been 4.5 percent. The unusual increase in the 2009 average was likely due to decreasing counts of new claims and a corresponding larger share of older, more expensive claims.

#### **Temporary disability**

Temporary disability benefits are paid for time lost from work, whether that loss is total or partial, while the injured worker recovers from medical restrictions. Most accepted disabling claims have temporary disability, which may be paid for multiple claim openings (for aggravation and new or omitted medical condition, as well as the initial claim). Also, these benefits are paid for a few hundred claims each year when the worker is actively engaged in training under vocational assistance. The last major legislation affecting temporary disability benefits was in 2001. SB 485 raised the ceiling on the rate of temporary disability benefits from 100 percent to 133 percent of the statewide average weekly wage. It also established supplemental disability, paid in addition to temporary disability when the worker has an accepted disabling claim and is unable to work in other jobs he or she held as well. Supplemental disability is paid from the Workers' Benefit Fund, so far between \$0.75 million and \$1.0 million annually. HB 2707 of 2009 clarified the provision of supplemental disability benefits.

In 2009, temporary disability benefits paid for accepted disabling claims were an estimated \$111.13 million. The average temporary disability payment was \$5,424 for claims resolved in 2009. This is an estimate of both the amount paid for claims resolved by claim disposition agreement (CDA) and expected development for the large majority of claims that resolve by claim closure. For more information about resolution of claims, see the chapter on claims processing.

The number of temporary disability days paid is a measure of claim duration and severity. In 2009, an average 60 days were paid for initial claims resolved

by claim closure, and 201 days for initial claims resolved by CDA. Some claims resolve multiple times. Taking into account those claims that may have reopened for an aggravation, new or omitted medical condition, or training under vocational assistance, claims resolved in 2009 were paid an average 74 days of temporary disability benefits. Like the average dollar benefits paid, this is an estimate. The unusually large increases in average dollars and days paid in 2008 and 2009 were likely partly due to decreasing counts of new claims and a corresponding larger share of older, more expensive claims.

#### Permanent partial disability

In 2003, SB 757 created a new structure for permanent partial disability (PPD) benefits. The changes, which were made permanent by HB 2244 (2007), apply to claims for injuries and illnesses occurring since January 2005. Permanent impairment of all body parts and systems is rated in relation to the whole person. There is no longer a distinction between scheduled and unscheduled awards. Workers receive an impairment benefit based on the statewide average weekly wage multiplied by the percentage of impairment. Benefits are adjusted annually in accord with the change in the state average weekly wage. Workers unable to return to work receive a work disability benefit based on the impairment modified by age, education, adaptability factors, and earnings at the time of injury. Wage-based work disability rates are limited to a range between 50 percent and 133 percent of the state average weekly wage. By HB 2408 (2005), workers injured since January 2006 who are released to regular work are specifically excluded from work disability benefits.

HB 2244 (2007) also required the Workers' Compensation Management-Labor Advisory Committee (MLAC) to review permanent partial disability benefit amounts on a biennial basis and make recommendations to ensure the original policy goals continue to be met over time. One of those goals is to allocate PPD award dollars equitably to claims with greater economic loss. Permanent partial disability benefits paid in 2009 were \$60.19 million, a decrease of \$3.5 million compared to 2007. One contributing factor is the increase in initial claims that resolved by CDA in 2008 and 2009. Those claims receive no PPD benefits; instead workers release rights to potential future benefits in exchange for cash, typically in a lump sum.

Through the years, about 30 percent of claims that resolved by claim closure have received PPD benefits. For those claims, the average PPD award has been increasing at an annual rate of 3.4 percent since 2000. The average PPD award for claims last closed in 2009 was \$11,104.

Oregon's maximum indemnity benefit levels are among the more generous nationally, exceeding the median values for comparable states. Maximum PPD benefits, effective for dates of injury between July 2010 and June 2011, are \$314,081 per claim.

# Permanent total disability and death

Permanent total disability (PTD) benefits are paid when a worker is totally and permanently disabled due to a work injury. The number of claims receiving these benefits declined dramatically between 1988 and 1990, when disability rating standards were adopted systemwide. The creation of CDAs in 1990 led to further decline. By 2001 there were 13 grants of PTD and 14 rescissions of the benefits, for a net of negative one award. The passage of SB 386 in 2005 provided increased access to permanent total disability benefits and protections for severely injured workers. In 2009 there were 13 grants of PTD and zero rescissions, typical numbers since 2006.

Death benefits are provided to surviving family members of a worker who dies on the job or while permanently and totally disabled. In SB 835, the 2007 Legislature required a study and report by the Workers' Compensation Management-Labor Advisory Committee (MLAC) on adequacy of death benefits in the workers' compensation

system. One result was the passage of SB 110 in 2009, which doubled burial benefits, established new benefits for orphans aged 18 to 23 who are attending school, and provided for payment of remaining benefits to the deceased worker's estate in the absence of legally defined beneficiaries.

In 2009, insurers paid an estimated \$7.59 million for PTD and \$15.36 million for death benefits. Together they accounted for 8 percent of indemnity paid from premium for accepted disabling claims. However, the majority of PTD and death benefits are paid from the Workers' Benefit Fund. The WBF reimburses insurers for payments that cover cost of living increases, as these PTD and death benefits may be paid over several decades. Because these payments are made for a long time and because of the decline in the number of new PTD and death-benefit claims, the WBF is paying for an increasing share of these benefits. In 2009, these WBF-reimbursed benefits came to \$19.16 million for PTD and \$36.37 million for death benefits.



### Figure 7. Insurer-paid and Workers' Benefit Fund (WBF)-reimbursed death and permanent total disability (PTD) benefits, 2009 (\$ millions)

| rmanent partial<br>assistance, and   |
|--|
| nts. Indemnity ound 47 percent of  |
| ound 47 percent of   |
|  |
| enefits for  |
|  |
| ing claims. Most   |
| small amount is  |
| efit Fund. The total   |
| osidies, worksite  |
| oloyment Assistance  |
| ath and permanent  |
| sistance Program.  |
|  |
|  |
| ment or court order.   |
| permanent total  |
| d. Historical data   |
|  |
| modifications, or purchases under the Re-employment Assistan<br>Program, nor cost of living adjustments for death and permane<br>total disability benefits from the Retroactive Assistance Program<br>The department has little or no data on temporary disability pai<br>before compensability denial or after a department or court or<br>Some data about temporary disability, death, permanent total<br>disability, and medical payments are estimated. Historical data<br>are subject to small changes. |

| Indemnity ben  | ndemnity benefits paid for accepted disabling claims, CY 1995-2009   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year<br>1995<br>1996   | Benefits paid<br>(\$ millions)<br>\$248.4<br>229.1                   | Average benefits<br>\$7,394<br>7.508                                       | Indemnity benefits for accepted disabling claims increased 2.9 percent per year since 2000. Average benefits increased 4.5 percent, while the number of claims dropped by 1.6 percent per year.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997<br>1998<br>1999<br>2000<br>2001                         | 215.4<br>208.6<br>208.8<br>210.9<br>222.8                            | 7,392<br>7,347<br>7,756<br>8,107<br>8,647                                  | The 2008-2009 change was unusual; the average amount paid<br>went up 13.9 percent while the number of claims declined 10.0<br>percent. As new claims have been decreasing, the proportion of<br>older, more expensive claims has increased.<br>Claims are measured as resolutions of initial or subsequent claim             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002<br>2003<br>2004<br>2005<br>2006<br>2007<br>2008<br>2009 | 232.4<br>225.6<br>231.7<br>234.3<br>250.2<br>255.7<br>272.1<br>278.9 | 9,548<br>9,822<br>10,063<br>10,425<br>10,601<br>10,540<br>11,741<br>13,377 | openings by claim closure and claim disposition agreement.<br>There may be multiple resolutions per claim. Benefits paid for<br>accepted disabling claims exclude some payments for temporary<br>disability after a department or court order. Some data are<br>estimated, and historical data are subject to small changes. |  |  |  |  |  |

| Year | Temporary<br>disability<br>(\$ millions) | PPD<br>(\$ millions) | PTD<br>(\$ millions) | Death<br>(\$ millions) | Claim<br>disposition<br>agreements<br>(\$ millions) | Disputed<br>claim<br>settlements<br>(\$ millions) | Vocational<br>assistance<br>(\$ millions) |
|------|--|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|
| 1995 | \$99.64                                  | \$59.64              | \$13.65              | \$8.98                 | \$47.67   | \$10.54   | \$8.28                                    |
| 1996 | 85.41                                    | 59.66                | 13.12                | 9.61                   | 44.12   | 9.06  | 8.09                                      |
| 1997 | 79.64                                    | 55.03                | 12.61                | 10.28                  | 42.93   | 8.51  | 6.40                                      |
| 1998 | 80.22                                    | 55.15                | 11.97                | 10.85                  | 36.33   | 8.57  | 5.50                                      |
| 1999 | 81.05                                    | 53.29                | 11.45                | 11.07                  | 38.50   | 8.65  | 4.83                                      |
| 2000 | 79.19                                    | 54.69                | 11.03                | 11.81                  | 38.58   | 10.71   | 4.90                                      |
| 2001 | 88.96                                    | 58.87                | 10.51                | 12.01                  | 37.79   | 9.99  | 4.72                                      |
| 2002 | 91.90                                    | 57.82                | 9.98                 | 12.30                  | 43.23   | 12.35   | 4.80                                      |
| 2003 | 90.18                                    | 57.52                | 9.54                 | 13.14                  | 39.64   | 11.01   | 4.59                                      |
| 2004 | 91.09                                    | 60.12                | 9.11                 | 13.05                  | 42.04   | 11.19   | 5.08                                      |
| 2005 | 90.06                                    | 63.36                | 8.95                 | 13.62                  | 42.13   | 10.92   | 5.22                                      |
| 2006 | 98.91                                    | 63.04                | 8.54                 | 13.68                  | 50.08   | 10.49   | 5.48                                      |
| 2007 | 100.82                                   | 63.65                | 8.38                 | 14.11                  | 50.79   | 12.16   | 5.84                                      |
| 2008 | 108.02                                   | 61.27                | 7.86                 | 14.10                  | 61.02   | 13.87   | 5.91                                      |
| 2009 | 111.13                                   | 60.19                | 7.59                 | 15.36                  | 61.64   | 16.77   | 6.23                                      |

In 2009, 40 percent of indemnity benefits for accepted disabling claims were temporary disability payments, 22 percent were permanent partial disability (PPD) awards, 28 percent were agreements and settlements, and the remaining 10 percent were paid for permanent total disability (PTD), death, and vocational assistance benefits.

Data are reported by the year of the insurer closure or order by the department or court. Temporary disability includes reports by insurers at claim closure and following a vocational assistance training plan, and estimates of unreported data such as for initial claims resolved by claim disposition agreement. Temporary disability data is incomplete for benefit changes after a department or court order. Some death and PTD benefits are estimated and neither includes cost-of-living adjustments paid from the Workers' Benefit Fund. Benefits paid on PTD claims after the worker has died are included in death benefits. Historical data are subject to small changes.

| Averag | Average temporary disability days paid by type of claim resolution, CY 1995-2009 |                              |   |                                   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------|--|------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year   | Initial<br>claim,<br>CDA   | Initial<br>claim,<br>closure | Aggravation<br>and medical<br>condition,<br>closure | Vocational<br>training<br>closure | Any<br>resolution | Most claim resolutions are closures on initial claims. The average days paid in 2009 was 60, which is the highest since at least 1995. Initial claims that ended with a claim disposition agreement rather than closure have been increasing. Temporary disability |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995   | 228  | 49                           | 123   | 217                               | 59                | payments are not reported for these claims; we estimate 201  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996   | 212  | 46                           | 116   | 212                               | 55                | average days paid for them. The average of 73 days paid for  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997   | 195  | 43                           | 107   | 225                               | 52                | any resolution in 2009 was unusual. As new claims have been  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998   | 186  | 44                           | 90  | 227                               | 52                | decreasing, older and longer-duration claims have increased  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999   | 185  | 44                           | 90  | 221                               | 53                | in proportion.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000   | 185  | 43                           | 85  | 226                               | 51                | Accepted disabling claims may resolve multiple times. The  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001   | 178  | 46                           | 98  | 224                               | 55                | data are reported for each claim resolution by the year of claim   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002   | 209  | 49                           | 93  | 247                               | 58                | closure or claim disposition agreement. For more details, see  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003   | 194  | 48                           | 77  | 250                               | 57                | the claim closures table in the claims processing section. The   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004   | 198  | 50                           | 88  | 246                               | 59                | average days are calculated per resolution rather than per claim   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005   | 205  | 51                           | 92  | 230                               | 61                | as in the next table.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006   | 189  | 50                           | 80  | 224                               | 58                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007   | 175  | 50                           | 101   | 230                               | 60                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008   | 205  | 53                           | 95  | 228                               | 64                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009   | 201  | 60                           | 84  | 273                               | 73                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|      | Average |                 | Median | In 2009, the average number of temporary disability days paid per   |
|------|---------|-----------------|--------|---|
| Year | days    | Average dollars | days   | accepted disabling claim was 74 days, and the average payment       |
| 1995 | 65      | \$3,268         | 19     | was \$5,424. The average number of days of paid temporary           |
| 1996 | 60      | 3,056           | 17     | disability was 11 percent higher in 2009 than in 2008; the average  |
| 1997 | 57      | 2,952           | 15     | amount paid was 12 percent higher. The proportion of longer-        |
| 1998 | 56      | 3,006           | 16     | duration claims has increased as the number of new claims has       |
| 1999 | 56      | 3,170           | 17     | decreased.  |
| 2000 | 54      | 3,166           | 16     | The data are reported by the year of the latest claim resolution,   |
| 2001 | 58      | 3,603           | 18     | including reports by insurers at claim closure and following a      |
| 2002 | 61      | 3,923           | 18     | training plan, and estimates of unreported data such as for initial |
| 2003 | 60      | 4,102           | 19     | claims resolved by claim disposition agreement. Data exclude        |
| 2004 | 62      | 4,104           | 19     | some temporary disability paid after a department or court order.   |
| 2005 | 64      | 4,233           | 20     | Averages for the latest year have been adjusted for expected        |
| 2006 | 61      | 4,322           | 20     | development, and historical data will show small changes as         |
| 2007 | 62      | 4,328           | 19     | claims are reopened and closed.                                     |
| 2008 | 67      | 4,825           | 21     |   |
| 2009 | 74      | 5,424           | 25     |   |

| Permanent  | partial disabilit  | y for closed a  | ccepted disat   | oling claims, CY 1995-2009   |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Year   | Last closure<br>PPD claims   | Percentage of<br>closed claims<br>with PPD  | Average PPD<br>award  | In general, about 30 percent of closed claims receive permanent<br>partial disability awards. Closed claims do not include initial<br>claims resolved by claim disposition agreement, none of which  |
| 1995<br>1996<br>1997<br>1998<br>2000<br>2001<br>2002<br>2003<br>2004<br>2005<br>2006<br>2007<br>2008 | 9,479<br>8,917<br>8,045<br>7,739<br>7,309<br>6,948<br>6,998<br>6,701<br>6,216<br>6,294<br>6,279<br>6,359<br>6,379<br>6,153 | 30.8%<br>31.6%<br>29.8%<br>29.5%<br>29.6%<br>29.6%<br>30.3%<br>29.8%<br>30.0%<br>30.7%<br>29.5%<br>28.7%<br>29.1% | \$6,372<br>6,598<br>7,001<br>7,102<br>7,313<br>7,719<br>8,307<br>8,553<br>9,054<br>9,572<br>9,895<br>9,545<br>9,724<br>10,349 | receive a PPD award, but all of which release future PPD liability.<br>The average PPD award for these closed claims with PPD<br>benefits has increased 3.4 percent per year since 2000. The<br>high percentage of PPD claims, and the 7.3 percent increase in<br>the average PPD award in 2009, may be due to the increased<br>proportion of more severe claims accompanying the decrease in<br>new claims.<br>These data are reported by the year of the last claim closure;<br>data will change as claims are opened and closed. The average<br>awards include the initial awards made by insurers and the net<br>changes awarded during the appeal process, summed over all<br>claim closures. |
| 2008   | 6,069  | 31.8%   | 11,104  |  |

| Permanent te | ermanent total disability awards, CY 1987-2009 |         |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|--|---------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year         | Grant  | Rescind | Net awards | The number of permanent total disability awards declined                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987         | 204  | 27      | 177        | dramatically between 1988 and 1990, when disability rating                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988         | 209  | 14      | 195        | standards were adopted system wide. The creation of CDAs in 1990 led to further decline. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989         | 139  | 15      | 124        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990         | 81   | 36      | 45         | PTD grants can be made by insurers or by the department                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991         | 68   | 22      | 46         | through the appeal process. These counts include the                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992         | 47   | 5       | 42         | reinstatement of awards that were rescinded by insurers or                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993         | 26   | 13      | 13         | during earlier appeals. Of the 13 grants in 2009, 10 were by                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994         | 36   | 9       | 27         | insurer closure, and the three other grants were by department                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995         | 32   | 17      | 15         | reconsideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996         | 17   | 6       | 11         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997         | 20   | 5       | 15         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998         | 16   | 6       | 10         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999         | 25   | 11      | 14         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000         | 14   | 6       | 8          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001         | 13   | 14      | -1         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002         | 23   | 3       | 20         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003         | 14   | 6       | 8          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004         | 20   | 7       | 13         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005         | 20   | 4       | 16         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006         | 18   | 1       | 17         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007         | 15   | 1       | 14         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008         | 10   | 1       | 9          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009         | 13   | 0       | 13         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Maximum PPD bene  | Aaximum PPD benefits, since July 1986  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Dates of injury   | Maximum<br>scheduled<br>PPD  | Maximum<br>unscheduled<br>PPD   | Maximum<br>PPD   | In 2003, SB 757 revised the PPD award structure, effective<br>January 2005. It eliminated the distinction between scheduled<br>and unscheduled PPD. The new structure reallocates benefits<br>to better reflect earnings loss, providing less-generous benefits  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 1986 - June 1987<br>July 1987 - June 1990<br>July 1990 - June 1991<br>July 1991 - June 1992<br>July 1992 - June 1993<br>July 1993 - June 1994<br>July 1994 - June 1995<br>July 1995 - Dec. 1995<br>Jan. 1996 - Dec. 1997<br>Jan. 1998 - Dec. 1999<br>Jan. 2000 - Dec. 2001 | \$24,000<br>27,840<br>58,560<br>58,577<br>60,601<br>63,631<br>66,722<br>67,402<br>80,640<br>87,168<br>98,168 | \$32,000<br>32,000<br>60,503<br>62,592<br>65,723<br>68,915<br>69,617<br>130,400<br>138,224<br>149,033 | -<br>-<br>-<br>-<br>-<br>-<br>-  | to beller reliect earnings loss, providing less-generous benefits<br>to some workers who can return to regular work, and more-<br>generous benefits to those who cannot. The maximum PPD<br>award was increased, but there has been no increased cost to the<br>workers' compensation system.<br>The increase in PPD maximum amounts since 2005 is due to<br>benefit levels now being escalated by the change in the average<br>weekly wage under the new law. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 2000 - Dec. 2001<br>Jan. 2002 - Dec. 2004<br>Jan. 2005 - June 2005<br>July 2005 - June 2006<br>July 2006 - June 2007<br>July 2007 - June 2008<br>July 2008 - June 2009<br>July 2009 - June 2010<br>July 2010 - June 2011   | 107,328  |   | -<br>\$263,917<br>273,271<br>276,517<br>290,073<br>302,946<br>306,862<br>314,061 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## **Return-to-Work Assistance**

The fundamental goals of the workers' compensation system include returning injured workers to their jobs quickly and enabling them to earn close to their pre-injury wages. Oregon statute does this in three ways. First is the structure of disability benefits. Temporary partial disability as an alternative to temporary total disability and the possibility of payment of work disability benefits for permanent impairment act as incentives for employers and insurers to get injured workers back to work. Second, statute prohibits employment discrimination and provides re-employment and reinstatement rights to injured workers. The Bureau of Labor and Industries enforces those laws, as well as other civil rights laws. Third, the workers' compensation system assists injured workers with three employment programs.

The Employer-at-Injury and the Preferred Worker programs provide incentives to employers who choose to re-employ injured workers. The Employerat-Injury Program (EAIP) focuses on workers who have medical releases to temporary, restricted work. The Preferred Worker Program (PWP) is for workers who have known permanent work restrictions. The essence of both programs is early diagnosis and accommodation of medical restrictions. The insurer plays an active role in both programs. Costs of EAIP and PWP benefits and insurer administration are paid from the Re-employment Assistance Program within the Workers' Benefit Fund. In 2009, benefit costs paid came to \$18.24 million for the Employer-at-Injury Program and \$8.03 million for the Preferred Worker Program. Costs for EAIP benefits first exceeded PWP in 2000, and since then, EAIP's share of Re-employment Assistance Programs benefits paid has been increasing rapidly, though that trend may be ending in 2010. Also, the PWP benefit costs do not include placement services that were enabled by SB 119 (2005). Revenue for the Workers' Benefit Fund is mostly assessments paid equally by workers and their employers on hours worked.

The vocational assistance program is available for only the most severe disabilities. Insurers and rehabilitation professionals provide formal plans and needed purchases, usually for retraining, to return disabled workers to suitable jobs. For injuries after 1985, vocational assistance is funded through employers' insurance premiums. For more information about the costs of vocational assistance since 1995, see the indemnity chapter of this report.





Fiscal year, 13th quarter after injury / calendar year of injury

Figure 9. Return-to-work flowchart



#### Measuring the effectiveness of return-to-work programs

The department's performance measures have been recognized for innovative use of employment and wage data by the International Association of Industrial Accident Boards and Commissions and the Workers' Compensation Research Institute. The measures are percentage point differences in employment and wage-recovery rates between workers with accepted disabling claims who used return-to-work programs and similar workers who did not. Data come from the Oregon Employment Department: wages reported in the 13th quarter after the disabling injury or exposure compared to wages reported in the injury quarter and the quarter just prior to injury.

In 2010, for workers with accepted disabling claims for 2006 injuries and illnesses, the employment rate advantage for use of return-to-work programs was 12 percentage points. Since 1997, through periods of both high and low unemployment, the employment rate for program users has been 9 percentage points to 13 percentage points higher compared to workers with no use of return-to-work programs. The wage-recovery advantage was 14 percentage points. On average, program users recovered 101 percent of their pre-injury wages, adjusted for statewide trends in employment and wages.

Results of a recent study featuring a more in-depth use of performance measurement data show that preferred workers are more likely to use their benefits if they had a transitional work placement under the Employer-at-Injury Program, and that workers who complete their vocational assistance plans have better employment and wage-recovery outcomes if they use preferred worker benefits.

#### **Return-to-work program use**

By the first quarter of 2010, more than 19 percent of accepted disabling claims for injuries and illnesses during 2006 had use of returnwork-programs: an Employer-at-Injury Program placement, Preferred Worker Program benefits, completion of a vocational assistance plan, or any combination thereof. This is the highest rate of program use for the five years of measurement.

#### The Employer-at-Injury Program

The Employer-at-Injury Program (EAIP), created in 1993, is for Oregon employers and their injured workers who have temporary medical releases for return to light-duty, transitional jobs. Insurers arrange job placements for which they receive a flat fee of \$120 each. Assistance to employers generally consists of a 50 percent wage subsidy for a period of up to three months. Worksite modifications and early-return-to-work purchases are also available and have been made easier to use.





A statutory change in 1995 permitted extension of the program to include workers with claims classified as nondisabling even though the workers have medical restrictions on the kinds of work they can perform. By getting workers back to a job shortly after injury, the EAIP has prevented many accepted nondisabling claims from becoming disabling claims, because no temporary disability benefits are due and payable. An administrative law change in December 2007 extends benefits to workers with claims where compensability ultimately was denied, but temporary disability benefits were due and payable while compensability was investigated.

Insurers may reduce or discontinue temporary disability benefits if a worker refuses modified work, including an EAIP placement. Effective mid-2001, Senate Bill 485 gave injured workers the right to refuse modified work if the job requires a commute that is beyond the worker's physical ability, is more than 50 miles away, is not with the employer at injury or not at that employer's worksite, or is inconsistent with the employer's practices or a collective bargaining agreement.

In 2009, the department approved payment for 8,602 placements, down a bit from 8,814 placements the previous year. There were 2,004 employers with at least one worker placement approved for payment. This is the highest figure on record. Statutory and administrative law changes have succeeded in improving access and participation. However, as with other return-to-work program indicators, economic conditions have an effect on these measures, too. For example, the declining number of claims appears to be resulting in declining numbers of worker placements.

Measured at the 13th quarter after injury, employment and wage recovery rates have been consistently higher for workers with accepted disabling claims where employers and insurers accessed Employer-at-Injury Program benefits. The employment and wage recovery rates in fiscal year 2010 were both 6 percentage points higher. These statistics are based on a comparison of workers released to regular work, but with significant severity indicators for temporary and permanent impairment. Recent research showed that a wage recovery and employment advantage is sustained over a period of at least five years after injury.

#### **Preferred Worker Program**

The current version of the Preferred Worker Program is a result of SB 1197 (1990). Clarifications were added in 1995 through SB 369; notably, workers may not release these benefits through a claim disposition agreement. Senate Bill 119 (2005) expanded the program's options by enabling the payment for limited placement services contracted for on behalf of preferred workers.



Figure 11. Preferred workers starting contracts, 1995-2009

The program's objective is to sustain disabled workers in modified employment as soon as permanent medical restrictions are known. A worker automatically receives a preferred worker identification card when the insurer reports that the worker has a work-related permanent disability preventing return to regular work. The card informs prospective employers that the worker may be eligible for the program's benefits. A worker may also request qualification as a preferred worker from the department. The department, not insurers, delivers benefits under the Preferred Worker Program.

An eligible employer who chooses to hire a preferred worker is exempt from workers' compensation premiums on the worker for three years. If the worker moves to another job, premium exemption is transferred to the new employer for an additional three years. The department reimburses insurers for all claim costs, including administrative expenses, for any claims preferred workers file during the premium-exemption period.

Three other benefits, payable by contract, are available for preferred workers and employers. Wage subsidies provide 50 percent reimbursement for six months; higher benefits are available for exceptional levels of disability. Worksite modifications alter worksites within Oregon to accommodate the workers' restrictions. Employment purchases provide uniforms, licenses, tools, worksite creation, and other benefits required to set up the preferred worker for employment. These benefits may be used more than once.

Administrative rule changes effective July 2005, permit use of the program at the initiative of the employer at injury. A worker's entitlement to future program benefits is not affected if the worker accepts this option. Otherwise, use of the Preferred Worker Program is at the initiative of the injured worker and at the option of the prospective employer. Administrative rule changes effective December 2007 clarified that a preferred worker has no time limit on when to start using the program's benefits.

Benefit use among preferred workers is difficult to measure because some workers use benefits soon after becoming eligible while others wait for years. By one measure, the number of workers starting one or more contracts in a year, benefit use increased after 2004 but declined to a record low in 2009.

Measured at the 13th quarter after injury, employment and wage recovery rates have been substantially higher for preferred workers who used the program's benefits compared to preferred workers who did not. In 2010, the employment rate was 26 percentage points higher, and wage recovery was better by 28 percentage points. These statistics offer a relatively short-term perspective on the efficacy of the program. However, larger differences in wage recovery in favor of benefit users since 2005 may be due in part to changes in administrative rules and statute.

#### **Vocational assistance**

Insurers provide vocational assistance, usually through professional rehabilitation organizations, to overcome limitations that prevent injured workers' return to suitable work. In 1987, the Legislature passed HB 2900, which significantly restricted eligibility for vocational assistance by introducing a new test, substantial handicap. In general, this means that injured workers are eligible for vocational assistance only if a permanent disability prevents re-employment in any job paying at least 80 percent of the job-atinjury wage. In 1995, SB 369 further restricted eligibility for vocational assistance for aggravation claims. Because of these changes, as well as the declining number of claims, far fewer workers have been eligible for vocational assistance. The count for 2009 was 635, a record low. Effective January 2010, HB 2705 clarifies that insurers need no longer determine eligibility for workers released to regular work.

Benefits available under vocational assistance include professional rehabilitation services, such as plan development, counseling and guidance, and placement; purchases of goods and services, such as tuition; and temporary disability while the worker is actively engaged in training. Under current law, the typical eligible worker is entitled to a training plan followed by placement (direct employment) services.

Eligible workers are not required to use vocational assistance benefits. Since at least 1987, less than one-half of eligible workers have begun a plan following their eligibility determinations. Since 1995, less than one-third of workers have completed their plans – defined as placement in a job or receipt of maximum services. Maximum service is 16 months of training (21 months exceptionally), plus four months of placement.

In 1990, the claim disposition agreement was legalized. With CDAs, workers release their rights to vocational assistance and other indemnity benefits in exchange for lump-sum settlements. Since 2002, around 50 percent of eligibilities have ended with a CDA. In general, these workers do not use preferred worker program benefits, and they have low postinjury employment rates and wages. The de-emphasis of vocational assistance has resulted in few workers returning to work because of the program, just 95 in 2009. However, workers who completed a vocational assistance plan have had better employment outcomes than eligible workers who did not complete their plans. Measured at 13 quarters after injury, employment rates have been 20 percentage points to 40 percentage points higher for workers who completed plans. Wage-recovery rates have shown similar advantages for workers who completed their plans. Because the completion of a vocational assistance plan typically occurs in the third year after injury, these statistics are a relatively shortterm perspective on the efficacy of the program.

| Employer-a   | nployer-at-Injury Program placements approved, CY1995-2009   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year   | Worker placements  | Employers  | Mean cost per placement   | The Employer-at-Injury Program was created to encourage placement of injured workers into transitional work while they  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995<br>1996<br>1997<br>1998<br>1999<br>2000<br>2001<br>2002<br>2003<br>2004<br>2005<br>2006<br>2007<br>2008<br>2009 | 3,739<br>6,078<br>8,359<br>10,068<br>9,442<br>7,854<br>8,585<br>6,406<br>5,954<br>6,609<br>6,475<br>7,424<br>7,770<br>8,814<br>8,602 | 1,191<br>1,348<br>1,513<br>1,791<br>1,837<br>1,645<br>1,235<br>1,333<br>1,499<br>1,494<br>1,626<br>1,800<br>1,992<br>2,004 | \$1,326<br>\$1,245<br>\$1,180<br>\$1,167<br>\$1,132<br>\$1,215<br>\$1,290<br>\$1,411<br>\$1,477<br>\$1,472<br>\$1,553<br>\$1,604<br>\$1,786<br>\$2,059<br>\$2,123 | recover from their injuries. Benefits available to employers and<br>their workers include wage subsidy, worksite modification, and<br>purchases.<br>Higher counts of workers and employers with placements after<br>2005 are evidence that recent law changes are promoting use<br>and access to the program, despite declining claim counts.<br>Modifications and purchases are being used more often due to<br>administrative law changes in late 2007. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### Preferred workers, CY 1995-2009

| Calendar<br>year | Eligibilities | Workers using benefits | Percent of<br>eligibilities<br>with benefit<br>use | Preferred workers have permanent work restrictions that prevent<br>return to unmodified regular work. Preferred worker eligibilities<br>in 2007 and 2008 were at their highest number since 2001, but<br>declined to a record low in 2009. |
|------------------|---------------|------------------------|--|--|
| 1995             | 4,459         | 1,334                  | 29.9%  | Benefit use among preferred workers is difficult to measure  |
| 1996             | 3,708         | 1,107                  | 29.9%  | because some workers use benefits soon after eligibility while   |
| 1997             | 3,120         | 912                    | 29.2%  | others wait for years. However since 2002 use has been fairly  |
| 1998             | 2,946         | 738                    | 25.1%  | stable, in the 26 percent to 27 percent range.   |
| 1999             | 2,549         | 645                    | 25.3%  |  |
| 2000             | 2,267         | 584                    | 25.8%  |  |
| 2001             | 2,375         | 562                    | 23.7%  |  |
| 2002             | 1,858         | 494                    | 26.6%  |  |
| 2003             | 1,821         | 497                    | 27.3%  |  |
| 2004             | 1,780         | 478                    | 26.9%  |  |
| 2005             | 1,806         | 466                    | 25.8%  |  |
| 2006             | 1,767         | 454                    | 25.7%  |  |
| 2007             | 2,021         | 522                    | 25.8%  |  |
| 2008             | 1,989         | Available A            | ugust 2011   |  |
| 2009             | 1,658         | Available A            |  |  |

| Preferred Worker Program contracts started, CY 1995-2009   |   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Workers<br>starting one<br>or more   | Wage  | Worksite   | Purchases   | Preferred Worker Program benefits include premium exemption and claim cost reimbursement, plus wage subsidy, worksite modification, and employment purchase contracts or agreements. Workers may use all these benefits more than one time.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,379<br>1,448<br>1,380<br>1,273<br>979<br>871<br>718<br>594<br>620<br>620<br>620<br>620<br>594<br>573<br>604<br>695 | 1,110<br>1,111<br>1,063<br>957<br>734<br>673<br>539<br>473<br>517<br>488<br>458<br>458<br>482<br>495<br>462   | 418<br>515<br>448<br>293<br>282<br>232<br>200<br>200<br>265<br>245<br>232<br>218<br>231  | 527<br>638<br>602<br>668<br>462<br>344<br>310<br>250<br>235<br>249<br>252<br>225<br>237<br>582  | Administrative law changes provided for use of program benefits<br>at the injury employer's initiative beginning July 2005 and worksite<br>creation purchases in December 2007. The number of workers<br>starting contracts in 2008 was the highest since 2001, but the 2009<br>figure was the lowest on record.<br>Workers may start contracts in multiple years.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Workers<br>starting one<br>or more<br>contracts<br>1,379<br>1,448<br>1,380<br>1,273<br>979<br>871<br>718<br>594<br>620<br>620<br>620<br>594<br>573<br>604 | Workers<br>starting one<br>or more         Wage<br>subsidies           1,379         1,110           1,448         1,111           1,380         1,063           1,273         957           979         734           871         673           718         539           594         473           620         517           620         488           594         458           573         482           604         495           695         462 | Workers<br>starting one<br>or more<br>contracts         Wage<br>subsidies         Worksite<br>modifications           1,379         1,110         418           1,448         1,111         515           1,380         1,063         448           1,273         957         448           979         734         293           871         673         282           718         539         232           594         473         200           620         517         200           620         517         200           620         488         265           594         458         245           573         482         232           604         495         218           695         462         231 | Workers<br>starting one<br>or more<br>contracts         Wage<br>subsidies         Worksite<br>modifications         Purchases           1,379         1,110         418         527           1,448         1,111         515         638           1,380         1,063         448         602           1,273         957         448         668           979         734         293         462           871         673         282         344           718         539         232         310           594         473         200         250           620         517         200         235           620         488         265         249           594         458         245         252           573         482         232         225           604         495         218         237           695         462         231         582 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Re-em  | Re-employment Assistance Program benefit costs paid, CY 1995-2009          |  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Employer-  | Preferred<br>Worker<br>Program,                                      | Preferred<br>Worker<br>Program,        | Preferred<br>Worker<br>Program                                       | Benefits available under the Employer-at-Injury and Preferred<br>Worker programs are paid from the Re-employment Assistance<br>Program of the Workers' Benefit Fund.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year   | at-Injury<br>Program<br>(\$ millions)                                      | worker<br>initiated<br>(\$ millions)                                 | employer<br>initiated<br>(\$ millions) | claim costs<br>reimbursed<br>(\$ millions)                           | Benefit costs paid for the Employer-at-Injury Program reached new peaks in 2008 and 2009. Preliminary numbers for 2010 indicate that costs paid will decrease to something like \$14 million.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995<br>1996<br>1997<br>1998<br>1999<br>2000                         | \$4.96<br>7.58<br>9.84<br>11.65<br>10.60<br>9.50                           | \$6.19<br>7.90<br>8.87<br>8.46<br>7.23<br>5.86                       |  | \$3.13<br>3.03<br>3.01<br>3.45<br>3.71<br>3.01                       | Benefit costs paid for Preferred Worker Program worker-initiated<br>wage subsidies, worksite modifications, and employment purchases<br>declined in 2009 to a record low. For the first time since becoming<br>available in 2005, employer-initiated benefits declined, too. Claim<br>cost reimbursements increased to the highest amount since 2001. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001<br>2002<br>2003<br>2004<br>2005<br>2006<br>2007<br>2008<br>2009 | 11.01<br>8.98<br>8.77<br>9.70<br>10.03<br>11.87<br>13.85<br>18.12<br>18.24 | 5.77<br>4.99<br>4.41<br>5.71<br>5.03<br>4.58<br>4.15<br>4.61<br>3.62 | \$0.01<br>1.05<br>1.61<br>1.83<br>1.74 | 3.19<br>2.56<br>2.27<br>2.31<br>2.19<br>2.04<br>2.28<br>2.34<br>2.67 | Benefit costs paid for EAIP first exceeded PWP in 2000, and by 2009 the share of Re-employment Assistance Program benefits that were PWP had declined to less than one third.   |  |  |  |  |  |

| Vocationa  | al assistance dete   | erminations, C   | Y 1995-2009  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year   | Total determinations   | Ineligible   | Eligible   | Insurers determine eligibility or ineligibility for vocational assistance for workers with permanent partial disability who  |
| 1995<br>1996<br>1997<br>1998<br>1999<br>2000<br>2001<br>2002<br>2003<br>2004<br>2005<br>2006<br>2007<br>2008 | 4,447<br>4,084<br>3,547<br>3,441<br>3,299<br>2,421<br>2,046<br>2,046<br>2,108<br>2,495<br>2,668<br>2,439<br>2,288<br>2,634 | 3,168<br>2,975<br>2,698<br>2,647<br>2,555<br>1,705<br>1,291<br>1,308<br>1,324<br>1,723<br>1,929<br>1,749<br>1,539<br>1,958 | 1,279<br>1,109<br>849<br>794<br>744<br>716<br>755<br>738<br>784<br>772<br>740<br>690<br>754<br>702 | do not return to permanent work with the employer at injury.<br>The department audits claim closures to assure that insurers<br>determine eligibility.<br>In general, workers are eligible for vocational assistance if they<br>have a substantial handicap that prevents re-employment in<br>any job that pays at least 80 percent of the job-at-injury wages.<br>Eligible determinations include insurer letters, eligibility orders,<br>and eligibility restorations. The 2009 count of 635 eligible claims<br>is the lowest on record.<br>Data may be reported by the insurer several months after the<br>determination. |
| 2009   | 2,258  | 1,623  | 635  |  |

| ocationa | l assistance eli  | gibility clos | sures, plans, a | and outcom    | es, CY 1995-2  |                     |          |          |
|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|----------|----------|
|          |                   |               | Closed, direct  |               |                | Outcome:<br>maximum |          |          |
|          | Total eligibility | Closed,       | employment      | Closed,       | Outcome:       | services or job     | Outcome: | Outcome: |
| Year     | closures          | no plan       | plan            | training plan | return to work | ended               | CDA      | other    |
| 1995     | 1,403             | 840           | 52              | 511           | 340            | 87                  | 631      | 345      |
| 1996     | 1,242             | 701           | 39              | 502           | 337            | 58                  | 582      | 265      |
| 1997     | 993               | 515           | 23              | 455           | 248            | 59                  | 441      | 245      |
| 1998     | 870               | 455           | 6               | 409           | 208            | 50                  | 424      | 188      |
| 1999     | 777               | 415           | 7               | 355           | 157            | 41                  | 354      | 225      |
| 2000     | 723               | 396           | 4               | 323           | 171            | 46                  | 324      | 182      |
| 2001     | 708               | 382           | 4               | 322           | 154            | 46                  | 313      | 195      |
| 2002     | 782               | 454           | 7               | 321           | 140            | 70                  | 394      | 178      |
| 2003     | 717               | 418           | 7               | 292           | 123            | 75                  | 380      | 139      |
| 2004     | 760               | 440           | 5               | 315           | 128            | 60                  | 391      | 181      |
| 2005     | 729               | 433           | 4               | 292           | 135            | 48                  | 370      | 176      |
| 2006     | 731               | 409           | 7               | 315           | 143            | 48                  | 390      | 150      |
| 2007     | 710               | 392           | 3               | 315           | 152            | 46                  | 346      | 166      |
| 2008     | 697               | 410           | 5               | 282           | 109            | 45                  | 377      | 166      |
| 2009     | 673               | 376           | 12              | 285           | 95             | 69                  | 332      | 177      |

Eligibility closures include insurer eligibility closures and eligibilities where there is a claim disposition agreement in full, but no eligibility closure. No-plan closures continue to account for more than 50 percent of eligibility closures. The claim disposition agreement continues to account for 50 percent or more of eligibility closure outcomes.

Data may be reported by the insurer several months after the closure.

| Employ | Employment and wage recovery advantage for return-to-work program users, FY 1997-2010 |                          |             |                            |                   |                             |                   |                       |
|--------|---|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
|        | Employment,   | Employment,<br>Preferred | Employment, | Employment,<br>all return- | Wage<br>recovery, | Wage recovery,<br>Preferred | Wage<br>recovery, | Wage recovery,<br>all |
| Fiscal | Employer-at-  | Worker                   | Vocational  | to-work                    | Employer-at-      | Worker                      | Vocational        | return-to-work        |
| year   | Injury Program  | Program                  | Assistance  | programs                   | Injury Program    | Program                     | Assistance        | programs              |
| 1997   | 7   | 24                       | 24          | 10                         | 3                 | 24                          | 17                | 4                     |
| 1998   | 5   | 23                       | 28          | 11                         | 2                 | 22                          | 27                | 9                     |
| 1999   | 3   | 22                       | 28          | 10                         | 2                 | 21                          | 25                | 9                     |
| 2000   | 6   | 24                       | 30          | 12                         | 6                 | 22                          | 26                | 12                    |
| 2001   | 5   | 24                       | 24          | 11                         | 5                 | 15                          | 19                | 11                    |
| 2002   | 4   | 21                       | 21          | 9                          | 8                 | 18                          | 28                | 14                    |
| 2003   | 3   | 20                       | 35          | 10                         | 9                 | 20                          | 27                | 14                    |
| 2004   | 4   | 23                       | 35          | 11                         | 8                 | 14                          | 33                | 14                    |
| 2005   | 4   | 24                       | 29          | 11                         | 5                 | 29                          | 19                | 12                    |
| 2006   | 6   | 29                       | 34          | 13                         | 9                 | 33                          | 26                | 16                    |
| 2007   | 5   | 23                       | 31          | 10                         | 6                 | 20                          | 40                | 12                    |
| 2008   | 4   | 27                       | 39          | 11                         | 4                 | 27                          | 30                | 11                    |
| 2009   | 4   | 27                       | 35          | 11                         | 3                 | 24                          | 41                | 11                    |
| 2010   | 6   | 26                       | 21          | 12                         | 6                 | 28                          | 28                | 14                    |

The department analyzes data from the Oregon Employment Department to calculate percentage point differences in employment and wage-recovery rates between workers with accepted disabling claims who used return-to-work programs and similar workers who did not. The measures are based on wages reported in the 13th quarter after the disabling injury or exposure. This is a point at which most workers have recuperated and used return-to-work programs, and since 2000 at least 87 percent of the program use at that point has been the Employer-at-Injury Program.

## Disputes

The purpose of the Oregon workers' compensation system is to provide fair and timely benefits to injured workers. An impartial forum for the resolution of disputes is an important part of this system.

The Oregon system provides several methods through which disputes may be resolved. In these processes, workers, employers, insurers, and, in some instances, medical service providers have legal rights. Workers may contest denials and benefits, and insurers and employers may defend against claims and benefits believed to be unwarranted. Medical providers may raise issues about medical services and fees.

The Oregon workers' compensation system has evolved into a two-part dispute resolution system:

The Workers' Compensation Board is an independent agency that receives administrative support from the Department of Consumer and Business Services. It has original jurisdiction on insurer claim denials and certain claimsprocessing issues, such as time loss and timeloss rate when the claim is open. It also hears appeals of cases decided by DCBS Workers' Compensation Division (WCD) administrative review — primarily the reconsideration of claim closures, medical services and vocational assistance disputes, and nonsubjectivity and noncomplying employer determinations. Hearings decisions can be appealed to board review, and then to the Court of Appeals. Court of Appeals decisions can be appealed to the Oregon Supreme Court, whose review is discretionary.

The Workers' Compensation Division provides administrative review for many types of disputes. Within the Benefit Services Section, the Appellate Review Unit resolves disputes involving claim closures and classifications, and the Employment Services Team resolves vocational disputes. The Medical Section resolves medical disputes.

#### Lessons from the Oregon Workers' Compensation System: Dispute Resolution

The Workers' Compensation Research Institute (WCRI) has recognized Oregon's workers' compensation system as a model that could provide lessons for other states. The study "Lessons from the Oregon Workers' Compensation System" provided four key lessons. One of these lessons covers the system features that work together to increase certainty about the determination and payment of permanent partial disability (PPD) benefits and to reduce litigation over the benefit delivery. The goal is to resolve disputes swiftly, informally, and with minimum litigation. Following are the six key system features that increase certainty and reduce litigation:

- **Reliance on the treating provider to offer the information needed to form the basis of an impairment rating** when the worker reaches maximum medical improvement.
- *Use of an Oregon-specific guide to rate permanent impairment*, thus allowing rating and compensation concepts to be consistent with Oregon statute and established case law.
- Use of objective criteria for assessing the factors affecting loss of earning capacity, such as age, education, and occupation, in addition to permanent impairment, at all levels of decision-making.
- Active payer involvement in terminating TTD benefits and determining PPD benefits at initial claim closure.
- Use of a swift and mandatory mechanism for administrative dispute resolution (called reconsideration) to address objections to initial claim closure. The reconsideration process includes statutory time frames intended to avoid delays and is designed to minimize the need for attorney involvement on both sides.
- **Use of a medical arbiter.** Instead of parties spending resources on dueling experts, Oregon provides direct access to an impartial physician who is paid for by the insurer or self-insurer.

For more information about this report, see the "Lessons" press release at: <u>http://www.oregon.gov/DCBS/docs/news</u>releases/2008/nr 5 06 08.pdf?ga=t.



# Figure 12. Disputes flowchart

The system, however, is more complex than the description above suggests. For instance, workers may have disputes in different venues at the same time; they may be disputing vocational assistance decisions while appealing PPD awards. In other cases, medical disputes may have two issues: whether the proposed treatment is related to the accepted conditions, and whether it is reasonable and necessary. In such cases, after the WCB decides treatment is related to the accepted condition, the WCD Medical Review Unit decides on necessity or propriety. As another example, disputes with a managed care organization may begin with the MCO's review process and then go to WCD. Finally, the issue of insurer penalty for unreasonable conduct, and related attorney fees, may be heard by either WCD or WCB; WCD has original jurisdiction in proceedings involving solely these issues.

# Reforming the dispute-resolution system

During the 1980s, there was a growing number of claims with disputes about the amount of permanent disability benefits payable to injured workers. Workers were requesting more hearings at the Workers' Compensation Board. Written standards or rules for determining permanent disability benefits had been available since 1980, but their use at hearings was optional. Parties presented their evidence at hearing and at further review by the Workers' Compensation Board and the courts.

In part to reduce litigation and speed up decisions, the Legislature enacted HB 2900 in 1987 and SB 1197 in 1990. HB 2900 reduced the time to request a hearing on a claim closure from one year to 180 days, required hearings to be scheduled for a date within 90 days of the request, required that orders be issued within 30 days of the hearing, and required that hearings be postponed only in extraordinary circumstances. It also required that the Hearings Division create an expedited claim service to informally resolve small claims for which compensability was not at issue. It required factfinding about disability, emphasizing objective medical evidence, with the idea that uniform standards for permanent disability would reduce litigation. The bill also created the Office of the Ombudsman for Injured Workers, which reduces litigation by resolving complaints.

SB 1197 created new administrative review processes and provided for claim disposition agreements. Prior to 1990, there were voluntary administrative review processes to resolve disputes over claim closure and disability classification (disabling or non-disabling), but these processes were used infrequently. SB 1197 made the reconsideration processes mandatory. It also made the medical dispute process mandatory. Claim disposition agreements allowed workers to compromise and release claim benefits other than medical services, reducing litigation.

In 1995, SB 369 produced further changes. First, it restored to WCD jurisdiction over disputes involving proposed medical treatment. The Legislature also tightened the timelines in the reconsideration process, limited hearing issues to those that were raised at, or arose out of, the reconsideration, and limited evidence at hearings to that provided at reconsideration. For WCB, SB 369 allowed Hearings Division judges and the board to impose attorney sanctions for appeals that are frivolous, made in bad faith, or made for harassment purposes.

With SB 485, the 2001 Legislature addressed evidentiary concerns by providing for a worker deposition to be included as part of the reconsideration process. The insurer-paid deposition is limited to testimony and crossexamination about a worker's condition at closure. The bill also provided for a medical exam as part of a hearing on a compensability denial. In a denial case where the worker's attending physician disagrees with the findings of an independent medical examiner, the worker can ask the WCD Benefit and Certifications Unit to select a physician to conduct a new independent exam. The insurer pays the costs of the exam and physician's report, which becomes part of the hearing record.

The appeal process has been changed frequently. With SB 369 in 1995, the Legislature transferred jurisdiction for appeals of vocational service dispute orders and most medical service dispute orders from the Workers' Compensation Board to the Workers' Compensation Division. Some reconsideration orders were also appealed to WCD. In 1998, however, a Court of Appeals decision, James Jordan v. Brazier Forest Products, determined that all Appellate Review Unit decisions were reconsideration orders and had to be appealed to the board. HB 2525 in 1999 created a centralized Hearing Officer Panel (later renamed the Office of Administrative Hearings) and transferred WCD appeals to this panel. HB 2091 in 2005 transferred jurisdiction from the Hearing Officer Panel back to the Hearings Division of WCB. This dispute resolution process is unique: (1) The hearing request is made to WCD; (2) WCD refers the dispute to WCB; (3) the WCB judge sends to WCD a proposed and final order; (4) WCD issues a final order; and (5) appeal of the final order is made to WCD, but the Court of Appeals conducts the review (there is no board review).

#### Disputes resolved by the Workers' Compensation Division

# Appellate review of claim closures and disability classifications

For injuries that have occurred since mid-1990, a party disputing a claim closure must seek departmental reconsideration before proceeding to hearing. If the extent of the worker's impairment is not disputed, the process must be completed in 18 working days. When impairment is disputed or medical information is insufficient to determine impairment, a medical arbiter is appointed to examine the worker, and an additional 60 days is allowed. No additional medical evidence may be used in subsequent litigation. Since 1995, requests for appellate review have fallen — reconsideration requests have fallen much more than classification requests. The long-term trend of decreasing numbers of claim closures has contributed to this decline.

In 2001, insurers assumed total responsibility for claim closures, and the Legislature amended claims processing law. In 2003, SB 757 made changes in claim closure for workers injured in 2005, and HB 2408 in 2005 made changes in claim closure for workers injured in 2006. Despite the increased complexity of claim processing, disputes of closures and classifications have leveled off, as measured by the appellate review request rate. In 2009, 18 percent of closures were appealed.

There has been other legislation concerning the reconsideration process. In 2000, the Oregon Supreme Court (Koskela v. Willamette Industries, Inc.), in an exception to the evidence limitation, ruled that in permanent total disability cases, a worker must be allowed to testify about willingness to work and efforts to obtain employment. In response, SB 485 (2001) allowed for worker depositions to be included in the records of the reconsideration process. Through SB 285 in 2003, the Legislature permitted insurers to request reconsideration of their own notices of closure, in particular when they disagree with findings on impairment by attending physicians. In both 2008 and 2009, insurers requested reconsideration on about 150 of their notices of closure (143 and 166, respectively).

Nearly all appellate review orders are issued timely. The median time from request for review of claim closure to the issue date of an order was 66 days in 2009.

Appellate review orders may be appealed to the WCB Hearings Division. Overall, the trend for appealed orders is downward. In 2009, the rate was 22 percent, a near-record low. This trend is down considerably from the 50 percent appeal rates registered in the first years of administrative review of claim closures and disability classifications.

#### **Medical disputes**

The medical disputes process has been affected by court decisions, legislative changes, and process changes. Following the Court of Appeals' decision in Jefferson v. Sam's Café in 1993, the department lost jurisdiction over disputes involving proposed medical treatment. As a result, the number of requests fell sharply. SB 369 (1995) restored this jurisdiction, and the number of requests rose again. SB 369 also required that disputes concerning the actions of a managed care organization, regarding the provision of medical services, peer review, or utilization review, be handled through the medical dispute resolution process. In 2009, 8 percent of the requests concerned MCO issues.

With SB 728, the 1999 Legislature specified that the Hearings Division had jurisdiction over disputes concerning the compensability of the underlying medical condition or the causal relationship between the accepted condition and the medical service. Compensability issues are resolved before other medical issues, such as medical services or the appropriateness of treatment, are considered. Once compensability or causality is determined, a case is sent to the Medical Review Unit for resolution of the medical

## Figure 13. Medical disputes, by issue and requester, CY 2009



service dispute. Compensability cases represented just 3 percent of all 2009 medical dispute resolution requests.

In 2008, the number of requests nearly doubled to more than 3,300. This increase was due primarily to the initiation of the medical disputes alternative dispute resolution, which has proven very effective with medical fee disputes. Medical fee disputes jumped from 28 percent of all medical dispute issues in 2007 to 63 percent in 2008. Of the 3,047 dispute requests in 2009, 56 percent were medical fee disputes.

The medical dispute process differs from many of the other dispute processes; the injured worker may not be directly involved in the dispute. In 2009, 70 percent of the medical dispute requests were from medical providers; most requests concerned fee disputes and disagreements between the provider and insurer about services to which the injured worker may have been entitled.

With the implementation of HB 2091 in 2005, medical dispute orders could be appealed to the WCB Hearings Division; 15 percent were appealed in 2009.

#### **Vocational assistance disputes**

The Employment Services Team strives to resolve vocational disputes by mediating agreements between the parties. When agreement is not possible, EST issues an administrative review order.

The number of requests for vocational-dispute resolution has been stable over the past four years. There had been a decline prior to this period. Most of the long-term decline has resulted from the decline in the number of eligibility determinations for vocational assistance. About 20 percent of vocational eligibility determinations have had a vocational dispute. Most disputes follow an insurer's denial of eligibility for vocational assistance; other disputes concern vocational training programs, the quality of professional services, or worker purchases.

In 2009, 26 percent of the vocational disputes were resolved through agreement. Another 39 percent were dismissed, often due to a claim disposition

agreement; remaining resolutions required a formal administrative order. The insurer prevailed in about 64 percent of those orders. With HB 2091, jurisdiction for appeals of these orders was returned to the WCB Hearings Division. During the past five years, about 14 percent of vocational dispute review orders, including orders of dismissal, were appealed.

About 93 percent of vocational disputes were resolved timely, as measured by a non-statutory standard of 60 days. The median number of days from request for review of vocational assistance to date of resolution was 41 in 2009.

# Disputes resolved at the Workers' Compensation Board

The Workers' Compensation Board's Hearings Division provides a forum for timely and impartial dispute resolution. In hearings conducted by administrative law judges (ALJs), parties have an opportunity to present their case. They have the right to be represented by counsel, to have a qualified interpreter, to present evidence (lay and expert witnesses, personal testimony, medical and vocational reports, etc.), to compel testimony by subpoena and under oath, to receive pre-hearing disclosure of evidence, to present argument on issues of fact and of law, to provide crossexamination and impeachment evidence, to have the hearing postponed or continued, to have the hearing at a location not distant from the worker's home, and to request reconsideration of an order and appeal the order.

The Board Review Division hears appeals of ALJ orders, decides board own-motion cases (reopenings or additional benefits after aggravation rights have expired), approves claim disposition agreements, hears appeals of Department of Justice decisions in the crime victim assistance program, and resolves third-party disputes (distribution of proceeds from a liable third party, between insurer and worker). The board is composed of five governor-appointed members: the chair (who represents the interests of the public), two members selected because of their background and understanding of employer concerns, and two members with background and understanding of employee concerns. All members apply the law impartially in each case. Appeals are heard by at least one "worker" member and one "employer" member. If these members cannot agree on a decision, the "public" member joins the panel to reach a decision.

#### **Hearing requests**

There were about 8,600 hearing requests in 2009. The number of requests dropped substantially in the early 1990s; in recent years, the number of requests has declined by about 2 percent per year. The primary reasons for the decline are fewer accepted disabling claims and legislative changes.

The creation of the reconsideration process by SB 1197 (1990) reduced hearing requests and resulted in a shift in the issues involved. In addition, disability standards clarified the evaluation of permanent disability. As a result, permanent disability dropped from being an issue in 32 percent of hearing orders in 1989 to 18 percent in 1991. This percentage has continued to drop, and was under 4 percent in 2009.

SB 369 (1995) also reduced litigation by requiring that workers believing that a condition has been omitted from a notice of acceptance must notify the insurer and not allege a de facto denial in a hearing request.

In 2009, the most common issue at hearings was partial denial, which was at issue in nearly 45 percent of hearing orders. Most post-acceptance compensability disputes that don't involve aggravation of the accepted condition are classified as "partial denial." The Legislature specifically provided for major-contributing-cause denials in SB 369.

The median request-to-order time lag for hearings was 141 days in 2009, while the median requestto-order lag for board review was 172 days. The median lag for 2009 Court of Appeals decisions was 553 days (1.5 years).

#### Mediation

Since 1996, the board has offered trained administrative law judge mediators, at no cost to the parties, to help settle disputes without formal litigation. The number of mediations completed

has grown since the program's inception. Nearly 490 mediations were completed in 2009. Most mediated cases deal with complex issues: mental stress claims, occupational disease claims, claims about permanent total disability, and claims with additional issues such as employment rights or other civil actions (tort, contract, etc.). Adding to that complexity, the average mediation deals with 1.2 hearing requests. More than 89 percent of 2009 mediations resulted in settlement.

The board also has an agreement with the Court of Appeals to mediate cases pending before the court.

#### **Appeal rates**

The appeal rate of reconsideration orders has dropped from 53 percent in 1992 to 22 percent in 2009. The appeal rate of hearings orders has been declining slowly, from 12 percent in 1997 to less than 8 percent in 2009. The appeal rate of boardreview orders dropped from 30 percent in 1987 to 13 percent the next year, mostly in response to HB 2900 (1987), which changed the court review standard from de novo to "substantial evidence." In the past five years, board appeal rates have ranged between 13 and 15 percent.

Law changes may temporarily increase appeal rates, as new and sometimes precedent-setting reform issues arise and decisions are appealed.

#### **Claim disposition agreements**

In 1990, SB 1197 allowed workers to release their rights to claim benefits other than medical services in claim disposition agreements (CDAs). In 1995, SB 369 prohibited the release of preferred worker benefits. Since 1991, the board has approved an average of about 3,200 CDAs per year. There were 3,422 CDAs in 2009, and the average agreement was more than \$18,700. CDAs significantly reduce subsequent litigation because workers relinquish rights for most benefits. Return-to-work studies show that workers who negotiate CDAs often have difficulty returning to work.

#### **Claimant attorney fees**

Fees are awarded to claimant attorneys for (1) getting a reversal of a claim or benefits denial, (2) getting an increase in indemnity benefits, (3) preventing a decrease in indemnity benefits, (4) getting a penalty against the insurer, and (5) negotiating a disputed claim settlement or claim disposition agreement. Fees for (1), (3), and (4) are assessed against insurers, while the others come out of award increases or settlement proceeds.

The 1990 law change limited penalty-related attorney fees to half of the penalty amount. Via SB 369, the 1995 Legislature made three changes that further reduced attorney fees. It limited fees



#### Figure 14. Claimant attorney fees, 1987-2009

62

in responsibility disputes, prohibited the Hearings Division from awarding penalties and fees for matters arising under the director's jurisdiction, and limited fees for the reversal of a denial to cases where the denial is based on the compensability of the underlying condition.

In 1999, for the first time in more than 11 years, the board changed its rules to increase fees allowed in disputed claim settlements, CDAs, and orders increasing disability awards.

With SB 620 in 2003, the Legislature reversed the 1990 law change by providing for penaltyrelated attorney fees proportional to the benefit, and limiting them, except in extraordinary circumstances, to \$2,000. It also required a fee when a dispute is settled prior to a contested-case hearing.

Total claimant attorney fees reached a high of \$22.2 million in 2009. These fees included \$662,000 at reconsideration, \$11,295,000 at hearing, \$778,000 at board review, and \$9,164,000 for CDAs. Lump-sum settlements (CDAs and disputed claim settlements) have accounted for a growing share of total claimant attorney fees, rising to 67 percent of all claimant attorney fees in 2009.

In 2007, SB 404 made two additions to assist claimants and their attorneys in recovering costs and fees. First, it allows an administrative law judge to order payment for a claimant's reasonable expenses and costs for records, expert opinions, and witness fees. Second, if an injured worker signs an attorney fee agreement, and the attorney was instrumental in obtaining additional compensation or settling a worker's claim, the administrative law judge may grant the attorney a lien on additional compensation or proceeds from a settlement.

HB 3345, effective January 2010, increased maximum attorney fees allowed in disputes about insurer penalty, responsibility, and medical and vocational services. It also allowed attorney fees in areas for which they weren't provided for earlier (late-paid disputed claim settlement, affirming closure rescission, preventing a reduction of reconsideration awards, and appeal of classification orders), but these provisions were not expected to greatly increase total claimant attorney fees.

#### **Board own motion**

Legislation in 1987 limited worker benefits under own-motion authority to time-loss and medical services. In SB 485, the 2001 Legislature expanded benefits by providing for reopenings for treatment provided in lieu of hospitalization to enable return to work, claims for new or omitted medical conditions after aggravation rights have expired, and permanent disability awards in new or omitted medical condition cases.

Total own-motion orders peaked in 1991, and then decreased steadily to 243 orders in 2002. SB 485, passed in 2001, led to a doubling of the number of orders. The number of own-motion orders declined again after a 2005 law change (HB 2294).

#### Appellate review requests and orders, 1991-2009

|      |          |            |                 |        | Percent   | The WCD Appellate Review Unit provides administrative             |
|------|----------|------------|-----------------|--------|-----------|---|
|      |          |            |                 |        | of orders | review of decisions made by insurers regarding claim              |
|      | Requests | Percent of | Requests        | Total  | appealed  | closures and classifications of claims as disabling or            |
|      | on       | closures   | on disabling    | orders | to        | nondisabling. Effective 2004, insurers may also appeal claim      |
| Year | closures | appealed   | classifications | issued | hearings  | closures when they disagree with findings on impairment by        |
| 1991 | 6,014    | 16.4%      | 26              | 5,896  | 49.0%     | attending physicians.   |
| 1992 | 6,535    | 20.0%      | 73              | 6,463  | 53.4%     | Since 1995, the trend in the number of requests for               |
| 1993 | 5,937    | 18.3%      | 87              | 5,954  | 48.1%     |   |
| 1994 | 5,839    | 17.7%      | 99              | 5,953  | 47.8%     | reconsideration of claim closures has been declining; it is       |
| 1995 | 6,543    | 19.9%      | 152             | 6,420  | 44.6%     | currently at its lowest level. This is largely due to the decline |
| 1996 | 5,352    | 18.0%      | 128             | 5,857  | 41.2%     | in the number of closures.  |
| 1997 | 4,306    | 15.2%      | 100             | 4,452  | 38.8%     | Requests on closures and percent of closures appealed do          |
| 1998 | 4,228    | 15.4%      | 123             | 4,282  | 38.9%     | not include correcting closures or classification decisions.      |
| 1999 | 4,025    | 15.5%      | 126             | 4,263  | 38.7%     | Total orders issued do not include correcting closures.           |
| 2000 | 3,833    | 15.3%      | 132             | 3,988  | 33.7%     |   |
| 2001 | 3,979    | 16.0%      | 142             | 4,021  | 29.7%     |   |
| 2002 | 3,906    | 16.7%      | 188             | 4,122  | 30.0%     |   |
| 2003 | 3,749    | 17.0%      | 205             | 4,037  | 28.9%     |   |
| 2004 | 3,800    | 17.2%      | 186             | 3,950  | 29.9%     |   |
| 2005 | 3,531    | 16.4%      | 182             | 3,824  | 26.2%     |   |
| 2006 | 3,424    | 15.2%      | 198             | 3,637  | 25.0%     |   |
| 2007 | 3,788    | 16.4%      | 186             | 3,941  | 23.4%     |   |
| 2008 | 3,527    | 16.1%      | 149             | 3,743  | 19.8%     |   |
| 2009 | 3,409    | 17.5%      | 147             | 3,598  | 21.8%     |   |

| Year | Requests | Orders | Request to order<br>median days | Medical dispute resolution requests have fluctuated with courdecisions and legislative changes. They declined sharply after |
|------|----------|--------|---------------------------------|---|
| 1990 | 1,172    | 310    | 28                              | a court decision limited the department's jurisdiction. SB 369  |
| 1991 | 1,386    | 969    | 112                             | reversed this decision and the numbers have since increase  |
| 1992 | 1,518    | 1,412  | 63                              | In 1999, SB 728 gave authority to the Hearings Division   |
| 1993 | 876      | 987    | 44                              | to determine the compensability of the underlying medical   |
| 1994 | 466      | 467    | 33                              |   |
| 1995 | 741      | 469    | 39                              | condition or the causal relationship between the accepted   |
| 1996 | 716      | 856    | 120                             | condition and the medical service. All other medical dispute  |
| 1997 | 878      | 816    | 61                              | are handled by the WCD Medical Resolution Team.   |
| 1998 | 801      | 816    | 89                              | In 2008, the number of requests nearly doubled; this was  |
| 1999 | 905      | 819    | 84                              | due primarily to the initiation of alternative dispute resolution   |
| 2000 | 991      | 948    | 114                             |   |
| 2001 | 1,181    | 1,222  | 69                              | which has resolved medical fee disputes quickly.  |
| 2002 | 1,049    | 918    | 81                              | In 2009, the number of medical dispute orders was 3,822. T  |
| 2003 | 1,362    | 1,293  | 88                              | median number of request-to-order days was 16 days.   |
| 2004 | 1,350    | 1,264  | 87                              |   |
| 2005 | 1,456    | 1,548  | 75                              |   |
| 2006 | 1,651    | 1,745  | 41                              |   |
| 2007 | 1,823    | 1,803  | 28                              |   |
| 2008 | 3,319    | 2,740  | 24                              |   |
| 2009 | 3,047    | 3,822  | 16                              |   |
| Medica | Medical dispute issues, by year of request, 2005-2009 |                  |            |                 |               |                                      |                             |                |                                |  |
|--------|---|------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Year   | Fees  | Medical services | Treatments | Palliative care | MCO<br>issues | Changes of<br>attending<br>physician | Insurer<br>medical<br>exams | Compensability | Interim<br>medical<br>benefits |  |
| 2005   | 11.5%   | 46.7%            | 7.8%       | 3.6%            | 17.2%         | 1.3%                                 | 0.7%                        | 10.9%          | 0.3%                           |  |
| 2006   | 25.6%   | 42.7%            | 7.3%       | 4.0%            | 9.0%          | 1.3%                                 | 0.3%                        | 9.7%           | 0.1%                           |  |
| 2007   | 27.8%   | 40.2%            | 8.1%       | 3.1%            | 7.9%          | 0.5%                                 | 0.4%                        | 11.8%          | 0.2%                           |  |
| 2008   | 63.3%   | 21.1%            | 5.4%       | 1.5%            | 5.8%          | 0.1%                                 | 0.2%                        | 2.5%           | 0.1%                           |  |
| 2009   | 56.2%   | 23.5%            | 6.9%       | 1.1%            | 8.0%          | 0.5%                                 | 0.4%                        | 3.0%           | 0.4%                           |  |

SB 728 (1999) gave responsibility to the Hearings Division for disputes in which the compensability of the underlying medical condition is at issue. These cases were 3 percent of all 2009 medical-dispute-resolution requests. SB 485 (2001) amended the law regarding payment for interim medical benefits (medical services provided before a claim's initial acceptance or denial). It added a process for these disputes.

|      |          |             | Request to<br>resolution | The WCD Rehabilitation Review Unit provides administrative review of vocational disputes brought by workers. The number |
|------|----------|-------------|--------------------------|---|
| Year | Requests | Resolutions | median days              | of requests has fallen by about 77 percent since 1991. The  |
| 1991 | 2,067    | 2,137       | 41                       | decline has resulted chiefly from the decrease in the number of   |
| 1992 | 1,643    | 1,725       | 29                       | vocational assistance cases.  |
| 1993 | 1,493    | 1,519       | 25                       | The median number of days to resolve a dispute was 41 days for  |
| 1994 | 1,389    | 1,373       | 24                       | disputes resolved in 2009, and 86 percent were resolved within  |
| 1995 | 1,347    | 1,304       | 28                       | the standard of less than 60 days.  |
| 1996 | 996      | 1,037       | 35                       |   |
| 1997 | 877      | 881         | 32                       |   |
| 1998 | 716      | 715         | 26                       |   |
| 1999 | 630      | 681         | 28                       |   |
| 2000 | 549      | 563         | 35                       |   |
| 2001 | 511      | 480         | 35                       |   |
| 2002 | 512      | 530         | 63                       |   |
| 2003 | 504      | 530         | 56                       |   |
| 2004 | 551      | 551         | 42                       |   |
| 2005 | 492      | 485         | 47                       |   |
| 2006 | 456      | 495         | 30                       |   |
| 2007 | 468      | 446         | 28                       |   |
| 2008 | 469      | 504         | 36                       |   |
| 2009 | 451      | 432         | 41                       |   |

| Vocat | Vocational dispute resolutions, by outcome, 2005-2009 |                              |                             |              |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year  | Agreements  | Insurer<br>prevail<br>orders | Worker<br>prevail<br>orders | Other orders | Dismissals | The department strives to resolve vocational disputes through agreements, which generally have accounted for less than a third of resolutions. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005  | 27.0%   | 22.9%                        | 10.1%                       | 1.2%         | 38.8%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006  | 27.3%   | 27.9%                        | 8.1%                        | 0.8%         | 36.0%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007  | 28.0%   | 21.5%                        | 6.5%                        | 0.9%         | 43.0%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008  | 22.4%   | 30.2%                        | 8.9%                        | 3.6%         | 36.7%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009  | 25.9%   | 22.5%                        | 8.8%                        | 3.9%         | 38.9%      |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|      |          |        | Request<br>to order |             | Hearing requests peaked in 1989. There were 8,568 requests in 2009, the lowest on record, and less than a third of the 1989 figure |
|------|----------|--------|---------------------|-------------|--|
| Year | Requests | Orders | median days         | Appeal rate | Hearing requests have dropped for three primary reasons: fewer   |
| 1987 | 20,397   | 23,680 | 224                 | 8.1%        | injuries and accepted disabling claims; law changes that have  |
| 1988 | 23,316   | 26,386 | 114                 | 9.0%        | reduced litigation about permanent disability; and other reform  |
| 1989 | 27,549   | 24,890 | 116                 | 8.7%        | measures implemented to reduce litigation, including the provision   |
| 1990 | 24,018   | 25,073 | 147                 | 7.3%        | for claim disposition agreements.  |
| 1991 | 19,673   | 21,368 | 133                 | 12.2%       |  |
| 1992 | 17,490   | 19,580 | 125                 | 12.6%       | HB 2900 (1987) required that a hearing be scheduled within 90  |
| 1993 | 16,422   | 16,888 | 119                 | 11.3%       | days and an order published within 30 days of the hearing. The   |
| 1994 | 16,527   | 15,751 | 121                 | 11.3%       | median time between request and order was 141 days in 2009.  |
| 1995 | 14,862   | 16,798 | 124                 | 10.6%       | Notes: Counts include settlements that were received without   |
| 1996 | 12,351   | 13,341 | 120                 | 11.5%       | a prior hearing request and cases generated in order to record   |
| 1997 | 11,266   | 11,596 | 122                 | 12.5%       | a mediation result. Appeal rates are based on all hearing order  |
| 1998 | 11,059   | 11,271 | 121                 | 11.7%       | types except WCD contested cases, not just appealable orders.  |
| 1999 | 11,084   | 10,846 | 124                 | 11.5%       |  |
| 2000 | 10,654   | 10,935 | 128                 | 11.0%       | Counts exclude safety cases.   |
| 2001 | 11,074   | 10,269 | 126                 | 10.6%       |  |
| 2002 | 10,679   | 10,830 | 128                 | 9.8%        |  |
| 2003 | 10,177   | 10,429 | 136                 | 10.9%       |  |
| 2004 | 9,980    | 9,531  | 127                 | 9.6%        |  |
| 2005 | 9,297    | 10,006 | 146                 | 9.0%        |  |
| 2006 | 9,130    | 9,442  | 143                 | 9.4%        |  |
| 2007 | 9,355    | 9,261  | 138                 | 8.6%        |  |
| 2008 | 9,173    | 9,084  | 133                 | 7.9%        |  |
| 2009 | 8,568    | 9,044  | 141                 | 7.8%        |  |

|      | Permanent  | Claim  | Partial | Insurer | Permanent disability was the most frequent hearing issue until      |
|------|------------|--------|---------|---------|---|
| Year | disability | denial | denial  | penalty | 1989, when whole claim denial replaced it. For 2008-2009,           |
| 1987 | 46.1%      | 24.5%  | 9.3%    | 14.6%   | T permanent disability was an issue in 4 percent or less of hearing |
| 1988 | 39.7%      | 24.5%  | 10.4%   | 16.4%   | Since 1990, partial denial has risen from 9 percent to almost 45    |
| 1989 | 31.9%      | 32.3%  | 7.3%    | 16.6%   | percent of hearings orders.   |
| 1990 | 33.3%      | 34.8%  | 8.8%    | 14.6%   | Reasons for the relative frequency change of permanent disabili     |
| 1991 | 18.2%      | 43.7%  | 14.5%   | 10.0%   | were HB 2900 in 1987 (disability standards), SB 1197 in 1990        |
| 1992 | 15.7%      | 40.9%  | 14.7%   | 7.5%    | (department reconsiderations, medical arbiters, and CDAs), and      |
| 1993 | 12.6%      | 48.7%  | 14.5%   | 10.3%   | SB 369 in 1995 (limitations on issues and evidence, and the         |
| 1994 | 11.6%      | 44.7%  | 19.9%   | 12.5%   |   |
| 1995 | 10.4%      | 39.4%  | 27.5%   | 12.1%   | definition of "gainful employment").                                |
| 1996 | 11.5%      | 38.2%  | 34.4%   | 8.4%    | Notes: This table does not include all issues. Also, orders may     |
| 1997 | 10.1%      | 46.6%  | 24.6%   | 5.9%    | deal with multiple cases, and each case may have multiple           |
| 1998 | 7.6%       | 42.9%  | 33.4%   | 7.2%    | issues. Issues are not recorded for cases that are dismissed or     |
| 1999 | 7.8%       | 42.5%  | 33.9%   | 7.8%    | withdrawn, so these percentages are based on opinion and orde       |
| 2000 | 7.5%       | 40.7%  | 36.2%   | 7.4%    | cases and settlements.  |
| 2001 | 6.1%       | 39.7%  | 38.7%   | 8.1%    |   |
| 2002 | 6.3%       | 39.7%  | 38.9%   | 6.6%    |   |
| 2003 | 5.6%       | 40.7%  | 38.0%   | 7.2%    |   |
| 2004 | 6.6%       | 39.7%  | 37.8%   | 7.5%    |   |
| 2005 | 5.3%       | 41.5%  | 38.1%   | 7.3%    |   |
| 2006 | 4.5%       | 39.8%  | 38.7%   | 7.7%    |   |
| 2007 | 4.6%       | 37.6%  | 40.6%   | 8.6%    |   |
| 2008 | 4.0%       | 36.3%  | 43.5%   | 7.8%    |   |
| 2009 | 3.9%       | 35.8%  | 44.8%   | 7.3%    |   |

| Workers  | ' Compensatio  | on Board m   | ediations, 1996-2009  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  | Percent of  | The board's mediation program began in June 1996.  |
| Year   | Mediations<br>completed  | Percent<br>settled   | settlements resolved<br>by DCS  | A mediation is considered settled by a disputed claim settlement if<br>any included case is closed by a DCS.                           |
| 1996<br>1997<br>1998<br>1999<br>2000<br>2001<br>2002<br>2003<br>2004<br>2005<br>2006<br>2007<br>2008<br>2009 | 128<br>250<br>233<br>216<br>280<br>248<br>285<br>241<br>268<br>270<br>356<br>346<br>398<br>487 | 84%<br>92%<br>90%<br>90%<br>89%<br>85%<br>86%<br>86%<br>86%<br>86%<br>84%<br>87%<br>88%<br>89%<br>90%<br>89% | 81%<br>82%<br>87%<br>84%<br>87%<br>93%<br>85%<br>85%<br>88%<br>81%<br>82%<br>77%<br>79%<br>76%<br>80% | Data through 2005 are based on mediation worksheets; data for 2006 and after are based on mediation events in the board's data system. |

| Issues in W  | sues in WCB mediations, 1996-2009  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year   | Disease  | Compensability  | Non-WCB<br>issues  | "Disease" means compensability of an occupational disease; it includes mental disorder.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996<br>1997<br>1998<br>1999<br>2000<br>2001<br>2002<br>2003<br>2004<br>2005<br>2006<br>2007<br>2008<br>2009 | 50%<br>50%<br>44%<br>63%<br>41%<br>49%<br>42%<br>41%<br>31%<br>67%<br>46%<br>64%<br>72%<br>73% | N/A<br>90%<br>98%<br>N/A<br>97%<br>99%<br>95%<br>99%<br>95%<br>99%<br>94%<br>81%<br>81%<br>79%<br>80% | N/A<br>40%<br>47%<br>46%<br>43%<br>51%<br>55%<br>45%<br>50%<br>45%<br>50%<br>47%<br>42%<br>43%<br>43%<br>43% | <ul> <li>"Non-WCB issues" includes employment rights, Workers'<br/>Compensation Division issues, torts, contracts, and other civil<br/>actions.</li> <li>In 2008, the cases resolved by mediation that included<br/>compensability as an issue dropped to an all-time low of 79<br/>percent. The percentage of mediations that included non-WCB<br/>issues has ranged from 40 percent to 55 percent.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|      |          |        | Request-to-<br>order median | Appeal | The number of requests for board review peaked in 1991.<br>Requests have dropped primarily because the number of hearing |
|------|----------|--------|-----------------------------|--------|--|
| Year | Requests | Orders | days                        | rates  | opinion and orders (judge's decision on the merits) has dropped  |
| 1987 | 1,719    | 1,222  | 259                         | 29.6%  | from the high of 7,000 in 1988 to fewer than 2,000 in recent years   |
| 1988 | 2,151    | 991    | 306                         | 12.8%  | HB 2900 (1987) required a board review to be scheduled within  |
| 1989 | 1,944    | 1,576  | 548                         | 13.6%  | 90 days and an order published within 30 days of the review.   |
| 1990 | 1,653    | 3,067  | 458                         | 17.2%  | 90 days and an order published within 50 days of the review.   |
| 1991 | 2,346    | 2,064  | 264                         | 23.8%  | The appeal rate of board-review orders dropped immediately   |
| 1992 | 2,230    | 2,487  | 255                         | 27.9%  | from the 1987 peak. One reason was that HB 2900 changed the  |
| 1993 | 1,726    | 1,931  | 256                         | 19.5%  | court's review standard from de novo to "substantial evidence."  |
| 1994 | 1,599    | 1,814  | 238                         | 20.1%  | Noto: Counta evoludo crimo vietim and third party esses  |
| 1995 | 1,553    | 1,655  | 204                         | 17.4%  | Note: Counts exclude crime-victim and third-party cases, reconsideration orders, and on-remand orders. Appeal rates are  |
| 1996 | 1,381    | 1,676  | 163                         | 17.9%  | based on all board-review order types, not just orders on review.  |
| 1997 | 1,307    | 1,229  | 160                         | 18.2%  | based off all board-review order types, not just orders off review.  |
| 1998 | 1,187    | 1,358  | 134                         | 18.5%  |  |
| 1999 | 1,141    | 1,147  | 125                         | 19.1%  |  |
| 2000 | 1,076    | 1,166  | 118                         | 21.2%  |  |
| 2001 | 966      | 860    | 110                         | 22.9%  |  |
| 2002 | 939      | 818    | 209                         | 14.5%  |  |
| 2003 | 996      | 1,023  | 161                         | 19.2%  |  |
| 2004 | 802      | 912    | 162                         | 17.9%  |  |
| 2005 | 796      | 770    | 140                         | 13.8%  |  |
| 2006 | 782      | 738    | 167                         | 14.9%  |  |
| 2007 | 705      | 701    | 170                         | 14.4%  |  |
| 2008 | 625      | 721    | 196                         | 14.6%  |  |
| 2009 | 601      | 582    | 172                         | 12.9%  |  |

| Board own-motion orders | s, 1987-2009 |   |
|-------------------------|--------------|---|
| Year                    | BOM orders   | In 1987, the Legislature (HB 2900) limited worker benefits by own |
| 1987                    | 612          | motion. The number of board own-motion orders peaked in 1991.     |
| 1988                    | 724          | The 2001 Legislature (SB 485) provided for benefits when          |
| 1989                    | 703          | curative treatment is in lieu of hospitalization, new and omitted |
| 1990                    | 962          | medical condition claims, and permanent disability. These actions |
| 1991                    | 1,135        | may account for the increase in orders in 2003-2005 over 2002.    |
| 1992                    | 1,003        |   |
| 1993                    | 927          | Lawmakers in 2005 (HB 2294) required that a condition must be     |
| 1994                    | 845          | compensable before an own-motion claim may be processed,          |
| 1995                    | 751          | reducing numbers of own-motion claims.                            |
| 1996                    | 659          |   |
| 1997                    | 616          |   |
| 1998                    | 639          |   |
| 1999                    | 593          |   |
| 2000                    | 555          |   |
| 2001                    | 431          |   |
| 2002                    | 243          |   |
| 2003                    | 395          |   |
| 2004                    | 496          |   |
| 2005                    | 466          |   |
| 2006                    | 183          |   |
| 2007                    | 179          |   |
| 2008                    | 198          |   |
| 2009                    | 166          |   |

| Court of | Appeals requ | uests, decisio | ons, and time lags, <sup>,</sup> | 1987-2009   |
|----------|--------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Year     | Requests     | Decisions      | Request-to-decision median days  | Appeals to the court peaked in 1992; in 2009, the number of appeals, 75, was just 11 percent of the peak value.   |
| Year     | Requests     | Decisions      | median days                      | <ul> <li>The primary reasons for the subsequent decline are the decreasing numbers of orders on review and the change in the court's review standard.</li> <li>Time lags for court decisions climbed for six straight years between 1996 and 2002. Time lags peaked in 2006 at 482 days (1.3 years), and again in 2009 with a record-high 553 days (1.5 years).</li> <li>Notes: Decisions exclude court dismissals and remands where the court did not rule on the primary issue nor direct a resolution. Time lags exclude dismissals. The decision date is the date of the court's slip opinion.</li> </ul> |
| 1987     | 362          | 287            | 335                              |   |
| 1988     | 127          | 283            | 323                              |   |
| 1989     | 214          | 108            | 281                              |   |
| 1990     | 528          | 178            | 298                              |   |
| 1991     | 491          | 332            | 293                              |   |
| 1992     | 695          | 247            | 321                              |   |
| 1993     | 377          | 285            | 295                              |   |
| 1994     | 365          | 239            | 286                              |   |
| 1995     | 288          | 172            | 299                              |   |
| 1996     | 300          | 175            | 288                              |   |
| 1997     | 224          | 160            | 318                              |   |
| 1998     | 251          | 130            | 330                              |   |
| 1999     | 219          | 126            | 343                              |   |
| 2000     | 247          | 98             | 376                              |   |
| 2001     | 197          | 102            | 426                              |   |
| 2002     | 119          | 111            | 458                              |   |
| 2003     | 196          | 64             | 457                              |   |
| 2004     | 163          | 114            | 441                              |   |
| 2005     | 106          | 80             | 440                              |   |
| 2006     | 110          | 60             | 482                              |   |
| 2007     | 101          | 59             | 453                              |   |
| 2008     | 105          | 47             | 476                              |   |
| 2009     | 75           | 38             | 553                              |   |

| Median time | a lag (days) from | n injury to or | der, 1987-200 | 09  |
|-------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|---|
| Year        | Hearings          | Board          | Court         | Times from injury to order have declined substantially since 1987,    |
| 1987        | 758               | 1,067          | 1,496         | in large part due to the change in the mix of issues. Whole-claim     |
| 1988        | 677               | 1,098          | 1,606         | denial is generally the first possible issue in a claim, and Hearings |
| 1989        | 602               | 1,320          | 1,512         | is the first level of appeal.   |
| 1990        | 617               | 1,169          | 1,770         | Notos: Data are for all order types aveant Court of Appeals           |
| 1991        | 659               | 978            | 1,512         | Notes: Data are for all order types except Court of Appeals           |
| 1992        | 655               | 1,047          | 1,549         | dismissals. The 2009 court lag of 1,790 days equates to 4.9 years.    |
| 1993        | 598               | 966            | 1,443         |   |
| 1994        | 561               | 870            | 1,402         |   |
| 1995        | 574               | 817            | 1,490         |   |
| 1996        | 532               | 763            | 1,247         |   |
| 1997        | 502               | 723            | 1,484         |   |
| 1998        | 488               | 716            | 1,330         |   |
| 1999        | 485               | 685            | 1,446         |   |
| 2000        | 506               | 721            | 1,238         |   |
| 2001        | 496               | 714            | 1,281         |   |
| 2002        | 549               | 811            | 1,311         |   |
| 2003        | 541               | 780            | 1,369         |   |
| 2004        | 535               | 806            | 1,481         |   |
| 2005        | 559               | 827            | 1,446         |   |
| 2006        | 537               | 831            | 1,447         |   |
| 2007        | 533               | 834            | 1,440         |   |
| 2008        | 541               | 855            | 1,455         |   |
| 2009        | 564               | 890            | 1,790         |   |

| Dispute              | ed claim sett  | lements at              | hearing and | board revi              | ew, 1987-2009  |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|--|
|                      | Hearing        |                         | Board       |                         | The number of DCSs at hearing has dropped significantly  |
| Year                 | DCS cases      | Amount<br>(\$ millions) | DCS orders  | Amount<br>(\$ millions) | since the peak in 1991, but their relative significance has risen.<br>Between 1987 and 2009, DCSs grew from 16 percent to 40 |
| 1987                 | 3,778          | \$18.2                  | N/A         | N/A                     | percent of all hearing orders and from 26 percent to 73 percent of   |
| 1988                 | 4,139          | 21.6                    | N/A         | N/A                     | all settlements.   |
| 1989                 | 4,365          | 22.5                    | N/A         | N/A                     | Note: Since 2000, the board figures include DCSs approved after  |
| 1990                 | 5,374          | 29.1                    | N/A         | N/A                     |  |
| 1990<br>1991<br>1992 | 6,021<br>4,942 | 32.6<br>25.7            | N/A<br>64   | N/A<br>\$0.980          | a remand or dismissal by the Court of Appeals.   |
| 1992<br>1993<br>1994 | 4,700          | 24.8<br>20.8            | 84<br>64    | 1.166<br>0.778          |  |
| 1995                 | 4,100<br>4,455 | 22.2                    | 52          | 0.521                   |  |
| 1996                 | 4,001          | 19.1                    | 55          | 0.608                   |  |
| 1997                 | 3,846          | 19.0                    | 49          | 0.622                   |  |
| 1998                 | 3,921          | 20.3                    | 35          | 0.374                   |  |
| 1999                 | 3,721          | 19.6                    | 40          | 0.398                   |  |
| 2000                 | 4,019          | 22.8                    | 55          | 0.706                   |  |
| 2001                 | 3,899          | 21.2                    | 68          | 0.854                   |  |
| 2002                 | 3,931          | 23.1                    | 68          | 0.860                   |  |
| 2003                 | 3,703          | 22.1                    | 71          | 0.898                   |  |
| 2004                 | 3,219          | 20.7                    | 62          | 1.065                   |  |
| 2005                 | 3,401          | 22.6                    | 60          | 0.822                   |  |
| 2006                 | 3,176          | 22.5                    | 45          | 0.735                   |  |
| 2007                 | 3,276          | 24.0                    | 48          | 0.787                   |  |
| 2008                 | 3,325          | 26.4                    | 54          | 1.395                   |  |
| 2009                 | 3,614          | 31.2                    | 38          | 0.795                   |  |

| Claim dispositio | n agreements, 199 | 0-2009                        |  |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Year             | CDAs<br>approved  | Total amount<br>(\$ millions) | SB 1197 authorized claim disposition agreements in 1990. In 2004, 2,869 CDAs were approved, the fewest since 1991. Since |
| 1990             | 362               | \$6.9                         | that time, the number of CDAs approved and total dollar amounts  |
| 1991             | 2,840             | 45.6                          | have risen. A record \$64.1 million was paid in CDAs in 2009.  |
| 1992             | 3,229             | 47.0                          |  |
| 1993             | 3,304             | 42.5                          |  |
| 1994             | 3,260             | 41.8                          |  |
| 1995             | 3,929             | 48.6                          |  |
| 1996             | 3,564             | 45.0                          |  |
| 1997             | 3,268             | 44.3                          |  |
| 1998             | 3,074             | 37.7                          |  |
| 1999             | 3,073             | 39.7                          |  |
| 2000             | 3,144             | 39.9                          |  |
| 2001             | 3,143             | 39.3                          |  |
| 2002             | 3,207             | 44.9                          |  |
| 2003             | 3,040             | 41.2                          |  |
| 2004             | 2,869             | 43.8                          |  |
| 2005             | 2,923             | 43.7                          |  |
| 2006             | 2,954             | 52.2                          |  |
| 2007             | 3,025             | 52.1                          |  |
| 2008             | 3,164             | 62.3                          |  |
| 2009             | 3,422             | 64.1                          |  |

| Claimant | Claimant attorney fees and defense legal costs, 1987-2009 |                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|---|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|          | Claimant attorney fees                                    | Defense legal costs | Claimant attorney fees peaked in 1991 and 1992 at about 49      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year     | (\$ millions)   | (\$ millions)       | percent above 1987 fees.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987     | \$14.4  | N/A                 | Defense legal costs peaked in 1992 and were rising again after  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988     | 16.3  | N/A                 | 2002, reaching the highest level on record in 2009.             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989     | 16.6  | \$23.4              |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990     | 17.8  | 26.1                | Defense legal costs differ from claimant attorney fees in       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991     | 21.4  | 27.0                | several ways: they include all costs, in addition to fees; they |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992     | 21.4  | 28.2                | are the actual amounts paid rather than the amounts in rule;    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993     | 19.8  | 27.2                | they are not reversible on appeal; and there may be fees paid   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994     | 18.9  | 25.7                | to multiple attorneys on a single dispute.                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995     | 19.9  | 27.4                | Information about series breaks:                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996     | 17.5  | 25.3                |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997     | 16.0  | 24.3                | Break #1. Beginning with 2004, data on fees at the Court of     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998     | 16.1  | 24.2                | Appeals and in department medical service and vocational        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999     | 15.8  | 24.2                | assistance disputes were available. For 2004-2006, these        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000     | 16.7  | 23.9                | added fees were 1.5 percent to 1.9 percent of the total.        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001     | 16.1  | 25.7                | Break #2. For 2007, data on fees for WCD contested cases        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002     | 17.2  | 25.3                | at hearing and Board Own Motion were available. Added fees      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003     | 17.1  | 27.1                | in 2007 were 0.4 percent of total fees. Own motion fees are     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | >Series break #1  |                     | estimated.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004     | 17.7  | 27.7                |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005     | 18.4  | 29.4                |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006     | 19.0  | 29.7                |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | >Series break #2  |                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007     | 19.2  | 30.2                |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008     | 21.0  | 32.4                |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009     | 22.2  | 37.0                |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Clain | Claimant attorney fees, 1987-2009 |                         |                       |                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year  | Hearings<br>(\$ thousands)        | Board<br>(\$ thousands) | CDA<br>(\$ thousands) | Reconsideration (\$ thousands) | SB 369 in 1995 limited attorney fees in responsibility disputes, prohibited hearing-awarded fees for issues before the director,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987  | \$14,187                          | \$226                   | -                     | -                              | and limited fees for reversal of denials before hearing.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988  | 15,967                            | 335                     | -                     | -                              | In early 1999, the board increased the maximum amount of fees that may be awarded out of increased disability awards, disputed alaim extlements, and clean disputition agreements. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989  | 15,953                            | 656                     | -                     | -                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990  | 15,902                            | 1,007                   | \$900                 | \$1                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991  | 13,796                            | 905                     | 6,429                 | 277                            | disputed claim settlements, and claim disposition agreements.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992  | 12,505                            | 1,067                   | 7,096                 | 727                            | SB 620 (2003) changed penalty fees from one-half of the  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993  | 11,145                            | 1,165                   | 6,658                 | 858                            | penalty to fees proportional to the benefit. The maximum fee   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994  | 10,400                            | 1,140                   | 6,511                 | 835                            | is \$2,000.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995  | 10,859                            | 826                     | 7,315                 | 880                            | HB 3345 (2009) increased maximum fees in responsibility  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996  | 9,100                             | 857                     | 6,677                 | 819                            | and penalty disputes, as well as providing for fees in a few   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997  | 8,518                             | 753                     | 5,999                 | 675                            | additional areas.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998  | 8,863                             | 802                     | 5,664                 | 757                            | In 2009, 41 percent of all claimant attorney fees came from CDAs.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999  | 8,537                             | 612                     | 5,908                 | 756                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000  | 9,128                             | 693                     | 6,118                 | 776                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001  | 8,540                             | 612                     | 6,115                 | 826                            | For information about series breaks see comment in previous table.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002  | 8,914                             | 626                     | 6,880                 | 771                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003  | 8,989<br>>                        | 721<br>Series break #1  | 6,540                 | 810                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004  | 8,886                             | 790                     | 6,787                 | 893                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005  | 9,490                             | 762                     | 6,784                 | 976                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006  | 9,681                             | 757                     | 7,294                 | 938                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                                   | Series break #2         |                       | 800                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007  | 9,648                             | 746                     | 7,692                 | 800                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008  | 10,139                            | 951                     | 8,856                 | 691                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009  | 11,295                            | 778                     | 9,164                 | 662                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Clain | nant attorney              | fees from lu | ımp-sum set                | tlements, 19           | <del>)</del> 89-2009   |
|-------|----------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Year  | Hearing DCS (\$ thousands) |              | Lump sum<br>(\$ thousands) | Lump sum<br>percentage | Lump-sum attorney fees are from claim disposition agreements and disputed claim settlements. (CDA attorney fees are shown in |
| 1989  | \$4,049                    | \$98         | \$4,147                    | 25.0%                  | the previous table.) Lump-sum fees increased from 25 percent of  |
| 1990  | 5,222                      | 151          | 6,273                      | 32.5%                  | all attorney fees in 1989 (before CDAs) to 66 percent in 2002, a   |
| 1991  | 6,107                      | 136          | 12,672                     | 59.2%                  | level reached again in 2008 and 2009.  |
| 1992  | 4,978                      | 164          | 12,238                     | 57.2%                  | In 1987, DCSs accounted for 23 percent of all hearing fees. This   |
| 1993  | 4,708                      | 222          | 11,588                     | 58.4%                  | percentage peaked in 2002 at 50 percent; it was 49 percent in 2009.  |
| 1994  | 4,105                      | 143          | 10,759                     | 57.0%                  |  |
| 1995  | 4,376                      | 106          | 11,797                     | 59.3%                  | Note: The 1989-1991 board DCS figures are estimates.   |
| 1996  | 3,787                      | 129          | 10,593                     | 60.7%                  |  |
| 1997  | 3,629                      | 121          | 9,749                      | 61.1%                  |  |
| 1998  | 3,954                      | 57           | 9,675                      | 60.1%                  |  |
| 1999  | 3,787                      | 67           | 9,762                      | 61.7%                  |  |
| 2000  | 4,338<br>4,145             | 168<br>149   | 10,624<br>10,409           | 63.6%<br>64.7%         |  |
| 2002  | 4,407                      | 170          | 11,457                     | 66.6%                  |  |
| 2003  | 4,318                      | 196          | 11,054                     | 64.8%                  |  |
| 2004  | 3,910                      | 200          | 10,897                     | 61.6%                  |  |
| 2005  | 4,316                      | 178          | 11,278                     | 61.5%                  |  |
| 2006  | 4,270                      | 146          | 11,710                     | 61.7%                  |  |
| 2007  | 4,528                      | 152          | 12,302                     | 63.9%                  |  |
| 2008  | 4,847                      | 226          | 13,900                     | 66.2%                  |  |
| 2008  | 4,047<br>5,508             | 150          | 14,764                     | 66.7%                  |  |

| Maximun         | Maximum out-of-compensation attorney fees          |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| <u>Hearings</u> | Prior to 2/1999                                    | <u>2/1999 - present</u>                            | For PTD, PPD, and time loss, attorney fees allowed are 25   |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTD             | \$4,600  | \$12,500   | percent of increased compensation award, subject to these limitations. Fees may exceed these limitations in extraordinary |  |  |  |  |  |
| PPD             | 2,800  | 4,600  | circumstances.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time loss       | 1,050  | 1,500  | Note: Maximum attorney fees are listed in WCB rules.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DCSs            | 25% of the first \$12,500,<br>10% of the remainder | 25% of the first \$17,500,<br>10% of the remainder |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Board           | Prior to 2/1999                                    | <u>2/1999 - present</u>                            |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTD             | \$6,000  | \$16,300   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| PPD             | 3,800  | 6,000  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time loss       | 3,800  | 5,000  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDAs            | 25% of the first \$12,500,<br>10% of the remainder | 25% of the first \$17,500,<br>10% of the remainder |   |  |  |  |  |  |

## **Insurance and Self-insurance**

Oregon law requires every employer to provide workers' compensation coverage for its employees. Employers have three insurance options: selfinsurance, insurance through a private insurance company, or insurance through the state fund (SAIF Corporation). The department's Insurance Division provides financial, rate, and trade practices regulation of insurance companies (including SAIF), while the Workers' Compensation Division regulates benefits, coverage, and claims practices. WCD also regulates self-insured employers.

Every two years, the department studies the workers' compensation insurance rates in other states. An index is then created that applies each state's rates to Oregon's distribution of occupations. Using this measure, Oregon's average premium rate ranking was sixth highest in the nation in 1986. After the early reforms, it dropped from eighth highest in 1990 to 32nd highest in 1994. Oregon's average ranking was 41st highest in 2010.

## **History of reform**

In the late 1980s, the Oregon workers' compensation insurance market was under financial strain. Premiums and systems losses were at all-time highs, and SAIF was losing \$1 million each week. As a result, SAIF canceled the policies of thousands of small employers. Many employers were unable to get new policies from private insurers and ended up in the assigned risk pool. This situation was one of the principal reasons for the Legislature's 1990 special session.

Prior to 1990, HB 2900 (1987) allowed employers to exclude some claims costs from their loss experience. Employers were allowed to pay up to \$500 in medical costs for nondisabling claims; these costs were excluded from their rating experience. HB 3318 (2005) increased the exclusionary amount from \$500 to \$1,500. SB 762 (2007) added an annual adjustment of this amount, based on the change in the medical services Consumer Price Index, rounded to the nearest \$100.

The reforms also provided employer incentives to lower some claims costs by limiting claim duration. Through the Preferred Worker Program, employers are encouraged to hire injured workers who have not returned to work. HB 2900 excluded claim costs incurred as a result of an injury sustained by a preferred worker during the first two years of hire. SB 1197 (1990) extended this exemption from two to three years.

HB 2900 also restricted the eligibility for board's own motion relief (aggravation more than five years after the first claim closure) and directed that these costs be paid from the Workers' Benefit Fund and excluded from the employers' loss experience.

## Workers' compensation premiums and rates

Oregon has employed a competitive ratemaking system for workers' compensation insurance since July 1, 1982. Under this system, the National Council on Compensation Insurance develops pure premium rates for each of the almost 600 rating classifications, based on expected losses. These rates are subject to the approval of the Oregon insurance commissioner. Pure premium covers benefit costs only; it is based on claims from recent injuries.

Overall pure premium rates were reduced 1.8 percent for CY 2011. Pure premium rates have been reduced or left unchanged in each of the past 21 years. There were reductions of more than 10 percent in five years between 1991 and 1998. As a result of these reductions, the CY 2011 pure premium rate is 37.2 percent of the CY 1990 rate.





Under Oregon's ratemaking system, each insurer develops an expense-loading factor to cover operating expenses, taxes, profit, and contingencies. This factor is multiplied by the pure premium rate for a classification to arrive at the manual rate to be applied to the employer's payroll to determine gross premium. The average expenseloading factor for SAIF and private insurers dropped in 2009 to 26.9 percent. This is down from the 2007 factor of 30 percent, which was the highest percentage in recent years.

Workers' compensation total system written premiums totaled \$766.7 million in 2009. The department defines total system written premiums as the premium written by insurers, the simulated premium that the department calculates for each self-insured employer to set its workers' compensation assessment, and the estimated premium from large-deductible premium policies. Premiums had grown steadily from \$607.6 million in 1999 to more than \$1 billion in 2007, an annual growth rate of 7 percent. From 2007 to 2009, the premium dropped 27 percent to \$766.6 million.

The loss ratio (defined as incurred losses divided by earned premiums) is one measure of an insurer's financial condition. SAIF's loss ratio was 88.6 percent in 2009. SAIF's loss ratio had been above 100 percent in five of the 10 years prior to



#### Figure 16. Breakdown of workers' compensation premium, calendar years 1995 and 2009

<sup>1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 20</sup> Calendar year

2009. Its loss ratio has been volatile, due in part to substantial adjustments to its reserves. Private insurers' average loss ratio was 66.2 percent, its lowest level since 1997. The combined loss ratio for SAIF and private insurers in 2009 was 79.1 percent.

Insurers may pay dividends to their policyholders. Dividends depend on premiums and insurers' profitability in previous years. Dividends have not been an important part of the Oregon workers' compensation system, with the notable exceptions of SAIF's dividends of \$60 million in 2007 and \$200 million in 2010. In recent years, private insurers have paid between \$1 million and \$3 million annually in dividends.

There have been changes over time in the distribution of the costs that premiums cover. The percent of premiums paying for medical benefits increased from 36 percent in 1995 to 41 percent in 2009, while the percent paying for indemnity benefits decreased from 45 percent to 37 percent. Insurer overhead expenses were 22 percent of premiums in 2009.

#### Large-deductible premium policies

In 1996, large-deductible premium policies were added as an option to workers' compensation in Oregon. Under deductible policies, insurers administer the workers' compensation claims and pay the claims costs. Employers reimburse insurers for claims costs up to the specified deductible amount. In return for purchasing policies with a deductible, employers pay lower premiums. Insurers and employers are assessed on premium prior to deductible credits.

Few credits were applied in 1996, but the program has grown rapidly to \$96.8 million in 2007, followed by a decline. An estimated \$80.0 million of credits were applied in 2009. This amount was 24 percent of private insurers' written premium.

#### Self-insured employers and groups

There were 135 self-insured employers active in Oregon at the end of 2009. These employers must meet specific financial criteria and must obtain excess workers' compensation insurance from an authorized company. This excess insurance protects the self-insured employer in the event of a catastrophic claim. In addition, the self-insured employer must have deposits with the Workers' Compensation Division. These deposits protect injured employees in the event of the employer's bankruptcy.

There are also seven self-insured employer groups, combining about 1,300 employers. Employers can form groups if all of the employers in the group are members of an organization; the employers in the group constitute at least 50 percent of the employers in the organization (unless the number of covered workers in the group exceeds 500, in which case the employers in the group must constitute at least 25 percent of the employers in the organization); and the grouping of



Figure 17. Earned large-deductible premium credits, 1996-2009

employers is likely to improve accident prevention, claims handling for the employers, and reduce expenses. Employers who are members of the group are jointly liable for one another's workers' compensation claims.

#### **Market share**

Workers' compensation market share can be determined using total system written premiums, including the estimated premiums for self-insured employers and for large-deductible premium credits. In 2009, SAIF's share of the market was 41 percent. SAIF's largest market share in recent history was 46 percent in 2005.

Although 438 private insurers were authorized to write workers' compensation insurance in Oregon, only 184 reported positive premium written in 2009. Private insurers, including Liberty Northwest, had 42 percent of the market; Liberty Northwest's market share was 10 percent. Self-insured employers made up 18 percent of the market.

## Oregon Workers' Compensation Insurance Plan (Assigned Risk Pool)

When the Legislature created SAIF in 1965, it provided that, if requested by either SAIF or the National Council on Compensation Insurance, the insurance commissioner had to promulgate an assigned risk plan to make workers' compensation insurance available to employers unable to obtain coverage in the voluntary market. The law was amended in 1979 to implement a plan. In 1980, the commissioner adopted rules constituting the Oregon Workers' Compensation Insurance Plan and establishing the state's assigned risk pool.

Currently under Oregon's assigned risk plan, SAIF, Liberty Northwest, and Travelers Indemnity act as service providers. Premium rates paid by employers for coverage reflect state pure premium rates and an expense loading factor recommended by NCCI and subject to the commissioner's approval. The National Workers' Compensation Reinsurance Association provides reinsurance with the cost borne by all insurers in proportion to their share of all Oregon workers' compensation premiums written.

The assigned risk pool premium was in the range of 3 percent to 4 percent of written premium between 1997 and 2000. The pool grew between 2000 and 2003, becoming more than 9 percent of premium in 2003. Since then, the pool has declined as a percentage of written premium. The number of employers in the pool grew from 2000 to 2005 and has declined each year since, a drop of 29 percent over the past four years. The pool premium for 2009 was 4.5 percent of all written premium, the lowest share since 2001.

A tiered rating plan was first mandated in 1991 for assigned risk plan employers too small to qualify for experience rating plans. Under the plan, small employers receive a premium discount. Most of



#### Figure 18. Total system written premiums, by insurer type, 1987-2009

NOTE: SAIF Corporation reports that its 2007 written premium amount is artificially inflated due to a policy system conversion, which now recognizes annual written premium at policy inception. SAIF estimates that this one-time adjustment has inflated 2007's written premium by \$143.8 million.

the employers in the assigned risk plan received a non-experience-rated credit of 11 percent. In 1994, a second-tier credit was added to the assigned risk plan for new small businesses. The additional credit is for 15 percent. The tiered rating plan has resulted in savings in premium of about \$1 million a year.

A major study of the Oregon Assigned Risk Plan (ARP) was undertaken by the Workers' Compensation Division, Insurance Division, Information Management Division, and the Office of the Ombudsman for Small Business with technical expertise and guidance from the National Council of Compensation Insurance. The study report, released in 2007, found that the Oregon Assigned Risk Program is working well and does not need major changes. Recommendations were made in three areas:

- 1. Improve assigned risk plan operations and pricing.
- 2. Help assigned risk plan employers obtain voluntary market coverage where possible.
- 3. Improve incentives and programs that may keep employers from entering the plan.

HB 2250, effective Jan. 1, 2008, allows a surcharge to plan members to help pay the costs of assigned risk pool losses when they exceed premiums. Prior to this, when losses exceeded premiums the voluntary market had to make up the difference. There is no current plan to implement this surcharge, but to carry on as before. This bill implements one of the recommendations from the ARP study.

## Oregon Insurance Guaranty Association

The Oregon Insurance Guaranty Association is an insurance organization that pays claims costs when one of its member insurers becomes insolvent.

Membership is mandatory for all private insurers. The OIGA collects assessments from its insurers to cover these costs.

In 2003, HB 3051 changed the method for generating these assessments. It authorizes the insurers to recoup the assessments by assessing each policyholder an amount that is based on the policyholder's premium.

## Workers' Compensation Premium Assessment

An assessment on workers' compensation premium funds much of the regulation of the Oregon workers' compensation system. Insurers collect the assessment revenue based on workers' compensation premiums earned in Oregon. For self-insured employers and self-insured employer groups, the assessment is based on a simulated premium calculated by the department.

Effective Jan. 1, 2011, the assessment is 6.4 percent of premium. Self-insured employers and self-insured groups pay an additional 0.2 percent into a reserve to pay claims in the event of a bankruptcy. The assessment has decreased four times since 2002; however, the 2011 rate represents a 1.8 percentagepoint increase from 2010.

The revenue is deposited into the Premium Assessment Operating Account. The PAOA also receives some fines and penalties, federal grant money, investment income, and other miscellaneous revenue. The account funds the department's programs related to workplace safety and workers' compensation. Senate Bill 592 in 1999 established the current rules for setting the assessment rate. Some funds are paid to Oregon Health and Science University for its Center for Research on Occupational and Environmental Toxicology. At times, the account has also been used to fund other programs.

| Worker   | rs' compensat  | tion premi   | ums and rate   | e changes, '   | 1987-2011   |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| Year   | Total system<br>written<br>premiums<br>(\$ millions)   | Annual<br>change<br>in written<br>premium  | Annual pure<br>premium<br>rate<br>changes  | Cumulative<br>rate<br>changes<br>since 1990  | Workers' compensation pure premium rates have declined<br>almost 63 percent between 1990 and 2011. There has not been<br>an increase in the pure premium rate for 20 years.<br>Total system written premiums exceeded \$1 billion in 2007. With<br>the recession, they have fallen sharely since then   |
| 1987<br>1988<br>1989<br>1990<br>1991<br>1992<br>1993<br>1994<br>1995<br>1996<br>1997<br>1998<br>1999<br>2000<br>2001<br>2002<br>2003<br>2004<br>2005<br>2006<br>2007 *<br>2008<br>2009<br>2010<br>2011 | \$677.0<br>735.5<br>798.8<br>852.6<br>748.1<br>739.5<br>731.2<br>750.3<br>743.0<br>723.9<br>664.0<br>607.6<br>615.5<br>637.0<br>728.0<br>758.4<br>859.0<br>907.5<br>982.6<br>1,192.9<br>945.7<br>766.7<br>N/A<br>N/A | -<br>8.6%<br>8.6%<br>6.7%<br>-12.3%<br>5.1%<br>-5.9%<br>-1.1%<br>2.6%<br>-1.0%<br>-2.6%<br>-8.3%<br>-8.5%<br>1.3%<br>3.5%<br>14.3%<br>4.2%<br>13.3%<br>5.6%<br>8.3%<br>6.8%<br>-9.9%<br>-18.9%<br>N/A<br>N/A | 14.5%<br>0.0%<br>5.2%<br>6.2%<br>-12.2%<br>-11.0%<br>-11.4%<br>-4.3%<br>-3.2%<br>-1.8%<br>-10.5%<br>-15.6%<br>-2.2%<br>-3.7%<br>-0.1%<br>0.0%<br>0.0%<br>0.0%<br>0.0%<br>0.0%<br>-2.1%<br>-2.3%<br>-5.9%<br>-1.3%<br>-1.8% | -12.2%<br>-21.9%<br>-30.8%<br>-33.7%<br>-35.9%<br>-37.0%<br>-43.6%<br>-52.4%<br>-52.4%<br>-57.4%<br>-57.3%<br>-57.4%<br>-57.4%<br>-57.4%<br>-57.4%<br>-57.4%<br>-57.4%<br>-58.3%<br>-59.2%<br>-61.6%<br>-62.1%<br>-62.8% | the recession, they have fallen sharply since then.<br>Notes: Although self-insured employers do not pay premiums,<br>the department calculates a simulated premium for each self-<br>insurer. Figures here include these simulated premiums. They<br>also include large-deductible premium credits.<br>* Also, SAIF Corporation reported that its 2007 written<br>premium amount was artificially inflated due to a policy system<br>conversion, which now recognizes annual written premium at<br>policy inception. SAIF estimated that this one-time adjustment<br>inflated 2007's written premium by \$143.8 million. This inflated<br>figure is included in the total system written premium. It has<br>been removed, however, from the calculation of the annual<br>change in written premium in 2007 and 2008. This was done to<br>better show the real change in premium. |

| Workers' co | Workers' compensation premium rate ranking, 1986-2010 |                |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|---|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year        | Rate ranking  | % study median | Oregon's average premium rate ranking improved from sixth         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986        | 6th   | 137%           | highest in the nation with a 137 percent of study median in 1986  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988        | 8th   | 142%           | to 32nd highest with an 85 percent of study median in 1994. In    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990        | 8th   | 149%           | 2010, the ranking was the 41st highest; 83 percent of the study   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992        | 22nd  | 107%           | median.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994        | 32nd  | 85%            | Note: The premium rate ranking is based on the manual rates in    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996        | 34th  | 89%            | the 50 states applied to Oregon's mix of occupations. The use of  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998        | 38th  | 85%            | other occupational distributions will produce different rankings. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000        | 34th  | 85%            |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002        | 35th  | 85%            |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004        | 42nd  | 79%            |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006        | 42nd  | 79%            |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008        | 39th  | 83%            |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010        | 41st  | 83%            |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Earned la  | Earned large-deductible premium credits, 1996-2009   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year   | Premium credits<br>(\$ millions)   | % of private insurer<br>written premium  | Earned large-deductible premium credits are credits on employers' workers' compensation premium. Participating   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996<br>1997<br>1998<br>2000<br>2001<br>2002<br>2003<br>2004<br>2005<br>2006<br>2007<br>2008<br>2009 | \$0.6<br>9.3<br>16.2<br>24.4<br>20.9<br>37.7<br>54.8<br>54.4<br>50.8<br>60.3<br>79.8<br>96.8<br>87.8<br>75.7 | 0.2%<br>2.5%<br>4.6%<br>7.5%<br>6.8%<br>12.0%<br>16.8%<br>16.8%<br>14.3%<br>16.9%<br>20.1%<br>20.1%<br>21.0%<br>22.0%<br>23.8% | employers repay insurers their claims costs up to the deductible<br>amounts. The use of these credits grew rapidly through 2002 then<br>stayed roughly the same through 2004. After 2004, the use shows<br>rapid growth, peaking in 2007. The amount of these credits has<br>dropped by more than 20 percent over the past two years, but<br>they continue to be an increasing share of private insurers' written<br>premium. In 2009, these credits were equal to 23.8 percent of<br>private insurers' written premium. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Workers' of  | compensati  | ion market share,   | by insurer type  | , 1995-2009   |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| Year   | SAIF  | Private insurers  | Self-insured employers   | In 2009, as measured by total system written premiums, SAIF had 41 percent of the market. Private insurers' share was 42 percent.   |
| Year<br>1995<br>1996<br>1997<br>1998<br>1999<br>2000<br>2001<br>2002<br>2003<br>2004<br>2005<br>2006<br>2007 * | SAIF<br>33.2%<br>32.6%<br>30.9%<br>31.0%<br>31.4%<br>35.7%<br>37.2%<br>41.7%<br>42.5%<br>44.3%<br>46.1%<br>45.8%<br>42.4% | 50.4%<br>50.4%<br>52.3%<br>53.2%<br>53.7%<br>50.2%<br>49.3%<br>44.9%<br>42.8%<br>41.4%<br>39.3%<br>40.4%<br>44.0% | employers<br>16.3%<br>17.0%<br>16.8%<br>15.8%<br>14.9%<br>14.0%<br>13.5%<br>13.4%<br>14.7%<br>14.3%<br>14.6%<br>13.9%<br>13.6% | <ul> <li>The largest private insurer, Liberty Northwest, had 10 percent of the market and 24 percent of the private insurer market.</li> <li>* Note: SAIF Corporation reported that its 2007 written premium amount was artificially inflated due to a policy system conversion, which now recognizes annual written premium at policy inception. SAIF estimated that this one-time adjustment has inflated 2007's written premium by \$143.8 million. This amount was removed from SAIF's premium in the computation of the 2007 market shares.</li> </ul> |
| 2008<br>2009   | 42.6%<br>40.8%  | 42.1%<br>41.5%  | 15.2%<br>17.7%   |   |

| SAIF C | SAIF Corporation financial characteristics, 1995-2009 |       |                    |               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------|---|-------|--------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|        | Total system<br>written premiums                      | Loss  | Expense<br>loading | Dividends     | * SAIF's written premium grew by about 13 percent per year between 1999 and 2006. Starting with 2007, SAIF changed its |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year   | (\$ millions)   | ratio | factors            | (\$ millions) | Direct Premium Written calculation method from arrears based to  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995   | \$249.3   | 82.4  | 1.206              | \$80.2        | total estimated at policy inception. This caused a large one-time  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996   | 242.2   | 125.6 | 1.200              | 50.1          | jump in 2007 of \$143.8 million. The 2008 figure returned to the   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997   | 223.6   | 66.6  | 1.193              | 69.8          | normal range. The 2009 written premium was 22 percent lower  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998   | 205.7   | 40.6  | 1.130              | 121.1         | than in 2008.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999   | 191.0   | 140.4 | 1.097              | 211.5         | SAIF's loss ratio (incurred losses divided by earned premiums)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000   | 220.0   | 166.2 | 1.103              | 159.4         | was 88.6 percent in 2009.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001   | 237.0   | 94.5  | 1.108              | 0.1           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002   | 303.4   | 108.9 | 1.129              | -0.6          | SAIF's expense loading factor covers operating expenses,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003   | 322.0   | 109.5 | 1.149              | 0.2           | taxes, profit, and contingencies. This factor is multiplied by the   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004   | 380.2   | 123.3 | 1.203              | 2.0           | pure premium rate to the employer's payroll to determine gross   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005   | 418.3   | 65.8  | 1.204              | 0.0           | premium. The 2009 factor was 1.201.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006   | 449.8   | 92.9  | 1.208              | 0.0           | Between 1998 and 2000, SAIF paid \$492 million in dividends. In  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 * | 588.9   | 86.4  | 1.211              | 60.0          | 2010, SAIF announced two separate dividend payments totaling   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008   | 403.1   | 87.5  | 1.204              | 0.0           | about \$200 million. (The 2002 negative dividend figure represents   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009   | 312.9   | 88.6  | 1.201              | 0.0           | uncashed dividend checks credited back to SAIF.)   |  |  |  |  |  |

|      | Total system     |       | Expense |               | Private insurers' written premium was about \$318 million in 2009. |
|------|------------------|-------|---------|---------------|--|
|      | written premiums | Loss  | loading | Dividends     | Although the 2009 premium was lower than in 2008, the written      |
| Year | (\$ millions)    | ratio | factors | (\$ millions) | premium has been growing at a rate of more than 2 percent per year |
| 1995 | \$378.4          | 68.2  | 1.269   | \$12.5        | The loss ratio for all private insurers was 66.2 percent in 2009.  |
| 1996 | 374.8            | 66.8  | 1.207   | 10.3          |  |
| 1997 | 378.4            | 62.2  | 1.213   | 9.4           | Each private insurer develops an expense loading factor to cover   |
| 1998 | 353.6            | 71.3  | 1.232   | 10.3          | operating expenses, taxes, profit, and contingencies. These        |
| 1999 | 326.0            | 69.4  | 1.216   | 11.6          | factors are multiplied by the pure premium rate and applied to     |
| 2000 | 309.1            | 78.4  | 1.238   | 10.3          | the employer's payroll to determine gross premium. The average     |
| 2001 | 314.0            | 88.7  | 1.272   | 8.4           | 2009 factor was 1.362, the lowest value since 2002.                |
| 2002 | 327.0            | 66.7  | 1.349   | 6.0           | Over the past seven years, private insurers have paid back less    |
| 2003 | 324.7            | 91.2  | 1.384   | 3.1           | than 1 percent of written premium in dividends.                    |
| 2004 | 355.7            | 88.0  | 1.382   | 2.6           |  |
| 2005 | 356.7            | 83.2  | 1.423   | 1.4           |  |
| 2006 | 396.7            | 81.1  | 1.413   | 2.2           |  |
| 2007 | 461.9            | 69.7  | 1.415   | 1.9           |  |
| 2008 | 398.5            | 71.0  | 1.397   | 1.1           |  |
| 2009 | 318.3            | 66.2  | 1.362   | 2.9           |  |

| WC insu | rance plan (A        | ssigned Risk P                | ool) characteristi         | cs, 1987-2009  |
|---------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Year    | Covered<br>employers | Pool premium<br>(\$ millions) | Percent of written premium | After declining during the late 1990s, the Assigned Risk Pool grew rapidly between 2000 and 2003, from 3 percent to 9 percent of |
| 1987    | 1,935                | \$19.4                        | 3.4%                       | the total premium. Although the number of employers in the pool  |
| 1988    | 1,872                | 20.1                          | 3.3%                       | stayed roughly constant for 2004 through 2007, pool premium, for   |
| 1989    | 3,658                | 28.8                          | 4.2%                       | the period, declined as a percentage of written premium. In 2008,  |
| 1990    | 12,765               | 71.9                          | 9.8%                       | the number of covered employers decreased markedly, as did   |
| 1991    | 11,970               | 71.7                          | 11.4%                      | pool premium. This trend continues through 2009.   |
| 1992    | 12,140               | 50.2                          | 7.7%                       |  |
| 1993    | 16,056               | 48.6                          | 8.0%                       |  |
| 1994    | 18,008               | 53.1                          | 8.7%                       |  |
| 1995    | 17,982               | 49.1                          | 7.9%                       |  |
| 1996    | 13,627               | 34.5                          | 5.6%                       |  |
| 1997    | 12,771               | 24.7                          | 4.2%                       |  |
| 1998    | 11,369               | 21.3                          | 3.8%                       |  |
| 1999    | 9,739                | 17.3                          | 3.4%                       |  |
| 2000    | 7,414                | 16.5                          | 3.2%                       |  |
| 2001    | 8,533                | 25.2                          | 4.9%                       |  |
| 2002    | 10,981               | 42.4                          | 7.4%                       |  |
| 2003    | 12,421               | 55.6                          | 9.4%                       |  |
| 2004    | 12,761               | 57.5                          | 8.4%                       |  |
| 2005    | 13,054               | 58.9                          | 8.2%                       |  |
| 2006    | 12,799               | 59.4                          | 7.7%                       |  |
| 2007    | 12,023               | 55.6                          | 5.8%                       |  |
| 2008    | 10,617               | 38.2                          | 5.4%                       |  |
| 2009    | 9,242                | 24.3                          | 4.5%                       |  |

# Appendices

## Appendix 1 - Workers' Compensation Reform Legislation

Major legislative reform of the Oregon workers' compensation system began during the 1987 legislative session. A chronology of important legislative changes since then is provided below.

## **Safety and Health**

#### 1987

654.086 Increased penalties against employers who violate the state safety and health act. (HB 2900)

**654.090 (4)** Expanded the purposes of ORS Chapter 654 to promote more effective safety and health educational efforts. (HB 2900)

**654.097** Required insurers and self-insured employers to provide safety and health loss-prevention consultative programs that conform to department standards. (HB 2900)

#### 1989

**654.191 and 705.145** Established the Occupational Safety and Health Grant program to fund organizations and associations to develop training programs for employees in safe employment practices. (HB 2982)

#### 1990

**654.176 (1)** Required that all employers with more than 10 employees establish a safety and health committee. The legislation also required that employers with 10 or fewer employees establish safety committees if the employer has had a lost workday cases incidence rate in the top 10 percent of all rates for employers in the same industry or is subject to a premium classification in the highest 25 percent of premium rates. (SB 1197)

#### 1991

**654.086** Mandated penalty increases to federal maximums against employers who violate occupational safety and health standards. (HB 3017)

#### *1995*

**654.154 (1)** Exempted small agricultural employers (10 or fewer employees) meeting certain criteria from scheduled inspections by Oregon OSHA. (HB 3019) (Now 654.172)

**654.176 (1)** Exempted small agricultural employers (10 or fewer employees) from Oregon OSHA safety committee requirements unless the employer has a lost workday cases incidence rate in the top 10 percent of all rates for employers in the same industry. (HB 2541)

**656.622** Established a Worksite Redesign Program, including engineering design work and occupational health consulting services, to prevent the recurrence of on-the-job injuries. (SB 369) (This program's funding was eliminated by the 2001 Legislature by removing the funds from the department's budget in SB 5507.)

#### *199*7

**656.796** This section was repealed, and the State Advisory Council on Occupational Safety and Health was abolished. (SB 135)

**658.790** Transferred enforcement authority of the law from the Bureau of Labor and Industries to the department. Required farmworker camp operators to provide seven days of housing in the event of camp closure by a government agency. (SB 38)

#### *1999*

**654.005** Exempted corporate farms from safety and health requirements when the farm's only employees are family members. (HB 2402)

654.003, 654.035, 654.067, and 654.071 Provided that Oregon OSHA schedule inspections by focusing resources on the most unsafe places of employment. (HB 2830)

#### 2001

**654.086 (4) & (5) and 658.815 (1)** Established a Farmworker Housing Development Account and directed that money collected from civil penalties imposed for the nonregistration of farmworker camps be put in the account. The purpose of the account is to expand the state's supply of housing for low-income farmworkers. (HB 3573)

**Chapter 625, 2001 laws** Amended tax law to transfer the administration of the Farmworker Housing Tax Credit from Oregon OSHA to the Oregon Department of Housing and Community Services. (HB 3172)

**Chapter 635, 2001 laws** Amended tax law to make the Farmworker Housing Construction Tax Program permanent. Also amended the program. (HB 3173)

#### 2003

**654.035 (2)** Revised the authority for the director to adopt rules, regulations, codes, or special orders related to worker safety for construction involving steel erection. Prohibited the director from requiring the use of fall protection for workers engaged in certain steel erection activities at heights lower than the fall protection trigger heights for steel erection required by federal regulation. (HB 3010) (In 2007, HB 3400 rescinded this change.)

#### 2005

**654.035 (1)(d)** Removed the accepted disabling claims rate as one of the criteria used by Oregon OSHA when identifying employers who will receive notification of the increased likelihood of having a workplace safety inspection. Provided the director with the authority to determine which industries and workplaces are most unsafe and should receive this notification. (HB 2093)

#### 2007

**654.176(2)**, **654.182, and 654.182 (1)(f)** Eliminated the 10-employee threshold from statute and replaced the safety committee requirement with a requirement for all employers to have safety committees or use safety meetings under rules adopted by DCBS. The bill requires appropriate consideration for the unique circumstances of agriculture, small employers, and employers with mobile worksites. (HB 2222)

**654.005 (5)** Expanded the definition of "employer" for the purposes of the Oregon Safe Employment Act (ORS 654). The bill enables DCBS/Oregon OSHA to adopt rules that will hold a successor employer (one that is essentially the same as a prior employer) responsible for the correction of hazards to protect workers, for determining "repeat" violations, and for the payment of civil penalties. (HB 2223)

**ORS 654.414, 654.416, 654.418, 654.421, and 654.423** Required health care employers to address assaults of employees who work in ambulatory surgical centers and hospitals. These employers are required to conduct periodic security and safety assessments to identify assault hazards, develop an assault prevention and protection program, provide training, and maintain a record of assaults that result in injury to their employees. (HB 2022)

**656.062 (6)(a)** Increased the length of time a worker has to file a retaliation (discrimination) complaint with the Oregon Bureau of Labor and Industries from 30 days to 90 days if the worker believes they have been discriminated against for raising workplace health or safety issues. (HB 2259)

**654.035 (2)** Eliminated existing statutory provisions that prevent Oregon OSHA from adopting rules requiring fall protection in steel erection below the federal OSHA trigger height. (HB 3400)

**654.078** Extended the appeals deadline for workplace health and safety citations from 20 days to 30 days and expanded the period before a civil penalty can be recorded as a judgment from 10 days to 20 days after a final order. This statutory change applies to citations, notices, and orders received by an employer on or after the effective date of the bill. (SB 556)

## Compensability

#### *198*7

**656.266** Placed on the worker the burden of proving that an injury or occupational disease is compensable and of proving the nature and extent of any disability. The worker cannot prove compensability simply by disproving other explanations. (HB 2271)

**656.802 (3)** Restricted mental stress claims to those arising out of real and objective employment conditions not generally inherent in every working situation, and required "clear and convincing evidence" that the mental disorder arose out of and in the course of employment. (HB 2271)

#### 1990

**656.005 (7)** Required that a compensable injury be established by medical evidence supported by objective findings. The compensable injury must be the major contributing cause of a consequential condition. If the compensable injury combines with a pre-existing condition, the resulting condition is compensable only to the extent that the compensable injury is and remains the major contributing cause of the disability or need for treatment. Excluded injuries from recreational and social activities. Excluded injuries that arose from the use of alcohol or drugs if it is proven by clear and convincing evidence that the drug or alcohol use was the major contributing cause. (SB 1197)

**656.262 (6)** Allowed insurers to deny a previously accepted claim at any time up to two years from the date of claim acceptance if the claim is accepted in good faith, but is later determined not to be compensable or that the insurer is not responsible for the claim. (SB 1197)

**656.273** Required that claims for aggravation be established by medical evidence supported by objective medical findings that the worsened condition resulted from the original injury. (SB 1197)

**656.308** Specified that when a worker sustains a compensable injury the responsible employer shall remain responsible for future aggravations unless the worker sustains a new compensable injury involving the same condition. (SB 1197)

**656.802 (1) & (2)** Changed the definition of occupational disease, and provided that compensable diseases must be caused by substances or activities to which an employee is not ordinarily subjected or exposed, and that employment be the major contributing cause. The existence of the disease must be established by medical evidence supported by objective findings. (SB 1197)

#### *1995*

**656.005 (7)(a)(B)** Stated that a combined condition was compensable only as long as and to the extent the otherwise compensable injury was the major contributing cause of the combined condition or the need for treatment. (SB 369)

**656.005 (7)(b)(C)** Reduced the standard of proof required to show that the major contributing cause was consumption of alcoholic beverages or a controlled substance from "clear and convincing evidence" to "preponderance of evidence." (SB 369)

**656.005 (7)(c)** Changed the previous definition of "disabling injury" to specifically exclude those injuries where no temporary benefits were due and payable, unless there was a reasonable expectation that permanent disability would result from the injury. (SB 369)

**656.005 (19)** Expanded the definition of "objective findings" to be verifiable indications of injury or disease, and excluded physical findings or subjective responses to physical examinations that were not reproducible, measurable, or observable. (SB 369)

**656.262** (6)(a) Authorized the denial of an accepted claim to be issued at any time when the denial is for fraud, misrepresentation, or other illegal activity, to be proved by a preponderance of evidence. Lowered the standard of proof for a back-up denial based on evidence uncovered after acceptance that the claim was not compensable or the insurer was not responsible from "clear and convincing evidence" to "preponderance of evidence." (SB 369)

**656.262 (6)(d)** Required that an injured worker who believed that a condition had been incorrectly omitted from the acceptance notice, or that the notice was otherwise deficient, to first communicate in writing to the insurer or self-insured employer the worker's objections. Precluded a worker who failed to comply with this requirement from taking up the matter at a hearing. (SB 369)

#### *1997*

**656.027** Exempted certain landscape contractors (sole proprietorships, partnerships, corporations, and limited liability companies) from coverage requirements. (HB 2038)

**656.126 (2) & (7)** Exempted extraterritorial coverage requirements for workers employed in another state but temporarily working in Oregon. (SB 544)

#### 1999

**656.630 (Note)** Directed the Center for Research on Occupational and Environmental Toxicology to provide a report on the need for modifying the compensability criteria for hepatitis B and C. (HB 3629)

(**Budget note**) Directed the department to undertake a study of the impact of the major contributing cause and combined conditions on the workers' compensation system and provided funds for the study. (HB 5012)

#### 2001

**656.005 (24) and 656.804** Revised the definition of preexisting conditions. It provided separate definitions for injury claims and for occupational disease claims. (SB 485)

**656.017 and 656.126** Amended public contracts and purchasing law to state that each public contract must include a clause that all subject workers temporarily in the state are covered by either Oregon's workers' compensation law or by the laws of another state. (SB 507)

**656.027 (6)** Clarified the exemption from workers' compensation law for firefighters and police employees for cities with a population of more than 200,000 that provide disability and retirement systems. (HB 3100)

**656.027 (26)** Exempted from workers' compensation law persons who serve as referees or assistant referees in recreational soccer matches whose services are retained on a match-by-match basis. (HB 3094)

**656.266 (2)** For combined condition injury claims, stated that once the worker has established that the injury is compensable, the employer has the burden of proof to show that the compensable condition is not, or is no longer, the major contributing cause of the disability or the need for treatment. (SB 485)

**410.614** Amended senior and disability services law and made 14,000 home care workers subject employees. For the purposes of workers' compensation, these workers are public employees under the Home Care Commission. This was part of the implementation of Ballot Measure 99 in 2000. (HB 3816)

#### 2003

**626.027 (27)** Added translators and interpreters who provide services through agents or brokers to the list of nonsubject workers. (SB 924)

#### 2005

**656.027 (15)(d)** Provided that owners or leaseholders of motor vehicles used in the transportation of property by a for-hire motor carrier are nonsubject workers for purposes of workers' compensation statutes. (SB 433)

#### 2007

**656.039 (5)(a)** Required the Home Care Commission to elect workers' compensation coverage on behalf of Department of Human Services clients who employ home care workers if the worker is paid by the state on behalf of the client. Required the home care worker to accept appropriate modified employment with any client of the Department of Human Services who employs a home care worker or risk termination of his or her temporary disability benefits. (HB 3362)

**656.027(28)** Clarified that taxicab drivers are considered as nonsubject workers under workers' compensation insurance coverage requirements if they lease a taxicab by the shift or for a longer period or the taxicab used is under a contract to a third party for transporting designated passengers, to provide errand service, or to provide non-emergency medical transportation. (SB 688)

#### 2009

**656.802 (5)** Presumes that the death, disability, or impairment of nonvolunteer firefighters who have completed five or more years of employment is an occupational disease when the condition is caused by certain cancers. Denial of the claim for any condition or impairment must be on the basis of clear and convincing medical evidence that the condition was not caused or contributed to by the firefighter's employment. The first diagnosis by a physician must occur after July 1, 2009. (HB 2420)

The Legislature created the Interagency Compliance Network. State agencies, including the Department of Consumer and Business Services, were charged with working to establish consistency in agency determinations relating to the classification of workers, including but not limited to classification of workers as independent contractors. The agencies will share information to better ensure that workers and employers comply with laws relating to taxation or employment, including workers' compensation law. (HB 2815)

## **Claims Processing**

#### 1987

**656.268** (4)(a) Allowed insurers to close permanent disability claims as long as department evaluation standards were applied and the worker had returned to work. (HB 2900) (Now 656.268 (5)(a))

656.268 (14) Allowed for insurer offsets against awards for overpayments. (HB 2900) (Now 656.268 (13))

**656.726 (3)(f)** Allowed the director to provide standards for the evaluation of disabilities and altered the criteria for the evaluation of unscheduled disabilities. (HB 2900) (Now 656.726 (4)(f))

#### 1990

**656.160** Declared that injured workers are not eligible for temporary disability benefits for periods during which they are incarcerated. (SB 1197)

**656.214 (5) and 656.726 (3)(f)** Required the department's disability evaluation standards to be used for the initial rating and for all subsequent litigation; altered the definition of earning capacity to be used in calculating disability. (SB 1197) (656.726 (3)(f) is now 656.726 (4)(f))

**656.262 (4)** Specified situations for which temporary disability payments are not due or may be suspended by insurers. (SB 1197)

**656.262 (6)** Increased the time for insurer acceptance or denial of a claim from 60 days to 90 days. (SB 1197) (SB 485 reduced the time to 60 days in 2001.)

**656.268 (4)(a)** Expanded insurers' authority to close claims when the worker has become medically stationary and has returned to work or the attending physician has released the worker to regular or modified employment. (SB 1197)

**656.726 (3)(f)** Mandated that impairment be established by a preponderance of medical evidence based on objective findings. Also required that the director adopt temporary rules amending the standards for the evaluation of disabilities when the director determines that the standards do not adequately address the worker's disability. (SB 1197) (Now 656.726 (4)(f))

**656.780** Required the director to establish a workers' compensation claims examiner certification program. (SB 1197) (This was repealed by SB 221 in 1999.)

#### 1991

**656.622 (3)** Clarified that a worker may not waive eligibility for preferred worker status by entering into a claim disposition agreement. (HB 3040) (Now 656.622 (4)(b))

#### *1993*

**192.502** Amended public records law exemptions to end access to claims histories by employers, information services, commercial interests, and others using that information to discriminate against injured workers. (HB 3069)

#### *1995*

**656.012 (3)** Declared that provisions of workers' compensation law be interpreted in an impartial and balanced manner. (SB 369)

**656.018 (6)** Clarified that the exclusive remedy provisions and the liability limitations of this chapter apply whether or not the injuries or diseases were compensable. (SB 369) (This was struck down in part in 2001 by the Oregon Supreme Court in the Smothers decision.) (Now 656.018 (7))

**656.126** Authorized that the Oregon compensation paid for an injury or illness be offset by the out-of-state compensation paid for the same injury or illness. (SB 369)

**656.206 (1)(a)** Defined "gainful occupation" as one that pays wages equal to or greater than the statemandated hourly minimum wage. (SB 369) (SB 386 revised the definition in 2005; now 656.206 (11)(a).)

**656.212 (2)** Authorized basing the temporary partial disability rate on the wages used to calculate temporary total disability. (SB 369)

**656.262** (4)(b) Stated that the payment of wages by a self-insured employer shall be deemed timely payment of temporary disability benefits. (SB 369)

**656.262** (4)(f) Stated that temporary disability compensation is not due and payable unless authorized by the attending physician; limited retroactive authorization to 14 days. (SB 369) (Now 656.262 (4)(g))

**656.262 (14)** & (15) Required that injured workers cooperate with the insurer or self-insured employer in the investigation of claims for compensation. If a worker does not cooperate, the director is to suspend the compensation. (SB 369) (Now 656.262 (13) & (14))

656.265 (1) Increased the time for filing of a claim from 30 days to 90 days. (SB 369)

**656.268 (1)** Authorized claim closure before the worker's condition becomes medically stationary if the accepted injury ceases to be the major contributing cause of the worker's combined or consequential condition or, if without the approval of the attending physician, the worker fails to seek medical treatment for a period of 30 days or fails to attend a closing examination. (SB 369)

**656.726** (3)(f)(D) Required that impairment be the only factor to be considered in evaluating a worker's disability if the worker has returned to, or the attending physician has released the worker to, regular work at the job held at the time of injury. (SB 369) (Now 656.726 (4)(f)(E))

#### *199*7

**656.262** (6)(b)(F) Required that the insurer or self-insured employer modify the notice of acceptance when medical or other information changed a previously issued notice of acceptance. (HB 2971)

**656.262 (7)(c)** Required that when an insurer or self-insured employer determines that a claim qualifies for closure, the insurer or self-insured employer must issue an updated notice of acceptance that specifies the compensable conditions. If a condition is later found compensable, the insurer or self-insured employer must reopen the claim for processing that condition. (HB 2971)

#### *1999*

**656.212 (2)** Eliminated the two-year aggregate maximum for receipt of temporary partial disability payments. (SB 729)

**656.268 (1) and 656.268 (Note)** Made insurers and self-insured employers responsible for closing all claims and for determining the extent of permanent disability. The department was to phase out its own claim closure activities; insurers and self-insured employers were to assume responsibility, no later than June 30, 2001, for closing all claims. (SB 220) (This was accomplished by January 1, 2001.)

**656.277** (1) Required that a request by a worker for reclassification of an accepted nondisabling injury that the worker believes has become disabling must be submitted to the insurer or self-insured employer. Prior to this, these submissions were made to the department. (SB 220)

656.780 Repealed the claims examiner certification program established by SB 1197 in 1990. (SB 221)

#### 2001

**656.005 (30)** For the purposes of determining the entitlement to temporary disability or permanent total disability benefits, excluded from the definition of "worker" anyone who has withdrawn from the workforce during the time period for which the benefits are sought. (SB 485)

**656.210 (2)** Defined how the weekly wage should be calculated and the disability status be defined for injured workers with multiple jobs. (SB 485)

**656.210 (5)** Created rules for the payment of supplemental temporary disability benefits to workers employed in more than one job at the time of injury. (SB 485)

**656.262 (6)(a) & (7)(a) and 656.308 (2)(a)** Reduced the time an insurer has to accept or deny a claim from 90 days to 60 days after the employer's knowledge of the claim. The bill also reduced the time the insurer has to accept or deny a claim for aggravation or new or omitted conditions to 60 days after the insurer receives written notice of these claims. (SB 485)

**656.267** Directed that for a worker to initiate an omitted medical condition claim, the worker must clearly request formal written acceptance of a new or omitted medical condition from the insurer. The worker may initiate a new or omitted condition claim at any time. After aggravation rights have expired, a worker must pursue a claim for new or omitted conditions through the Workers' Compensation Board's own-motion process. (SB 485)

656.268 (5)(b) Allowed the worker to request a claim closure when he or she is not medically stationary. (SB 269)

**656.273 (4), 656.277 (1), and 656.277 (2)** Clarified the time frames for claims. The time frame for challenging a nondisabling classification is one year from the date of the claim acceptance. Aggravation rights for disabling claims extend five years from the date of the first claim closure. For claims originally classified as nondisabling and not reclassified during the year following acceptance, aggravation rights extend five years from the date of injury. (SB 316)

#### 2003

**656.054 (2) and 656.735 (3)** Removed the penalty against noncomplying employers issued after claim closure. (SB 233)

**656.210 (5)(b)** Provided that if an insurer or self-insured employer chooses not to pay supplemental disability benefits for a worker employed in more than one job, the department will administer and pay benefits directly or assign the administration to a paying agent. (SB 914)

**656.262 (11)(a)** Allowed attorney fees when an insurer or self-insured employer unreasonably delays or refuses to pay compensation or unreasonably delays acceptance or denial. The fee is based on the results achieved and the time devoted to the case. (SB 620)

**656.265** (4)(c) Added an exemption to the requirement for reporting claims within 90 days if the worker can establish that he or she had good cause not to give timely notice. (SB 932)

**705.175** Authorized the department to issue warrants for amounts owed to the department and authorized the debt to become a lien on real property. (HB 3177)

**Chapter 760, section 4, 2003 laws** Required the department to conduct an evaluation of its claims reporting requirements. The results were to be presented to the Management-Labor Advisory Committee. (SB 914)

#### 2005

**656.273 (3) and (6)** Expedited the processing of claims for aggravation, and clarified that insurers' and selfinsured employers' responsibility for timely compensation payments does not begin until the physician's report is received. (HB 2405)

**656.268 (6)(e)** Authorized the director to issue civil penalties for violation of statutes regarding reports or other requirements needed to administer workers' compensation law. (SB 172)

#### 2007

**656.230 (5)** Eliminated the requirement to adopt a rule and instead allowed the determination of impairment to be included in an order on reconsideration, which can be appealed to the Workers' Compensation Board. (HB 2218)

**656.230** (7)(c)(J) Eliminated the requirement to consult a physician if requested when determining whether to approve a worker's additional change of attending physician. (HB 2218).

**656.230** Consolidated the reason an insurer can deny a lump-sum payment for a permanent partial disability award into one section of the law and removed the director's review of a denied request. (HB 2218)

## **Advocates and Advisory Groups**

#### *198*7

656.709 (1) Created the Office of Ombudsman for Injured Workers. (HB 2900)

1990

656.709 (2) Established the Office of the Ombudsman for Small Business. (SB 1197)

656.790 Created the Workers' Compensation Management-Labor Advisory Committee (MLAC). (SB 1197)

Established a Joint Legislative Task Force on Innovations in Workers' Compensation to re-examine the role of the workers' compensation system and to develop recommendations to develop a more fair, just, and cost-effective system. (SB 1198)

#### *1995*

**656.790** Reduced the membership of the Management-Labor Advisory Committee from 14 members to 10 members (five representing subject workers, five representing subject employers). Mandated that MLAC report to the Legislature findings and recommendations the committee finds appropriate, including reports on court decisions having significant impact on the workers' compensations system, the adequacy of workers' compensation benefits, medical and system costs, and the adequacy of assessments for reserve programs and administrative costs. (SB 369)

#### *199*7

656.790 (Note) Required MLAC to study income and expenditures of the Workers' Benefit Fund. (SB 484)

#### 2001

**192.530 (Note)** Created the Advisory Committee on Privacy of Medical Information and Records. The committee had 17 members. The committee's purpose was to review state and federal laws concerning the privacy of medical information and to see if state laws conflicted with federal laws, such as the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996. The members were to report to the 2003 Legislature. (SB 104)

**Chapter 865 2001 Laws** Directed that MLAC recommend to the 2003 Legislature an alternative remedy to civil litigation that would allow the Legislature to create a constitutionally adequate system of exclusive remedies for workplace injuries. (SB 485)

#### 2003

**656.709 (1) & (2)** Required the injured worker ombudsman and the small business ombudsman to provide quarterly written reports to the governor. The reports must include summaries of the services provided during the quarter and recommendations for improvements. (HB 2522)

**656.726** (4)(f)(C) Removed the requirement that the department submit its temporary rules to MLAC for review. (SB 234)

#### 2007

**Oregon Legislative Note:** Required the Management-Labor Advisory Committee to conduct an interim study of the adequacy of death benefits in the workers' compensation system; the report to the 75th Oregon Legislative Assembly was required by Jan. 31, 2009. (SB 835)

## **Medical Benefits and Care**

#### *198*7

**656.245 (3)(a)** Reduced the number of attending physicians an injured worker could select during the life of a claim from five to three, unless otherwise authorized by the director. (HB 2900) (Now 656.245 (2)(a))

**656.245 (4)** Allowed the director to exclude from compensability any medical treatment deemed to be unscientific or unproven. (HB 2900) (Now 656.245 (3))

**656.248 (9)** Allowed the director to establish a fee schedule for specific inpatient hospital services based on diagnostic-related groups. (HB 2900)

**656.252 (1)** Expanded the scope of medical rules to require insurer audits of billings for medical services, including hospital services. (HB 2900)

**656.254 (3)** Expanded sanctions against health care practitioners who failed to comply with rules adopted under the statute. (HB 2900)

**656.325** (1) Limited independent medical examinations to three per each opening of the claim unless otherwise authorized by the director. (HB 2900)

**656.327 (3)-(5)** Allowed the director to establish a medical review panel to review medical treatment of an injured worker upon request by any of the parties. (HB 2900)

#### 1990

**656.005 (12)(b)** Limited who could be an attending physician to a medical doctor, doctor of osteopathy, or a board-certified oral surgeon. Chiropractors qualify as attending physicians for the first 30 days or 12 visits, whichever comes first. (SB 1197) (Revised in 2007 to include podiatrists, naturopaths, chiropractors, and physician assistants to act as attending physician for up to 60 days or 18 visits, whichever comes first. (HB 2756))

**656.245** (1)(b) Eliminated palliative care after the worker became medically stationary, except when provided to a worker determined to have permanent total disability, when necessary to monitor the administration of prescription medication required to keep the worker in a medically stationary condition, or to monitor the status of a prosthetic device. In addition, if the worker's attending physician believes that palliative care is appropriate to enable the worker to continue current employment, the attending physician may seek approval from the insurer for such treatment. If the insurer refuses to authorize the treatment, the attending physician can ask the department to resolve the dispute. (SB 1197) (Now 656.245 (1)(c))

**656.248 (11)** Required the director to establish utilization and treatment standards for all medical services. (SB 1197) (SB 223 repealed this in 1999.)

**656.260** Allowed groups of medical service providers or health care providers to be certified by the department as managed care organizations. Insurers can contract with MCOs to provide medical services to injured workers. (SB 1197)

**656.262** (4)(d) Excluded medical services from insurer reimbursement until the attending physician provides verification of the worker's inability to work. (SB 1197)

#### 1991

**656.248 (Note)** Created economic incentives for hospitals to participate with certified managed care organizations by providing exemptions from the hospital cost-to-charge ratio fee schedule. (SB 551)

#### *1993*

**656.016 (Note)** Authorized pilot programs to combine the medical component of workers' compensation with health insurance for nonwork-related illnesses or injuries. Exempted insurers that provide combined coverage in pilot programs from certain requirements for transacting health or workers' compensation insurance. (HB 2285) (This program was phased out in 1996.)

**656.313** Modified the procedure for payment of medical services in disputed workers' compensation settlement proceedings. Required insurers to pay providers at one-half the rate established by ORS 656.248 in amounts not to exceed 20 percent of the total present value of the settlement amount. Where less than one-half payment can be made, all affected providers are to be paid proportionally. (HB 3111) (SB 369 in 1995 changed the maximum from 20 percent to 40 percent.)

#### *1995*

**656.005 (20)** Defined "palliative care" as medical service rendered to reduce or moderate temporarily the intensity of an otherwise stable medical condition. Excluded those medical services rendered to diagnose, heal, or permanently alleviate or eliminate a medical condition. (SB 369)

**656.245** (4) Described conditions under which workers are subject to a managed care organization contract. An insurer may require an injured worker to receive medical treatment in the MCO prior to claim acceptance. However, if the claim is eventually denied, the insurer must cover those services until the worker receives notice of the denial or until three days after the denial notice is mailed. (SB 369)

**656.248** (1) Changed the medical services fee schedule from representing the 75th percentile of usual and customary fees to representing reimbursements generally received for the services provided. Identified specific criteria upon which it should be based. (SB 369)

#### *199*7

**656.260 (4)(h)** Required an explanation to licensed medical providers denied admission to an MCO panel. (SB 484)

#### *1999*

**656.245** (1)(d) Required that medical providers receive payment for medical services until they are notified by insurers that workers with disabling claims are medically stationary. (HB 2021)

**656.245** (4)(a) Allowed workers to continue to treat with their attending physician when a managed care organization contract with an insurer terminates. (SB 460)

#### 2001

**656.247** Created a procedure under which insurers are responsible for some medical costs for some services prior to claim denial. (SB 485)

**656.252 (2)(a)** Directed attending physicians to cooperate with insurers to expedite diagnostic treatments and procedures and with efforts to return injured workers to appropriate work. (SB 485)

**656.268 (3), 656.360, and 656.362** Restricted the distribution of copies of medical reports and vocational rehabilitation reports to injured workers only, rather than to workers and employers, unless the worker provides consent. (SB 269)

#### 2003

656.005 (12)(c) Included nurse practitioner in the definition of consulting physician. (HB 3669)

**656.245** (2)(b)(C) Allowed a nurse practitioner to provide medical services for 90 days from the first visit on the claim and authorize the payment of temporary disability benefits for a period not to exceed 60 days from the date of the first visit on the claim. The nurse practitioner must refer the worker to an attending physician for the determination of impairment. (HB 3669)

**656.245 (6)** Authorized a nurse practitioner who is not a member of a managed care organization to provide the same level of services as a primary care physician to workers enrolled in the MCO, subject to certain restrictions. (HB 3669)

**Chapter 811, sections 29 & 30, 2003 laws** Required that the department develop and make available to nurse practitioners informational materials about the workers' compensation system. Also required nurse practitioners to certify that they had reviewed the department's informational materials. (HB 3669)

**Chapter 811, section 31, 2003 laws** Required that insurers, self-insured employers, and self-insured employer groups provide the department with any information needed to assess the impact of HB 3669. (HB 3669)

#### 2005

**656.325 (1), 656.328, and 656.780** Required the director to develop rules and training applicable to independent medical examinations (IME) for workers' compensation claims. Modified the process for insurer-requested IMEs; insurers must now select an IME provider from a department-developed list. Allowed workers to appeal the reasonableness of the location of exam, subject to an expedited review by the department. (SB 311)

**656.260** (4)(a) & (4)(i) Required director to review and approve medical treatment standards for care provided by managed care organizations. Required MCO plans to allow attending physicians to advocate for medical services and temporary disability benefits. (SB 670) (SB 563 revised this section in 2007, removing the requirement for the department to review and approve individual treatment standards.)

#### 2007

**656.245** Allowed authority to the department to issue civil penalties against managed care organizations that fail to comply with laws or rules. (HB 2218)

**656.245 (2)(b)(C)** Expanded the role of nurse practitioners to provide compensable medical services to injured workers for up to 90 days, authorize temporary disability for up to 60 days, release the worker to work, and manage the worker's return to work during that time period. (HB 2247)

**656.005** (12)(b)(B) Allowed chiropractic physicians, podiatrists, naturopaths, and physician assistants to act as attending physicians for injured workers for 60 days or 18 visits, whichever comes first. The four provider groups can authorize temporary disability for 30 days and manage the worker's return to work during that period, and are to certify they have reviewed informational materials developed by the director. (HB 2756)

**656.328** Required that the department adopt rules to outline the standard of conduct for providers that do not have conduct guidelines from their regulatory board. Removed the statutory reference to the American Board of Independent Medical Examiners guidelines relating to code of conduct for independent medical examination providers. The rules may be consistent with the code of conduct adopted by the Oregon Independent Medical Examination Association. (HB 2943)

**656.005** (12)(b)(B) and **656.245** (2)(b)(B) Excludes an emergency room physician from the definition of an attending physician when the physician refers the worker to a primary care physician for follow-up care. Allowed the emergency room physician to authorize temporary disability benefits for a maximum of 14 days. If a physician treats patients in an emergency room but also maintains an independent practice, the physician could act as the worker's attending physician if he or she otherwise qualifies to be an attending physician and also provides the follow-up care to the injured worker. (SB 504)

**656.260** Removed the requirement for the department to review and approve all individual treatment standards adopted by managed care organizations. (SB 563)

#### 2009

**656.245 (2)(a)** Clarified that the medical service provider who is not qualified to be an attending physician may provide compensable medical service to an injured worker for a period of 30 days from the date of the first visit on the initial claim or for 12 visits, whichever occurs first. (HB 2197)

**656.245 (2)(b)(C)** Restored chiropractors' ability to make impairment findings if they are serving as the attending physician at the time of claim closure. (HB 2197)

#### 1987

## **Indemnity Benefits**

**656.625** Established the Reopened Claims Reserve for reimbursing to insurers the additional amounts of compensation payable to injured workers for board own-motion cases; excluded own-motion claims costs from loss experience. (HB 2900)

#### 1991

**656.214** (Note) Established the value for a degree of scheduled disability as 71 percent of the state average weekly wage, thus providing annual adjustments to the value of a scheduled degree. Established a tiered structure for calculating the value of a degree of unscheduled disability as a function of the state average weekly wage, thus providing annual adjustments to the value of an unscheduled degree and providing a structure that compensates the more severely injured at higher rates per degree of disability. (SB 732) (SB 757 in 2003 and HB 2408 in 2005 revised the PPD structure.)

#### *1995*

**656.204** Reduced the classes of beneficiary children under 18 years of age to two: where there is a surviving spouse of a deceased worker, and where there is no surviving spouse. (SB 369)

**656. 214 (2) & (6)** For unscheduled permanent partial disability, changed the structure of the tiers and increased the value of a degree in each tier. This eliminated the computation of the dollar value of a degree of disability as a percentage of the statewide average weekly wage. (SB 369) (SB 757 in 2003 and HB 2408 in 2005 revised the PPD structure.)

#### *1999*

**656.202**, **656.204**, **and 656.206** Changed workers' compensation benefits for spouses and some children of fatally injured workers: increased remarriage allowance to 36 times the monthly benefit; eliminated reduction in benefits for children of deceased workers who had remarried; equalized benefits for PTD and fatal claims for beneficiaries in full-time education; and eliminated \$5 weekly beneficiary payment for PTD claims. (HB 2022)

#### 2001

**656.210 (1)** Raised the maximum temporary total disability benefit to 133 percent of the average weekly wage. (SB 485)

#### 2003

**656.214 (1)** Defined impairment as the loss of use or function of a body part or system due to the compensable injury or disease, expressed as a percentage of the whole person. Defined work disability as impairment modified by age, education, and adaptability to perform a given job. Redefined permanent partial disability as permanent impairment with or without work disability resulting from a compensable injury or disease. (SB 757)

**656.214 (2)** Set permanent partial disability awards. If the worker has returned to work or has been released to work, the award is for impairment only. Otherwise, the award is for impairment and work disability. The impairment award is the product of 100 times the impairment value and the average weekly wage. The work disability award is the impairment value, modified by the age, education, and adaptability factors multiplied by 150 times the worker's weekly wage. The weekly wage is limited to the range of 50 percent to 133 percent of the average weekly wage. (SB 757)

656.214 (3) Defined PPD awards in terms of impairment percentages rather than degrees. (SB 757)

#### 2005

**656.726** (4)(f)(E) and 656.214 (2)(a) Modified the evaluation of a worker's permanent disability benefits and impairment for purposes of workers' compensation benefits. (HB 2408)

**Chapter 653, section 7, 2005 laws** Directed the department to collect data and report to the Legislature on the impact of the changes in law from SB 757 and HB 2408 on permanent partial disability awards. (HB 2408)

**656.206 (1) & (5) - (11) and 656.268 (1)(d)** Provided increased permanent total disability benefits and protections for severely injured workers. Authorized administrative law judges to request medical arbiter examinations. Expanded the description of "gainful occupation" to adjust the worker's wage rate at the lesser of the poverty level for a family of three or 66 percent of the worker's average weekly wages. (SB 386)

**656.605 (1)(g)** Provided that insurers and self-insured employers be reimbursed from the Workers' Benefit Fund for permanent total benefits paid on appeal if the insurer's decision is upheld. (SB 386)

#### 2007

**656.790 (2)** Required the Management-Labor Advisory Committee (MLAC) to review permanent partial disability benefit amounts on a biennial basis and make recommendations to ensure the original policy goals continue to be met over time. (HB 2244)

**Chapter 656, section 2, 2007 laws** Made the permanent partial disability benefit structure changes made by SB 757 in 2003 and HB 2408 in 2005 permanent.

**Oregon Legislative Note:** Required the Management-Labor Advisory Committee to conduct an interim study of the adequacy of death benefits in the workers' compensation system; report to the 75th Oregon Legislative Assembly is required by Jan. 31, 2009. (SB 835)

#### 2009

**656.204 (1) and (8)(b)** Improved the benefits to beneficiaries when a worker is killed on the job or dies while permanently and totally disabled from a work injury. If a worker dies before his or her permanent partial disability award is fully paid, the insurer must pay the full remainder of the permanent disability benefit to the worker's estate. (SB 110)

## **Return-To-Work Assistance**

#### *198*7

656.340 (6) Restricted eligibility for vocational assistance. (HB 2900)

**656.622 (3)** Established the Preferred Worker Program within the Workers' Reemployment Reserve. (HB 2900) (Now 656.622 (4))

#### 1990

**656.622 (3)** Enhanced the Preferred Worker Program by exempting an employer who hires a preferred worker from premiums or premium assessments for the preferred worker for a period of three years and reimbursing the insurer for any claim costs should the preferred worker sustain a new injury during the three-year premium exemption period. (SB 1197) (Now 656.622 (4))

656.628 (Note) Eliminated new claims for Handicapped Workers' Reserve relief. (SB 1197)

**659.415** Established injured worker employment reinstatement rights, subject to certain conditions and restrictions, with employers with more than 20 employees. (SB 1197) (Now 659A.043)

#### 1995

656.335 Repealed this section; insurers are no longer required to provide disability prevention services. (SB 369)

**656.340** Clarified when vocational eligibility must be determined following aggravation and clarified the eligibility criteria. Changed the requirement for insurers to request reinstatement or re-employment on behalf of workers to require that insurers inform workers of their opportunity to seek reinstatement or re-employment. Provided that workers are not entitled to vocational assistance after the expiration of their aggravation rights. Expanded the definition of the suitable wage that is the target for vocational assistance and revised the definition of regular employment to include employment at the time of aggravation. (SB 369)

**656.622** Provided for reimbursement of reasonable program administrative costs of insurers participating in the Employer-at-Injury Program and implemented the existing practice of reimbursement of claim administrative costs for preferred workers. Expanded expenditures from the Re-employment Assistance Program to include workers with nondisabling claims as eligible for the Employer-at-Injury Program, to preclude or reduce nondisabling claims from becoming disabling. Clarified that the Preferred Worker Program may be available to workers with any disability that may be a substantial obstacle to employment. (SB 369)

**659.415 and 659.420** Added restrictions on when a worker may be reinstated to regular employment or reemployed in suitable and available work. (SB 369) (Now 659A.043 and 659.046)

#### *1999*

**656.530** Eliminated the 75 percent reimbursement of workers' compensation premium for rehabilitation facilities from the Workers' Benefit Fund. (SB 288)

#### 2001

**656.268** (4)(c) and 656.325 (5) Provided that a worker could refuse an offer of modified employment without losing benefits if the job requires a commute that is beyond the physical capacity of the worker, is more than 50 miles away, is not with the employer at injury or not at that employer's work site, or is inconsistent with the common practices of the employer or an applicable collective bargaining agreement. (SB 485)

#### 2005

**656.206 (7) & (8)** Established eligibility for vocational benefits when PTD benefits are terminated. Required workers who have PTD benefits to attend vocational evaluations. (SB 386)

**656.262** (6)(b)(E) and 656.622 (3) & (12) Modified the statutory purpose of the Reemployment Assistance Act to allow the Workers' Compensation Division to provide direct services through the Preferred Worker and Employer-at-Injury programs. (SB 119)

**656.313** (1)(a)(D) and 656.605 (2)(g) Provided that insurers and self-insured employers be reimbursed from the Workers' Benefit Fund when a denial of vocational benefits is upheld by a final order. (SB 119)

#### 2009

**656.340 (9)** Moved from the certification of vocational assistance provider organizations to their registration. (HB 2195)

**656.340** (1)(b) (B) Allowed insurers and self-insured employers to forgo a vocational evaluation if the worker is released for regular work but has not returned to work. (HB 2705)

**656.340 (12) and (16)** For workers actively engaged in vocational training, allowed insurers or self-insured employers to voluntarily extend the payment of temporary disability compensation to a maximum of 21 months; the former length was 16 months. Also modified the vocational assistance dispute resolution process. (HB 2195)

**656.622 (10)** Clarified that neither insurance premiums nor premium assessments under this chapter are payable for preferred workers during the first three years from the date they were hired. (HB 2197)

#### Disputes

#### 1987

**656.268 (4)(f)** Provided for penalties if insurer claim closure actions were unreasonable. (HB 2900) (Now 656.268 (5)(d))

**656.278** Restricted the power and jurisdiction of the Workers' Compensation Board to use its own-motion authority; altered eligibility criteria and excluded own-motion claim costs from loss experience, provided funding for these costs from the Reopened Claims Reserve. (HB 2900)

**656.283 (4) and 656.295 (4)** Required the board to schedule a hearing or board review no later than 90 days after receipt of the request. The hearing or review shall not be postponed except for extraordinary circumstances beyond the control of the requesting party. (HB 2900)

**656.291** Required the board to establish an expedited claim service to resolve claims where compensability is not the issue and other conditions are met. (HB 2900)

**656.298 (6)** Changed de novo review by the Court of Appeals to substantial evidence review. The court is limited to reviewing matters of law. (HB 2900) (Now 656.298 (7))

**656.388 (3)** Required the board to establish a fee schedule for attorneys representing an insurer, self-insured employer, or a worker. (HB 2900)

#### 1990

**656.236** Allowed for compromise and release settlements (claim disposition agreements) of claims benefits except for medical services. (SB 1197)

**656.248 (13)** Allowed the director to resolve medical fee disputes using an administrative review process. (SB 1197) (Now 656.248 (12))

**656.262 (10)** Gave the director exclusive jurisdiction over proceedings regarding solely the assessment and payment of penalties by insurers for unreasonable delay or refusal to pay compensation or unreasonable delays in acceptance or denial of a claim. (SB 1197) (Now 656.262 (11))

**656.268** Required the mandatory reconsideration of a disputed insurer notice of closure or department determination order. (SB 1197)

**656.268 (4)(g)** Provided for an insurer penalty if the department's determination of permanent disability on reconsideration of an insurer notice of closure is greater than the insurer's award by 25 percent or more. (SB 1197) (Now 656.268 (5)(e))

**656.268 (7)** Required claim referral to a medical arbiter if impairment findings are disputed. No medical evidence subsequent to the medical arbiter report is admissible before the department, the board, or the courts. (SB 1197)

**656.283 (7) and 656.295 (5)** Provided that the evaluation of the worker's disability by hearings referees or the board shall be as of the date of the reconsideration order. Required the hearings referees and the board to apply the same standards for evaluation of disability as used by the department and insurers, but allowed the worker or insurer to challenge whether the standards for evaluation of disability were incorrectly applied in the reconsideration order. (SB 1197)

**656.313 (1)** When the employer or insurer appeal, payment of compensation appealed is stayed except for temporary disability and permanent total disability benefits that accrue from the date of the order appealed. Allowed for interest to accrue on the benefits stayed. (SB 1197)

**656.327 (1)(a)** Established additional provisions for the director's review of bona fide medical services disputes, and allowed for the delegation of the review to a panel of medical experts. (SB 1197)

**656.724 (3)(b)** Required the board to conduct an annual, anonymous survey of attorneys to rate the performance of hearings administrative law judges. (SB 1197)

#### 1991

**656.386** Provided for a reasonable attorney fee when an attorney is instrumental in obtaining compensation for a claimant prior to a judge's decision. (SB 540)

#### *1995*

**656.236** (1)(b) Authorized waiving of the 30-day waiting period for approval of a claim disposition agreement, if the worker was represented by an attorney at the time he or she signed the agreement. (SB 369)

**656.245** Allowed the worker to request approval for palliative care if the insurer or self-insured employer denies the care. Subjected the decision of the director to a contested case review. Also subjected the director's decision regarding additional changes of attending physician and the director's decision to exclude from compensability any medical treatment that is unscientific or experimental to a contested cases review. (SB 369)
**656.260 (14)-(19)** Subjected any dissatisfaction with an action of a managed care organization regarding the provision of medical services, peer review, or utilization review to administrative review by the director. The director's order is then subjected to a contested case hearing if a written request for hearing is filed with the director. Subjected issues other than these to a contested case hearing. (SB 369)

**656.268 (4)** Changed the appeal period of a notice of closure or determination order to 60 days for departmental reconsideration and another 30 days from the reconsideration order for a hearing request. (SB 369) (Now 656.268 (5))

656.278 (2) Removed vocational assistance benefits from the board's own-motion authority. (SB 369)

**656.283 (1) & (2)** Removed vocational assistance disputes from jurisdiction of hearings. Provided for dispute resolution on vocational assistance through nonadversarial procedures to the greatest extent possible. Mediated agreements are subject to reconsideration by the director, but not reviewed by any other forum. Appeals of director's orders go to contested case hearing before the director and then to the Court of Appeals. (SB 369)

**656.283 (7)** Prohibited the submission at hearing of evidence not submitted on departmental reconsideration. (SB 369)

656.307 (6) Provided for resolution of responsibility disputes by a private mediator. (SB 369)

**656.308 (2)(d)** Authorized claimant attorney fees in responsibility disputes in cases where the attorney actively and meaningfully participated in finally prevailing. (SB 369)

**656.313 (1)(a)** Authorized stay of payment of compensation appealed, on employer or insurer appeal of a director's order on vocational assistance. (SB 369)

**656.319 (6)** Authorized hearing for failure to process, or correctly process, a claim if the request for hearing was made within two years. (SB 369)

**656.327 (1) & (2)** Gave jurisdiction over all medical treatment disputes to the director, including treatment that the injured worker has received, is receiving, or will receive. Increased the amount of time allowed to issue a medical treatment order from 30 days to 60 days. Subjected the director's medical treatment administrative order to a contested case review. (SB 369)

**656.385** Mandated payment of claimant attorney fees by insurer in contested case hearings held by the director (or an appeal from such a hearing) where the claimant prevails. (SB 369)

**656.390 (1)** Authorized administrative law judges and the Workers' Compensation Board to impose attorney sanctions for requests for hearing or board review that are frivolous, in bad faith, or for harassment. (SB 369)

### *199*7

**656.262 (10)** Stated that an insurer's or self-insured employer's failure to appeal or seek review of a determination order, notice of closure, reconsideration order, or litigation order does not preclude them from subsequently contesting the rated condition in the order, unless the condition has been formally accepted. (HB 2971)

**656.268 (6)** Allowed only one reconsideration per claim closure; time frames for conducting the reconsideration begin when all parties request or waive reconsideration rights. (SB 118) (This had the effect of undoing the Guardado v. J.R. Simplot Company decision.)

**656.268** (7)(d) Provided additional time to allow workers to attend rescheduled medical arbiter exams and provided for suspension of benefits so that appeals are held concurrently. (SB 119) (Now 656.268 (7)(e)(B))

## 1999

**656.268** (7)(b) Provided that if neither party to a reconsideration requests a medical arbiter and the director determines that there is insufficient medical information to determine disability, the department may refer the worker to a medical arbiter. (SB 220)

**656.268** (7)(e) Provided for the postponement of the reconsideration process for 60 days and the suspension of benefits if a worker fails to attend a medical arbiter examination without good cause or fails to cooperate with the medical arbiter. (SB 220)

**656.704 (2)** Created a centralized Hearing Officer Panel using the administrative law judges of several agencies. Appeals of the department's administrative orders (contested case hearings) are sent to this panel. Board orders and nonsubjectivity determinations are excluded from this change. (HB 2525) (HB 2091 changed this in 2005.)

**656.704 (3)** Moved jurisdiction to the Workers' Compensation Board when there is a dispute over the need for a proposed medical service caused by an accepted condition. The board hears the disputes that require the determination of the compensability of the medical condition for which the medical services are proposed or that require the determination that a causal relationship exists between medical services and an accepted claim. (SB 728)

## 2001

**656.019 and Chapter 865, 2001 laws** Established a procedure for a civil negligence action for a work-related injury that has been determined to be not compensable because it failed to meet the major contributing cause standard. Directed that the department report to the 2003 Legislature on the numbers and outcomes of these cases; directed insurers to cooperate with this data collection. (SB 485)

**656.268** (6)(a)(A) Allowed for a deposition arranged by the worker to be included as part of the record for the reconsideration process. The deposition is limited to the testimony and cross-examination of the worker about the worker's condition at the time of the claim closure. The insurer pays the cost. (SB 485)

**656.268 (7)(i)(A)** Allowed the director to appoint a medical arbiter during the reconsideration process when the worker is not medically stationary. (SB 297)

**656.278** Provided that the rules for the board's own-motion process apply to new or omitted medical conditions after aggravation rights have expired. (SB 485)

**656.325 (1)(b)** Created a process for a worker-requested medical exam that is made part of a hearing on a denial of compensability. When the worker has made a timely request for a hearing of a compensability denial, the worker may request an exam by a physician selected by the department. The worker must show that the denial was based on the results of an independent medical exam with which the attending physician disagreed. The insurer pays the cost of the exam. (SB 485) (Now 656.325 (1)(e))

# 2003

**656.262 (15)** Authorized administrative law judges to determine what is required of injured workers to reasonably cooperate with the investigation of a claim in which there are more than one potentially responsible employer or insurer. In such cases, penalties for untimely claim denial cannot be imposed. (SB 63)

**656.268 (5) & (6)** Allowed insurers and self-insured employers to request the reconsideration of a claim closure. The request for reconsideration must be based on disagreement with the findings used to rate impairment. It must be made within seven days of the closure. (SB 285)

**656.283 (4)** Authorized administrative law judges to postpone hearings in which there may be more than one responsible employer or insurer. In such cases, penalties for untimely claim denial cannot be imposed. (SB 63)

**656.385 (1)** Allowed attorney fees when a claimant finally prevails in a medical dispute or a vocational dispute. (SB 620)

**656.726 (4)(f)** Redefined the criteria for the evaluation of disabilities in terms of permanent impairment and work disability. (SB 757)

**656.740 (2)** Changed the appeal period for contesting a nonsubjectivity determination from 30 days to 60 days. (SB 233)

# 2005

656.054 (4), 656.170 (3), 656.245 (1)-(3), 656.247 (3)(a), 656.248 (12), 656.254 (3), 656.260 (6) & (16)-(18), 656.262 (11)(a), 656.283 (1) & (2)(c), 656.327 (1)(a) & (2), 656.385 (1)-(5), 656.440 (1)-(3), 656.704 (1)-(5), 656.726 (4)(a), and 183.635 (3) Transferred the responsibility for appeals of director's administrative review cases (primarily on medical, vocational, and some penalty issues) from the Office of Administrative Hearings to the Hearings Division of the Workers' Compensation Board. (HB 2091)

**656.267 (2)(b), 656.278 (4), and 656.298 (1)** Clarified that regardless of when the worker makes a claim for an omitted or new medical condition, if claim is denied, the worker may request a hearing on the denial. Clarified that if a worker's claim for a new or omitted condition is compensable, but was made more than five years after the first closure of the claim, the claim is to be processed under the jurisdiction of the board. Provided that any party can appeal an own-motion order from the board. Established hearing rights for orders issued under own-motion authority of the Workers' Compensation Board. (HB 2294)

**656.268** (5)(e) Eliminated penalties assessed against an insurer or self-insured employer if information used during the reconsideration of a closure was not reasonably known at the time of claim closure. (HB 2404)

**656.283 (4) & (5)** Required that the board give at least 60 days notice of a scheduled hearing, with some exceptions. Postponements are to be rescheduled within 120 days of the original hearing date, with the exception of multiple employer/insurer responsibility cases. (HB 2717)

**656.319 (7)** Required that the appeal of the rescission of PTD benefits be made within 60 days of the issuance of the notice of closure. (SB 386)

# 2007

**656.236** Allowed the administrative law judge who mediates a claim disposition agreement to approve the agreement. (SB 253)

**656.386 (2)(d)** Allowed for payment of reasonable costs for records, expert opinions, and witness fees associated with appealing a workers' compensation claim if the claimant prevails. The bill caps reimbursement for reasonable costs at \$1,500 unless the claimant demonstrates extraordinary circumstances justifying payment of a greater amount. (SB 404)

**656.388 (3)** Allowed an attorney who represents an injured worker a lien for recovery of fees out of additional awarded compensation or the proceeds of a claim settlement if the worker signs an attorney fee agreement for representation and the attorney was instrumental in obtaining the outcome of the claim. (SB 404)

# 2009

**656.248 (12)** Allowed the parties to resolve medical fee disputes informally without requesting an administrative review by the director. (HB 2197)

**656.262(11), 656.308(2), and 656.385(1)** Increases maximum claimant attorney fees as follows: for succeeding on an issue of insurer penalty, from \$2,000 to \$3,000; for prevailing against a responsibility denial, from \$1,000 to \$2,500; and for prevailing on medical or vocational services denial, from \$2,000 to \$3,000. Provides for annual adjustment of maximum fees based on the average weekly wage. (HB 3345)

**656.262(12)**, **656.382(2)**, **and 656.386(3)** Adds provisions for claimant attorney fees as follows: for a penalty for late-paid disputed claim settlements; for affirming closure rescissions or preventing a reduction of reconsideration awards; and for insurer non-timely response to reclassification requests and when insurers appeal classification orders and the claim is finally found to be disabling. (HB 3345)

**656.386 (3)** Allowed for penalties when an insurer or self-insured employer does not respond within 14 days to a claimant request for a claim reclassification. (HB 3345)

Note: Authorizes the Management-Labor Advisory Committee to study the effects of changes to attorney fees.

# Insurance

# 1987

**656.262 (5)** Allowed employers to pay for medical services up to \$500 for nondisabling claims. Excluded these medical costs from modifying the employers' experience rating. (HB 2900) (In 2005, HB 3018 increased this to \$1,500; in 2007, SB 762 indexed this to medical inflation.)

**656.622 (8)** Excluded claim costs incurred as a result of an injury sustained by a preferred worker during the first two years of hire from data used for ratemaking or individual employer rating. (HB 2900) (Now 656.622 (10))

# 1990

**656.052 (4)** Increased the liability of corporations, and their officers and directors, as noncomplying employers. (SB 1197)

**656.427** Enacted amendments to insurance coverage termination procedures to better ensure continuous coverage availability for employers to minimize the magnitude of noncomplying employers. (SB 1198)

**656.622 (8)** Extended from two to three years from hire the exclusion from ratemaking for the preferred worker claim costs arising from injury or occupational disease; changed the program to a premium exemption program. (SB 1197) (Now 656.622 (10))

**656.730 (1)(a)** Mandated a tiered rating scheme for insured employers too small to qualify for experience rating plans in the assigned risk pool. (SB 1198)

**656.752 (2)(b)** Amended the statutory purpose of SAIF Corporation to make insurance available to as many Oregon employers as inexpensively as possible consistent with sound insurance principles. (SB 1198)

**737.602** Allowed the director to establish a contracting classification premium adjustment program. This provided employers subject to contractor class premium rates the economic incentive to enhance safety in the workplace. (SB 1197)

# 1991

**746.230 and 746.240** Subjected the SAIF Corporation to that portion of the Insurance Code governing unfair claims settlement practices and undefined trade practices. (SB 24)

# *1993*

**656.018, 656.403, 656.850, 656.855, and 737.270** Established the director's authority to regulate employee leasing companies. Specified fees and methods of licensure by the director, specified the responsibility for workers' compensation coverage and the basis for experience rating, required leasing companies to ensure leased workers are properly trained in safety matters required under ORS Chapter 654, and required reporting of client employers to the director and other statistical information to the appropriate rating bureau. (HB 2282)

## 1997

**656.018 (5) and 656.850 (1)** Clarified the definition of employees of temporary employment companies and their exclusive remedy provisions. (SB 699)

**656.307 (1)(b)** Required that insurers submit claim closures of pro rata and paying agent claims to WCD for redetermination. All parties have the right to request reconsideration. (SB 116)

**656.593 (6) & (7)** Allowed workers to release insurer liability in a third-party action that exceeds \$1 million. (SB 484)

## *1999*

**656.170, 656.172, and 656.174** Allowed for the director to establish a process for up to two construction trades unions to receive authorization to collectively bargain agreements for workers' compensation benefits. This bill was established as a pilot project where eligibility for such agreements will end Jan. 1, 2002. The bill also required a status report to the 2001 Legislature. (HB 2450)

**656.430 (7)** Removed the "same industry" requirement to be included in a self-insured employer group. (SB 591)

**737.017, 737.225, 737.265, 737.270, 737.355, and 737.560** Authorized the director to license one or more rating organizations for workers' compensation insurance under the Insurance Code. The bill specified the services to be provided by the workers' compensation rating organization. (SB 280)

**746.147** Prohibited an insurer or agent from quoting projected net insurance premiums that are not guaranteed in the policy. (HB 2021)

## 2001

**656.210 (2)(c)** Stated that the supplemental temporary disability benefits paid for multiple jobs are not to be used for ratemaking or for individual employer rating or dividend calculations. (SB 485)

**656.772, 657.774, and 656.776** Required the Secretary of State to conduct an annual audit of the SAIF Corporation, paid for by SAIF. The bill specifies the subjects of the audit. (HB 3980)

**656.445, 656.506 (4), 656.605 (2)(a), 734.360, 734.510, 734.570, 734.630, 734.635, and 734.695** Established the director's authority to advance payments from the Workers' Benefit Fund to injured workers when an insurer has defaulted on its obligations to pay claims but has not yet been placed in liquidation by the court. After liquidation proceedings are completed and the insurer placed in receivership, the Oregon Insurance Guaranty Association will refund the Workers' Benefit Fund any money advanced. (SB 977)

# 2003

656.407 (2) & (3) Modified the types of security deposits required by self-insured employers. (SB 233)

**646.427** Modified the reporting requirements for an insurer's termination of a guaranty contract. (SB 233)

**Chapter 781, 2003 laws** Required SAIF to create a reinsurance program for medical liability insurance for rural doctors. SAIF was allowed to write off the cost of the program as an expense against its assessment. (HB 3630)

# 2005

**656.430 (13)** Authorized public utilities with more than \$500 million in assets to obtain workers' compensation excess insurance coverage from eligible surplus lines insurers. (HB 2718)

**656.262 (5)** Increased the amount an employer may pay for medical services for nondisabling workers' compensation claims from \$500 to \$1,500. (HB 3318)

#### 2007

**737.322 (1)** Allowed a surcharge, if necessary, on assigned risk plan members to help pay the costs of assigned risk pool losses when the losses exceed premiums. (HB 2250)

**656.427(2)** Extended the notice requirement to an employer from 30 days to 45 days when an insurer terminates the employer's workers' compensation insurance. Notice was shortened to 10 days in the event of nonpayment of premiums. (HB 2783)

**656.427(1)** Removes the requirement that employers and insurers provide proof of workers' compensation coverage by filing a guaranty contract with DCBS and instead requires the insurer to provide insurance policy information to DCBS as the proof of workers' compensation coverage. The bill streamlines reporting requirements for insurers and eliminates an unnecessary duplicate filing with the state. (Operative July 1, 2009) (SB 559)

**656.262(5)** Required the department to annually set the amount of nondisabling medical costs that an employer can voluntarily pay to minimize impact on the employer's experience rating. The threshold amount is based on the change in the medical services consumer price index, rounded to the nearest \$100. (SB 762)

# **Appendix 2 - Workers' Compensation Court Cases**

A number of appellate decisions have modified the legislative reform of the workers' compensation system. Some of the major decisions since 1991 are as follows:

# 1991

**Robertson, 43 Van Natta 1505 (1991)** The Workers' Compensation Board ruled that "objective findings" did not mean solely physically verifiable impairments. Such a finding may also be based on the physician's evaluation of the worker's subjective complaints, in this case a description of the pain she was experiencing. (In 1995, SB 369 reversed this decision by requiring that objective findings be reproducible, measurable, or observable.)

# *1992*

**SAIF v. Herron, 114 Or App 64 (1992)** The Court of Appeals ruled that 1990 amendments raising the dollar value of a degree of PPD were subject to ORS 656.202 and thus were to be applied based on the injury date rather than the award date.

# *1993*

**Colclasure v. Washington County School District, 317 Or 526 (1993)** The Supreme Court ruled that when reviewing a director's decision on a vocational dispute, the administrative law judge may make independent findings of fact. (In 1995, SB 369 reversed the effect of the decision by placing jurisdiction in WCD.)

**England v. Thunderbird, 315 Or 633 (1993)** The Supreme Court ruled that disability rating rules, adopted by the department pursuant to 1987 law changes, were invalid because they failed to consider all factors used to determine loss of earning capacity. (In 1995, SB 369 reversed the effect of the decision.)

**Jefferson v. Sam's Cafe, 123 Or App 464 (1993)** The Court of Appeals ruled that the director's authority in medical treatment disputes is limited by statute to treatment the claimant is receiving; therefore, disputes over proposed treatments must be decided at the Hearings Division. (In 1995, SB 369 reversed the effect of the decision by placing jurisdiction in WCD.)

**Meyers v. Darigold, 123 Or App 217 (1993)** The Court of Appeals ruled that the director has jurisdiction in medical treatment disputes only if a party requests it; otherwise, the dispute may go to hearings. (In 1995, SB 369 reversed the effect of the decision.)

**Safeway Stores v. Smith, 122 Or App 160 (1993)** The Court of Appeals ruled that while there is a limitation on evidence the director may consider in a reconsideration, there is no comparable limitation on evidence an administrative law judge may consider at a hearing on the same issue. (In 1995, SB 369 reversed the effect of the decision.)

**Stone v. Whittier Wood Products, 124 Or App 117 (1993)** The Court of Appeals ruled that long-standing department rules basing the computation of temporary partial disability benefits on the actual modified work wage were invalid since they failed to consider the worker's "earning power at any kind of work" as specified in statute. (In 1995, SB 369 reversed the effect of the decision.)

**U-Haul of Oregon v. Burtis, 120 Or App 353 (1993)** The Court of Appeals ruled that medical treatment for a pre-existing degenerative condition was compensable if a compensable injury caused the pre-existing condition to need treatment, as long as the injury was the major contributing cause of the need for treatment.

# **1994**

Allen v. SAIF, 320 Or 192 (1994) The Supreme Court ruled that a medical bill paid untimely constituted a "de facto denial" for which attorney fees could be assessed under ORS 656.386(1), rather than the provisions of ORS 656.262(10). Under ORS 656.262(10), attorney fees had been limited to half the penalty amount on issues of delay or refusal to pay compensation. One intent of this provision had been to ensure

that attorney fees did not exceed the value of the interest involved in an issue. The effect of this decision may have been to convert many instances of untimely payment to de facto denials, thus increasing the potential for large attorney fees. (In 1995, SB 369 reversed the effect of the decision.)

Leslie v. U.S. Bancorp, 129 Or App 1 (1994) The Court of Appeals ruled that the law did not preclude a party from raising an issue at hearing that was not raised in or did not arise out of the preceding reconsideration. (In 1995, SB 369 reversed the effect of the decision.)

**Messmer v. Delux Cabinet Works, 130 Or App 254 (1994)** The Court of Appeals ruled that the failure to appeal a determination order barred the later denial of conditions rated in that order. (SB 369 contained language stating that the payment of permanent disability did not preclude insurers from contesting compensability. The language was intended to reverse the effects of this decision. In 1996, another decision was issued [see below], and the 1997 Legislature passed new language in HB 2971.)

## *1995*

**Errand v. Cascade Steel Rolling Mills, 320 Or 509 (1995)** The Supreme Court ruled that the exclusive remedy provisions of Oregon workers' compensation law are operative only for claims found to be compensable under workers' compensation law. Employers' immunity from civil suits only extends to injuries compensated through the workers' compensation system. Thus, workers whose claims are work-related but not compensable are not precluded from pursuing civil actions. (In 1995, SB 369 reversed the effect of the decision. In 2001, the decision in Smothers v. Gresham Transfer, Inc. modified the effects of SB 369.)

Altamirano v. Woodburn Nursery, 133 Or App 16 (1995) The Court of Appeals held that the department had impermissibly interpreted the 30-day limitation on attending physician status for chiropractors as applying to only the initial claim. The court reasoned that the meaning of "claim" included requests to reopen a previously closed claim; thus, there may be multiple 30-day periods for a single injury.

Welliver Welding Works v. Farmen, 133 Or App 203 (1995) The Court of Appeals held that the Legislature had intended vocational assistance eligibility decisions to be based on the claimant's wage at the time of the original injury. The decision invalidated a department rule that used the wage at the time of aggravation in reopened claims.

# 1996

**Delux Cabinet Works v. Messmer, 140 Or App 548 (1996)** The Court of Appeals stated that SB 369, despite the Legislature's intent, did not reverse the earlier court decision that the failure to appeal did preclude later denials. (HB 2971, passed by the 1997 Legislature, reversed the effect of the decision.)

**SAIF Corporation v. Walker, 145 Or App 294 (1996)** The Court of Appeals considered the meaning of the change in the definition of an aggravation in SB 369. The court reviewed the legislative history and determined that a symptomatic worsening is not sufficient to establish an aggravation; instead, proof of pathological worsening is required. The Supreme Court affirmed the decision in 2000.

# 1997

**Fister v. South Hills Health Care, 149 Or App 214 (1997)** The Court of Appeals considered a case in which claimant testimony about a closure that was not submitted at reconsideration was presented and admitted at the hearing. The court ruled that, because there was no objection at the hearing, the evidence could be considered by the administrative law judge and, on review, by the board.

#### *1998*

**SAIF Corporation v. Shipley, 326 Or 557 (1998)** The Supreme Court vacated a board order that a claimant's claim for medical services was compensable. The hearing had initially involved the issue of aggravation, and the claimant argued that the medical treatments were related to the original accepted condition. The board held that the medical services claim was compensable. The court found that the proper jurisdiction was the director's review, not the board. Because there was no statutory provision of the board to remand to the director, the only correct board action was to dismiss the case.

### *1999*

Johansen v. SAIF Corporation, 158 Or App 672 (1999) The Court of Appeals ruled that a claim for a new medical condition could be brought at any time. It is not limited by the time frames for reclassifying claims or for aggravations.

**O'Neil v. National Union Fire, 152 Or App 497 (1999)** The Court of Appeals ruled that the department's contested case hearing procedures had been followed as written. The claimant had argued that the department was required to conduct a full-scale contested case procedure at a contested case hearing; the department had instead followed a more limited procedure. The court determined that this procedure is consistent with ORS 656.327(2).

### 2000

**Koskela v. Willamette Industries, Inc., 331 Or 362 (2000)** The Supreme Court ruled that the SB 369 amendment of ORS 656.283(7) was an unconstitutional deprivation of a worker's due process rights. The amendment prohibited at hearing any evidence that was not a part of the reconsideration process. The court balanced three factors: the claimant's interest in the outcome; the risk of an erroneous decision and the value of additional safeguards; and the government's interest as well as the administrative burdens that additional procedures would entail. Specifically in PTD cases, the court found that, at a minimum, a worker should have the opportunity to provide oral testimony about his willingness to work and his efforts at finding work. The existing process did not offer adequate safeguards against mistakes.

**Robinson v. Nabisco, Inc., 331 Or 178 (2000)** The Supreme Court ruled that a back injury suffered during an independent medical exam arose out of and in the course of employment. Therefore, it was a new, compensable injury.

### 2001

**Lumbermans Mutual v. Crawford, 332 Or 404 (2001)** The Supreme Court ruled that ORS 656.262 (4) (g) applied to all claims. The statute states that attending physicians cannot authorize the payment of temporary disability benefits more than 14 days retroactively. This decision vacated board orders that found that this section dealt with procedural compensation while the claim was open, not to substantive compensation after the claim was closed.

**Rash v. McKinstry Company, 331 Or 665 (2001)** The Supreme Court ruled that when a claim disposition agreement "resolves all matters ... arising out of claims," all matters are resolved, including insurers' matters. In this case, after a CDA was concluded, the insurer was not entitled to recover its claim costs after the claimant received a third-party award. The language involved was part of SB 369 and had been an attempt to clarify the statute. Prior to this ruling, the interpretation had been that the CDA extinguished just the claimant's right to additional benefits.

Smothers v. Gresham Transfer, Inc., 332 Or 83 (2001) The Supreme Court ruled that the exclusive remedy provisions of ORS 656.018 were unconstitutional. When a workers' compensation claim is denied for failure to prove the work-related incident was the major contributing cause of the injury or condition, the claimant could be left without a legal remedy. Under these circumstances, the employee may take civil action against his employer. (The 2001 Legislature, in SB 485, set out the process for these actions.)

#### 2002

**SAIF Corporation v. Lewis, 335 Or 92 (2002)** The Supreme Court reversed a Court of Appeals ruling that the requirement for "medical evidence supported by objective findings" in determining claim compensability meant that the indications of an occupational illness had to be verifiable at the time of the claimant's exam. The court stated that the statute means the occupational illness had to be verified at some time, not necessarily at the time of the exam.

**Everett v. SAIF Corporation, 179 Or App 112 (2002)** The Court of Appeals ruled that a claimant could not testify about his job duties at hearing because he had not offered written testimony about these duties at reconsideration. These duties were used in determining functional capacity in the computation of the permanent partial disability award. Because the evidence was not submitted during the reconsideration process, the claimant had not exhausted his administrative remedies at reconsideration; therefore, he could not pursue the matter on appeal.

**Icenhower v. SAIF Corporation, 180 Or App 297 (2002)** The Court of Appeals ruled that the Hearings Division retained jurisdiction on penalties after all other issues in the case had been resolved. (ORS 656.262(11) gives the director exclusive jurisdiction over penalty-only cases.)

**Talley v. BCI Coca-Cola Bottling, 184 Or App 129 (2002)** The Court of Appeals ruled that the Hearings Division had jurisdiction to consider a claimant's request for a hearing concerning the employer's notice of closure issued after the claimant's authorized training program had ended. The court stated that this was a matter concerning a claim, as stated in ORS 656.283(1).

Machuca-Ramirez v. Zephyr Engineering, Inc., 184 Or App 565 (2002) The Court of Appeals ruled that the permanent partial disability award in a notice of closure was not the lower limit on the PPD award and that the employer could appeal an administrative law judge's decision that reinstated the original award after an order on reconsideration reduced the award to zero. The court said this appeal was not an appeal of the notice of closure.

### 2003

**SAIF Corporation v. Dubose, 335 Or 579 (2003)** The Supreme Court ruled that the phrase in ORS 656.262(15), "the worker shall not be granted a hearing ... unless the worker first requests and establishes at an expedited hearing ..." means the claimant must request a hearing, not that she must request an expedited hearing. It is up to the board to set the expedited hearing. This ruling reversed the decision of the Court of Appeals.

Kahn v. Providence Health Plan, 335 Or 460 (2003) The Supreme Court stated that ORS 656.260(8) precludes an injured worker from bringing an action for damages arising out of a managed care organization's conclusion that a proposed medical treatment is unnecessary. The MCO's conclusion had come out of its utilization review process. The circuit court had not decided the case on that ground, so the high court remanded the case.

**French-Davis v. Grand Central Bowl, 186 Or App 280 (2003)** The Court of Appeals ruled that the board had erroneously dismissed a claimant's request for a hearing to challenge the insurer's failure to close the claim. ORS 656.319(6) states that the request must be filed within two years after the inaction occurred. The insurer argued that the limitation began on the date the claim was accepted. The court agreed with the claimant that it began on the date the claimant first requested closure.

**Basmaci v. The Stanley Works, 187 Or App 337 (2003)** The Court of Appeals ruled that the submission of Form 827, the first medical report of a claim, did not fulfill the requirements for a request for acceptance of a new medical condition.

**Braden v. SAIF Corporation, 187 Or App 494 (2003)** The Court of Appeals ruled that the board erred when reviewing a claim compensability case. The board had decided that the claim was for a combined condition, that the claim should be accepted for a period and then denied after the condition was no longer the major contributing cause for the need for treatment. The court agreed with the claimant that the insurer must first accept a combined condition claim before the combined condition could be denied.

## 2004

**Trujillo v. Pacific Safety Supply, 336 Or 349 (2004)** The Supreme Court upheld a Court of Appeals ruling that the claimant did not have the right to give oral testimony concerning his basic functional capacity at hearing. The functional capacity was used in part to determine his PPD award. The Supreme Court said the claimant did not have a constitutional right to present new evidence at a hearing when he had foregone the opportunity to present written evidence at reconsideration.

**Logsdon v. SAIF Corporation, 336 Or 349 (2004)** The Supreme Court upheld a Court of Appeals ruling that the claimant did not have the right to cross-examine doctors at hearing. He wished to cross-examine them regarding his medically stationary date. This date was used in determining temporary disability benefits. The Supreme Court said that the claimant did not have a constitutional right to present new evidence, including oral testimony, at a hearing when he had bypassed the opportunity to present written evidence during the reconsideration process.

Day v. Advanced M&D Sales, Inc., 336 Or 511 (2004) The Supreme Court ruled that the filing of a workers' compensation claim and the receipt of benefits does not bar a worker from later claiming that he was not a subject worker. The case involved a person who was employed part of the time as a salesperson and part of the time as an independent contractor. He was a subject worker while working as a salesperson, but not while a contractor. This decision reversed the ruling by the Court of Appeals.

Vsetacka v. Safeway, 337 Or 502 (2004) The Supreme Court found that ORS 656.265 does not explicitly require a formalistic injury notice. Rather, it requires injured workers to include enough information so the employer knows there may be a compensable injury. In this case, the claimant's three written entries in the employer's injury log were sufficient.

**Cloud v. Klamath County School District, 191 Or App 610 (2004)** The Court of Appeals upheld the board's finding that the claimant's accepted condition was not solely caused by, and not merely a symptom of, the preexisting degenerative condition. Therefore, the degenerative condition was excluded from the determination of whether the accepted condition was the major contributing cause for the need for treatment.

**Stockdale v. SAIF Corporation, 192 Or App 289 (2004)** The Court of Appeals ruled that an insurer could both accept and deny parts of a combined condition in the same document as long as the denial effective date was later than the acceptance effective date. It said this practice was consistent with ORS 656.262(6) (c), which contains the phrase "... later denying the combined ... condition."

Lederer v. Viking Freight, Inc., 193 Or App 226 (2004) The Court of Appeals ruled that a doctor does not need to explicitly authorize temporary disability benefits when an "objectively reasonable" insurer or self-insured employer would understand that the medical reports imply such authorization.

**Freightliner LLC v. Holman, 195 Or App 716 (2004)** The Court of Appeals concluded that the plain meaning of the statute indicated that an occupational disease claim must be filed within one year from the latest of four specified events. The court observed that nothing in the language of the statute indicated that the specified event must already have transpired at the time of claim filing. The Court of Appeals affirmed the board's order, which held that the claimant's occupational disease claim for hearing loss was not void because neither of the events (the date the claimant becomes disabled or is informed by a physician that he is suffering from an occupational disease) had occurred when he filed his claim.

#### 2005

Lewis v. Cigna, 339 Or 342 (2005) The Supreme Court ruled that a claim could not be denied because the worker refused to submit to an insurer-requested independent medical exam. The justices determined that the Legislature intended to limit sanctions in such cases to the suspension of benefits.

**Morales v. SAIF, 339 Or 574 (2005)** The Supreme Court determined that SAIF could reduce the temporary disability rate because the worker was released to modified work, even though he couldn't actually return because he'd been terminated for violating work rules. The court found that the employer had satisfied the requirements of ORS 656.325(5) by creating a modified job to accommodate the worker and by implementing a written policy of offering modified jobs.

Managed Healthcare Northwest v. DCBS, 338 Or 92 (2005) In this case, the issue was a rule prohibiting managed care organizations from using past practices as a basis to deny authorization of nonmember physicians from treating subject workers. The Supreme Court found that the rule did not exceed agency authority, nor did it conflict with statute or policy.

**SAIF v. Drury, 202 Or App 14 (2005)** The Court of Appeals held that a worker's self-reported symptoms of cold intolerance constituted objective findings to support a permanent disability award. The court stated that the indications did not need to actually be verified; they only needed to be verifiable.

**Dedera v. Raytheon Engineers & Constrs, 200 Or App 1 (2005)** The Court of Appeals held that an ongoing temporary disability authorization by a worker's prior attending physician continues when there is a change in attending physician. The insurer is not entitled to terminate temporary disability for that reason.

**Ainsworth v. SAIF, 202 Or App 708 (2005)** The Court of Appeals held that OAR 436-035-0390(12) exceeded the director's authority. It precluded an unscheduled disability for psychiatric disability because the claimant had also incurred brain damage from the injury. The court decided that the rule failed to provide compensation for all of the injury-caused disability.

Allied Waste Industries v. Crawford, 203 Or App 512 (2005) To determine the major contributing cause when an otherwise compensable injury combines with a pre-existing condition, the Court of Appeals ruled that the contributions of each cause, including the precipitating cause, must be weighed.

### 2006

**Roberts v. SAIF, 341 Or 48 (2006)** The Supreme Court held that a worker's injury, which occurred while he was riding a motorcycle on his employer's car lot, was not compensable because he was injured while performing a recreational or social activity primarily for personal pleasure. The worker had stipulated that motorcycle riding served no business purpose and that the employer gained no benefit from it.

Merle West Medical Center v. Parker, 207 Or App 24 (2006) The Court of Appeals set aside a carrier's denial of the claimant's aggravation claim for a bilateral wrist condition. The court reasoned that the claimant's attending physician's opinion, which was based on the claimant's reports of her symptoms and the physician's medical knowledge, was sufficient to establish that the worsening of her compensable wrist condition was supported by objective findings.

**Multnomah County v. Obie, 207 Or App 482 (2006)** The Court of Appeals affirmed the board's finding that a pre-existing chronic depression was not a "pre-existing condition" under ORS 656.005(24)(a). The insurer contended that the claimant's "vulnerability" was a pre-existing condition, and it was not excluded for disease claims. The court found that the 2001 Legislature's intent was to eliminate predisposition as a pre-existing condition in both injury and disease claims.

**United Airlines v. Anderson, 207 Or App 493 (2006)** The Court of Appeals agreed that the claimant's temporary disability rate should be based on her "at-injury" wage, which was increased retroactively in a bargaining agreement that occurred after the injury.

**Karjalainen v. Curtis Johnson & Pennywise, Inc., 208 Or App 674 (2006)** The court held that, for the purpose of determining a pre-existing condition, "arthritis or an arthritic condition" refers to joint inflammation. The interpretation of the statutory phrase is a matter of law, so this inexact term must be given its common, ordinary meaning; it should not be based on case-by-case medical opinion. (ORS 656.005(24) requires pre-existing conditions, except arthritis, be previously diagnosed or treated if the combined condition is to be compensable.)

## 2008

**Sisco v. Quicker-Recovery, 218 Or App 376 (2008)** The court held that the claimant's injury, which occurred when he resisted a police officer's request to exit his employer's tow truck, was compensable. The court reasoned that the worker's interaction with the police officer related to the method of performing the ultimate work, so the injury occurred "in the course of" his employment. The "arising out of" prong of the compensability question was satisfied because his work environment exposed him to the risk of the interaction with police, and the motivation for his conduct originated, at least partly, from the workplace.

**SAIF v. Terrien, 221 Or App 671 (2008)** The court ruled that the claimant's attorney was not entitled to an assessed fee for successfully prevailing against SAIF's challenge to a finding of premature closure in an order on reconsideration. The court found that the intent of the Legislature was to allow such a fee only when compensation actually awarded is not disallowed or reduced, not just when the attorney's efforts create the potential for benefits. HB 3345, passed in 2009, effectively "reversed" this case by specifically allowing assessed fees when attorney efforts result in the affirmation of an order rescinding a notice of closure.

Murdock v. SAIF, 223 Or App 144 (2008) The court ruled that the worker's diabetic condition was not a cause of his toe infection, but merely rendered him more susceptible to infection. Susceptibility cannot be considered a cause for the purpose of determining major contributing cause, so the denial must be reversed.

### 2009

**SAIF v. Sprague, 346 Or 661 (2009)** The Court of Appeals had ruled that, for the gastric bypass surgery to be compensable, the need for the surgery for weight loss must be caused by the accepted knee condition. The Oregon Supreme Court agreed that the surgery is compensable, but based on different reasoning. To establish compensability of the surgery, two requirements must be met: (1) the current condition (knee) must be caused in major part by the compensable knee injury and (2) the bypass surgery must be "directed to" that current condition.

### 2010

**Liberty Northwest Insurance Corp. v. Watkins 347 Or 687 (2010)** The Oregon Supreme Court, after careful analysis of the statute text, found that an assessed fee in a medical dispute may be awarded, despite a CDA that had released all allowable benefits. Further, the high court found this interpretation to be consistent with the Legislature's intent to provide medical services for the life of a worker.

**Pilgrim v. Delta Airlines, 234 Or APP 80 (2010)** The court found that when the pre-existing condition and the combined condition are both work related, compensability requires only that the worker establish that "employment conditions" are the major contributing case of the combined condition.

Merten v. PGE Company, 234 Or App 407 (2010) A worker's civil action alleged that the employer's fraudulent inducement not to appeal a denial effectively denied him the opportunity for remedy within the workers' compensation system. The trial court granted summary judgment, reasoning that the Board had exclusive jurisdiction. The Court of Appeals reversed (allowed the action to proceed), finding that the fraud claim was not for a "compensable injury" and was not within workers' compensation law. The fraud did not occur in a workers' compensation hearing.





Information Management Division 350 Winter St. NE, Room 300 P.O. Box 14480 Salem, Oregon 97309-0405 503-378-8254

